Journal of the Formosan Medical Association xxx (xxxx) xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of the Formosan Medical Association



journal homepage: www.jfma-online.com

Increased post-COVID-19 behavioral, emotional, and social problems in Taiwanese children

Chi-Yung Shang^a, Luan-Yin Chang^{b,c}, Yu-Tzu Chang^a, Diana Chia-Yu Kuo^a, Chun-Yi Lu^{b,c}, Ting-Yu Yen^{b,c}, Susan Shur-Fen Gau^{a,d,*}

^a Department of Psychiatry, National Taiwan University Hospital and College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan

^b Department of Pediatrics, National Taiwan University Children's Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

^c Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

^d Graduate Institute of Brain and Mind Sciences, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Keywords: Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus Behavioral/emotional problems 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has remarkably impacted children's mental health. Investigating whether COVID-19-related Children behavioral changes persist after recovery from the acute phase of infection warrants investigation. The pre-Mental health sent study aimed to identify children's behavioral/emotional and social adjustment problems after SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic infection. Post-COVID symptoms Methods: 84 children aged 6-16 received assessments within 6 months after being tested positive for COVID-19. Social adjustment Their parents reported observations about their children 3 months before SARS-CoV-2 infection (pre-COVID condition) and the most recent 2 weeks (post-COVID condition) on a wide range of psychopathologies and social functional impairments. A control group consisted of 84 age-, sex-, and IQ-matched healthy children, with the same measures as those employed in the COVID group. Results: Compared with the control group, the COVID group in the post-COVID condition had more severe symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity-impulsivity, opposition, a wide range of emotional and behavioral problems, and poor school functions, school attitude, social interaction, school behavioral problems, and interaction problems with their parents. Compared with the pre-COVID condition, the COVID group had greater severity of inattention, somatic complaints, thought problems, internalizing problems, poor school functions, and interaction problems with their parents in the post-COVID condition. Conclusions: The present study identified a significant link between SARS-CoV-2 infection and various post-COVID mental health sequelae in children, including behavioral/emotional and social adjustment challenges. Our results underline the importance of raising awareness about ongoing post-COVID mental health concerns in children.

1. Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Recent studies have found persistent symptoms even after recovery from acute SARS-CoV-2 infection, causing adverse effects on the health outcomes of patients [1]. For example, a retrospective cohort study found that one-third of adults with SARS-CoV-2 infection had neuropsychiatric problems six months after the acute phase [2]. While post-COVID symptoms have mainly been documented in adults [3], there have

been limited studies on long COVID-19 in pediatric patients [4]. Nevertheless, a growing global concern has emerged about the persistent symptoms in children following acute infection. Several studies have revealed significant associations of SARS-CoV-2 infection with multiple physical and neuropsychiatric outcomes during the post-acute phase in children, including respiratory symptoms, nasal congestion, emotional problems, sleep disturbance, inattention, dizziness, head-ache, and loss of appetite [5]. Among these manifestations, mental health problems were particularly prevalent in post-COVID children, occurring independently or in combination [5].

* Corresponding author. Department of Psychiatry, National Taiwan University Hospital and College of Medicine, Taipei, 10002, Taiwan. *E-mail address:* gaushufe@ntu.edu.tw (S.S.-F. Gau).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2024.10.018

Received 2 September 2024; Received in revised form 10 October 2024; Accepted 16 October 2024

0929-6646/© 2024 Formosan Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

C.-Y. Shang et al.

The post-COVID sequelae have been observed in severely symptomatic, paucisymptomatic, or asymptomatic children, suggesting that they might develop in any patient with SARS-CoV-2 infection, regardless of the illness severity of the acute phase [6]. Despite high variations among studies, the average prevalence rate of post-COVID sequelae was 25% in children [7]. While the prognosis of post-COVID sequelae is relatively good in most children, a significantly negative impact on daily life may be caused by persistent symptoms in some cases [8]. For example, a recent observational study has shown that 23% of children with long COVID syndrome reported moderate to severe impairment in the quality of life [9].

Several recent studies have reported the impact of COVID-19 infection on the health of children in Taiwan. For example, severe neurological outcomes of hospitalized children were associated with some specific factors, including fatigue, hypoglycemia, behavior change, visual hallucination, myoclonic jerk, and seizure at admission [10]. Children with post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection persisting for more than four weeks experienced fatigue, respiratory illness, changes in appetite and body weight, attentional problems, and lack of motivation [11]. Children without post COVID-19 condition (PCC) had a significant reduction in emotional and behavioral problems during 2-month follow-up, whereas those with PCC had no such improvement [12].

There is still limited information on the post-COVID mental health problems in children. In addition, more studies are needed to differentiate the post-COVID sequelae from the symptoms caused by maladaptive responses to the pandemic [4]. Hence, the present study aimed to investigate children's behavioral, emotional, and social adjustment issues within 6 months following SARS-CoV-2 infection. Compared with healthy controls and the pre-COVID condition, we hypothesized that children who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 would be at a higher risk of experiencing behavioral, emotional, and social adjustment problems during the first 6 months following infection.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

This study enrolled 84 children aged 6-16 with SARS-CoV-2 infection, who were defined as being positive with a rapid antigen test kit and confirmed by a medical professional. All patients underwent clinical assessments 1-6 months after testing positive for COVID-19 (mean duration, 163 \pm 55 days) at National Taiwan University Children's Hospital from October 6, 2022, to April 30, 2023. In addition, we established a control group matched by age-, sex-, and IQ, consisting of non-infected healthy children who were not infected with SARS-CoV-2 and did not experience the COVID-19 pandemic. Thus, 80 historical controls were identified before April 2022, when the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic began with the spread of Omicron variants in Taiwan [13]. Moreover, 4 controls without SARS-CoV-2 infection, confirmed by parental reports, were enrolled after April 2022. All the participants in the present study met the following criteria: (1) full-scale IQ, estimated by Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children - Fifth Edition (WISC-V), >80, (2) no current or past history of any major psychiatric disorder confirmed by using the Kiddie epidemiologic version of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS-E) for DSM-5 [14] interview, such as autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, or mood disorders, and (3) no current or past history of any major systemic disease or neurological disorder.

2.2. Procedure

Before implementation, the present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of National Taiwan University Hospital, Taiwan (ID, 202206053RINC). The written informed consent was obtained from all the participants and their parents. For the COVID-19infected participants, their parents completed the first set of questionnaires about the participants' behaviors in the past three months before being infected by SARS-CoV-2, followed by reporting their observations about the participants in the most recent 2-week after being infected with COVID-19. We had already collected the parent-reported data for the healthy controls before the COVID-19 pandemic, corresponding to those questionnaires employed in the COVID group.

2.3. Measurements

The measurement tools included the K-SADS-E for the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders, the Chinese version of the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, version IV scale (SNAP-IV) for ADHD-related symptoms, the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) for a wide range of behavioral/ emotional problems, and Social Adjustment Inventory for Children and Adolescents (SAICA) for social adjustment.

2.3.1. K-SADS-E

The K-SADS-E was an investigator-rated semi-structured interview scale with good validity and reliability [15]. The parents of all participants were interviewed with the Chinese K-SADS-E to make the diagnoses of DSM-5 psychiatric disorders [16]. The K-SADS-E has been extensively used in previous studies [17–22].

2.3.2. SNAP-IV

The SNAP-IV, a 26-item scale, was designed to measure symptoms of inattention (Item 1–9), hyperactivity/impulsivity (Item 10–18), and opposition (Item 19–26) [23]. The parents of the participants rated each item for the symptom severity of their children on a four-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (very much). The Chinese version of SNAP-IV demonstrated satisfactory validity and reliability [24], and has been widely employed in clinical studies on ADHD [25].

2.3.3. CBCL

The CBCL was a parent-rated questionnaire designed to assess a broad range of behavioral and emotional problems in children and adolescents. The parents of the participants rated each item for their children's behavioral and emotional problems on a three-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (not true) to 2 (very true or often true). The CBCL consisted of eight narrow-band syndromes, including aggressive behavior, anxious/depressed symptoms, attention problems, delinquent behavior, social problems, somatic complaints, thought problems, and withdrawn symptoms. In addition, two broad-band dimensions were created, including internalizing (the sum score of anxious/depressed symptoms, withdrawn symptoms, and somatic complaints) and Externalizing (the sum score of delinquent behavior) problems. The Chinese version of CBCL has been widely employed to assess behavioral and emotional problems in pediatric populations (e.g., Ref. [26]).

2.3.4. SAICA

The SAICA was designed to assess the social adjustment of children and adolescents, such as school functions, peer relationships, and home behaviors [27]. The parents of the participants rated each item for the social adjustment of their children on a four-point Likert scale. The higher scores indicated greater severity of social adjustment problems. The Chinese version of SAICA had good psychometric properties [28], and has been widely employed in studies on the social adjustment of children and adolescents [29].

2.4. Data analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary NC, USA). The continuous variables were displayed as mean and standard deviation (SD), and the categorical variables were frequency and percentage. The three comparison groups were the pre-COVID and

C.-Y. Shang et al.

post-COVID conditions of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (Pre-COVID and Post-COVID groups, respectively) and control group. To control for the lack of independence between the pre-COVID condition and the post-COVID condition within the same participants, we used a linear multilevel model to compare the ADHD-related symptoms, behavioral/emotional problems, and social adjustment problems treating the same patients with COVID as a random effect. The Bonferroni correction method was applied to adjust for multiple comparisons in post hoc analyses. Additionally, we conducted subgroup analyses, stratified by sex, to compare the post-COVID condition with the control group.

3. Results

3.1. Sample description

Table 1 presented the demographics for participants with COVID-19 infection (age, 10.31 ± 2.55 years; 50 males, 59.52%) and controls (age, 10.14 ± 2.72 years; 50 males, 59.52%). There was no statistically significant difference in age, sex, and FIQ between the two groups. Of 84 participants infected with COVID-19, 14 (16.7%) were diagnosed with ADHD based on K-SADS-E interview with their parents, and 3 had received medications for ADHD. There were 4 participants with multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C).

3.2. ADHD-related symptoms measured by SNAP-IV (Table 2)

Compared to controls, the pre-COVID group had greater severity of opposition symptoms, and the post-COVID group had greater severity of inattention and opposition symptoms. Within the COVID group, we found more severe inattention symptoms in the post-COVID condition than pre-COVID condition Table 2.

3.3. Behavioral/emotional problems measured by CBCL (Table 3)

Compared to controls, the pre-COVID group had more severe aggressive behaviors, anxious/depressed symptoms, attention problems, social problems, somatic complaints, internalizing problems, and externalizing problems, and the post-COVID condition had higher severity of all the eight narrow-band syndromes and two broad-band dimensions of CBCL. Within the COVID group, participants showed more severe somatic complaints, thought problems, and internalizing problems in the post-COVID condition than in the pre-COVID condition Table 3.

3.4. Social adjustment measured by SAICA (Table 4)

Compared to controls, the COVID group showed more severe problems in overall school functions, school attitude, and social interaction, regardless of pre- or post-COVID condition, and school behavioral problems, and less active interactions with parents in the post-COVID condition. Within the COVID group, participants had more severe overall school dysfunctions and less active interactions with parents in the post-COVID condition than in the pre-COVID condition Table 4.

Table 1

Demographics of the COVID and control groups.

| Mean \pm <i>SD</i> or N (%) | COVID group (N = 84) | Control group (N $= 84$) | F statistics | p value |
|--|--|--|-----------------|------------|
| Age Range | $\begin{array}{c} 10.31 \pm 2.55 \\ 6.116.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 10.14 \pm 2.72 \\ 6.016.9 \end{array}$ | 0.16 | 0.691 |
| Sex Male Female Full scale IQ | 50 (59.52) 34 (40.48) 103.78 ± 14.06 | 50 (59.52) 34 (40.48) 104.46 ± 11.43 | 0.12 | 0.732 |

3.5. Subgroup analyses by sex (Table 5)

Females in the post-COVID condition had more severe SNAP-IVinattention and opposition symptoms and all CBCL subscores, as well as poorer overall school functions, academic performance, school attitude, social interactions, school behavioral problems, peer relationships, and home behaviors than female controls. Males in the post-COVID condition had more severe attention problems, somatic complaints, thought problems, internalizing problems, poorer school attitudes, and social interactions than male controls Table 5.

4. Discussion

As one of few studies, first in Asia, investigating the wide range of clinical and social aspects of children and adolescents infected with COVID-19, we have the following main findings. First, children who had ever been infected by SARS-CoV-2 had more ADHD-related symptoms, more behavioral/emotional problems, and poor social adjustment after recovery from acute infection compared with an age- and sex-matched control group. Second, results from post-COVID-pre-COVID comparisons indicate COVID-19 infection may lead to inattention, somatic complaints, thought problems, internalizing problems, poor school functions, and fewer interactions with parents.

4.1. Pre- and Post-COVID comparisons

Although improvement in certain domains of child's mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic has been reported due to the relief of social and school stress [30], a majority of studies showed the negative effects of the pandemic on the general pediatric population [31]. The present study extended these earlier findings to demonstrate that children in the post-COVID condition were at an even higher risk of inattention, somatic complaints, thought problems, internalizing problems, and difficulty in Interaction with parents than in their pre-COVID condition. Several studies have proposed that the manifestations of post-COVID sequelae depended mainly on the pandemic-related psychosocial factors, such as quarantine and lockdown, instead of the long-term effects of virus infection [32]. Our findings based on comparing the post-COVID condition with the pre-COVID condition suggest increased vulnerability to long-term mental health problems in children. Further studies integrating both biological and psychosocial approaches are needed to examine whether the post-COVID sequelae are the direct results of SARS-CoV-2 infection or the consequences of stress associated with the stringent restrictions for COVID-19 pandemic.

The underlying pathophysiological mechanism of the post-COVID behavioral/emotional and social problems in children remains unclear. Given the negative impact on the central nervous system, several theories have been proposed for the post-COVID neuropsychiatric symptoms [33]. First, brain damage directly caused by SARS-CoV-2 may persist and lead to post-COVID mental health problems. Second, the response of neuroinflammation to SARS-CoV-2 infection may have persistent adverse effects on the brain. Third, the post-COVID symptoms may be caused by the SARS-CoV-2 remaining in the neural networks. Further studies are needed to elucidate the pathogenesis of post-COVID behavioral/emotional and social problems in children.

4.2. Increased behavioral and social problems in the COVID group

Our results showed more opposition symptoms, behavioral/ emotional problems, and social maladjustment in pre-COVID than controls, and, additionally, 14 subjects in the COVID group had preexisting ADHD diagnoses, which could be explained as follows. Children with mental health problems were at a higher risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection [34], e.g., an adjusted odds ratio of 1.58 of having SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with ADHD [34]. These pre-existing mental health problems might interfere with a child's ability to comply with

C.-Y. Shang et al.

Table 2

Comparisons of the ADHD symptomatology measured by SNAP-IV.

| $Mean \pm SD$ | Control (N = 84) | Pre-COVID condition (N = 84) | Post-COVID condition ($N = 84$) | F statistics | Comparisons ^a |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Inattention | 5.51 ± 4.05 | 6.75 ± 5.34 | $\textbf{7.65} \pm \textbf{5.69}$ | 5.89* | 1, 2 < 3 |
| Hyperactivity/Impulsivity | 3.14 ± 4.17 | 4.39 ± 4.06 | 4.60 ± 4.60 | 2.51 | |
| Opposition | 3.92 ± 3.98 | 5.64 ± 4.27 | 6.05 ± 5.09 | 5.03* | 1 < 2, 3 |

Note: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; SNAP-IV, Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, version IV scale.

**p* < 0.05.

^a 1, Control group; 2, the Pre-COVID condition of the COVID group; 3, the Post-COVID condition of the COVID group.

| Table | 3 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

Comparisons of the behavioral/emotional problems measured by CBCL.

| $\textit{Mean} \pm \textit{SD}$ | Control ($N = 84$) | Pre-COVID condition ($N = 84$) | Post-COVID condition ($N = 84$) | F statistics | Comparisons ^a |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Aggressive behavior | 2.57 ± 3.39 | 4.83 ± 5.60 | 5.05 ± 6.18 | 5.33* | 1 < 2, 3 |
| Anxious/Depressed | 1.92 ± 2.93 | 3.74 ± 4.97 | 4.10 ± 5.38 | 5.84* | 1 < 2, 3 |
| Attention problems | 1.90 ± 2.63 | 3.49 ± 3.93 | 3.93 ± 3.82 | 8.04** | 1 < 2, 3 |
| Delinquent behavior | 0.88 ± 1.31 | 1.50 ± 2.09 | 1.61 ± 2.44 | 3.16* | 1 < 3 |
| Social problems | 1.27 ± 1.69 | 2.27 ± 2.65 | 2.29 ± 2.53 | 4.47* | 1 < 2, 3 |
| Somatic complaints | 0.41 ± 1.08 | 1.59 ± 2.51 | 2.91 ± 2.86 | 35.30*** | 1 < 2 < 3 |
| Thought problems | 0.25 ± 0.92 | 0.54 ± 0.84 | 0.86 ± 1.35 | 7.59** | 1, 2 < 3 |
| Withdrawn | 1.38 ± 1.94 | 2.13 ± 2.44 | 2.46 ± 2.74 | 5.56* | 1 < 3 |
| Internalizing problems | 3.71 ± 4.76 | $\textbf{7.46} \pm \textbf{8.47}$ | 9.48 ± 9.54 | 18.30*** | 1 < 2 < 3 |
| Externalizing problems | 3.45 ± 4.38 | 6.33 ± 7.20 | 6.66 ± 8.23 | 5.25* | 1 < 2, 3 |

Note: CBCL, Child Behavior Checklist.

**p* < 0.05.

**p < 0.01.

****p* < 0.001.

^a: 1, Control group; 2, the Pre-COVID condition of the COVID group; 3, the Post-COVID condition of the COVID group.

| Table 4 |
|--|
| Comparisons of the social adjustment problems measured by SAICA. |

| $Mean \pm SD$ | Control (N = 84) | Pre-COVID condition ($N = 84$) | Post-COVID condition ($N = 84$) | F statistics | Comparisons |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Overall school functions | 1.24 ± 0.26 | 1.38 ± 0.36 | 1.44 ± 0.41 | 9.08** | 1 < 2 < 3 |
| Academic performance | 1.47 ± 0.57 | 1.57 ± 0.74 | 1.67 ± 0.83 | 3.41* | _ |
| School attitude | 1.41 ± 0.56 | 1.70 ± 0.83 | 1.83 ± 0.89 | 7.14* | 1 < 2, 3 |
| Social interaction | 1.25 ± 0.37 | 1.49 ± 0.60 | 1.48 ± 0.60 | 4.78* | 1 < 2, 3 |
| School behavioral problem | 1.13 ± 0.22 | 1.24 ± 0.31 | 1.28 ± 0.37 | 6.50* | 1 < 3 |
| Overall peer relationships | 1.34 ± 0.25 | 1.42 ± 0.37 | 1.42 ± 0.39 | 1.10 | - |
| Relationship with peers | 1.81 ± 0.54 | 1.91 ± 0.67 | 1.86 ± 0.67 | 1.61 | - |
| Problem with peers | 1.13 ± 0.18 | 1.19 ± 0.30 | 1.19 ± 0.31 | 1.52 | - |
| Overall home behaviors | 1.21 ± 0.28 | 1.27 ± 0.29 | 1.43 ± 1.00 | 2.71 | - |
| Relationship with sibling | 1.34 ± 0.45 | 1.44 ± 0.60 | 2.79 ± 11.13 | 1.69 | - |
| Problem with sibling | 1.07 ± 0.23 | 1.10 ± 0.25 | 1.09 ± 0.21 | 0.19 | _ |
| Interaction with sibling | 1.16 ± 0.26 | 1.21 ± 0.30 | 2.50 ± 11.15 | 1.37 | - |
| Relationship with mother | 1.24 ± 0.43 | 1.30 ± 0.42 | 1.39 ± 0.50 | 4.02* | - |
| Relationship with father | 1.43 ± 0.59 | 1.50 ± 0.64 | 1.58 ± 0.68 | 2.71 | - |
| Problem with parents | 1.11 ± 0.24 | 1.18 ± 0.33 | 1.23 ± 0.38 | 3.41* | - |
| Interaction with parents | 1.25 ± 0.36 | 1.31 ± 0.36 | 1.38 ± 0.41 | 4.59* | 1, 2 < 3 |

Note: SAICA, Social Adjustment Inventory for Children and Adolescents.

**p* < 0.05.

**p < 0.01.

^a: 1, Control group; 2, the Pre-COVID condition of the COVID group; 3, the Post-COVID condition of the COVID group.

preventive measures for SARS-CoV-2 infection, such as maintenance of personal hygiene, social distancing, and movement restrictions.

fears of illness, loss of caregivers, and perceived disruptions to social interactions and daily activities [40].

In contrast, the COVID-19 pandemic could increase the risk of mental health problems in the general population, regardless of infection status. For example, a national survey found a significant increase in anxiety and depression symptoms among children during the COVID-19 pandemic [35]. Compared with the pre-pandemic estimates, the pooled prevalence of anxiety and depression has doubled during the pandemic [36]. A meta-analytic review also showed increased externalizing and internalizing problems in children due to the COVID-19 pandemic [37]. In addition, several studies showed increased scores on the CBCL during the COVID-19 pandemic in children with neuropsychiatric disorders, such as epilepsy [38] and tics [39]. The pandemic might have negative effects on the mental health of children through

4.3. Sex difference

Subgroup analyses showed more pronounced behavioral/emotional and social adjustment problems in girls in the COVID group than control girls. Previous studies have shown that girls were more vulnerable to developing post-COVID sequelae [41]. In line with these findings, a meta-analytic review has also found that female gender was one of the risk factors associated with long COVID symptoms in the pediatric population [5]. Although several biological studies have reported sex-based differences in the expression of ACE2 receptors [42] and immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection [43], the underlying

Table 5

Subgroup analyses by sex.

| Mean (SD) | Post-COVID condition | Control | р | Post-COVID condition | Control | р | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| | Male (n = 50) | | | Female (n = 34) | | | |
| SNAP-IV | | | | | | | |
| Inattention | 8.14 (5.60) | 6.31 (4.06) | - | 6.94 (5.84) | 4.33 (3.79) | 0.033 | |
| Hyperactivity/Impulsivity | 5.08 (4.90) | 3.68 (4.24) | - | 3.88 (4.09) | 2.35 (3.99) | - | |
| Oppositional | 5.82 (5.46) | 4.62 (4.54) | - | 6.38 (4.56) | 2.88 (2.73) | < 0.00 | |
| CBCL | | | | | | | |
| Aggressive behavior | 5.04 (6.76) | 3.12 (3.88) | - | 5.06 (5.31) | 1.77 (2.35) | 0.002 | |
| Anxious/Depressed | 2.91 (4.33) | 1.76 (2.65) | - | 5.85 (6.30) | 2.15 (3.34) | 0.004 | |
| Attention problems | 3.82 (3.87) | 2.25 (2.86) | 0.023 | 4.09 (3.81) | 1.38 (2.20) | < 0.00 | |
| Delinquent behavior | 1.76 (2.91) | 1.20 (1.51) | - | 1.38 (1.52) | 0.41 (0.74) | 0.001 | |
| Social problems | 2.22 (2.72) | 1.72 (1.96) | - | 2.38 (2.27) | 0.62 (0.85) | < 0.00 | |
| Somatic complaints | 2.64 (2.80) | 0.32 (0.89) | < 0.001 | 3.31 (2.94) | 0.56 (1.31) | < 0.00 | |
| Thought problems | 0.72 (1.09) | 0.28 (1.09) | 0.046 | 1.06 (1.67) | 0.21 (0.59) | 0.006 | |
| Withdrawn | 1.72 (2.20) | 1.48 (2.25) | _ | 3.56 (3.09) | 1.24 (1.39) | < 0.00 | |
| Internalizing problems | 7.27 (7.91) | 3.56 (4.62) | 0.005 | 12.72 (10.84) | 3.94 (5.03) | < 0.00 | |
| Externalizing problems | 6.80 (9.35) | 4.32 (5.04) | _ | 6.44 (6.37) | 2.18 (2.77) | < 0.00 | |
| SAICA | | | | | | | |
| Overall school functions | 1.42 (0.41) | 1.28 (0.28) | _ | 1.47 (0.42) | 1.19 (0.21) | < 0.00 | |
| Academic performance | 1.66 (0.84) | 1.55 (0.62) | _ | 1.70 (0.82) | 1.36 (0.47) | 0.045 | |
| School attitude | 1.82 (0.90) | 1.44 (0.58) | 0.013 | 1.85 (0.89) | 1.38 (0.55) | 0.011 | |
| Social interaction | 1.43 (0.50) | 1.23 (0.35) | 0.028 | 1.56 (0.72) | 1.27 (0.39) | 0.047 | |
| School behavioral problem | 1.26 (0.38) | 1.17 (0.25) | _ | 1.31 (0.36) | 1.08 (0.15) | 0.001 | |
| Overall peer relationships | 1.37 (0.33) | 1.38 (0.28) | _ | 1.48 (0.45) | 1.29 (0.19) | 0.023 | |
| Relationship with peers | 1.80 (0.65) | 1.91 (0.58) | _ | 1.94 (0.69) | 1.67 (0.43) | _ | |
| Problem with peers | 1.17 (0.27) | 1.14 (0.20) | _ | 1.23 (0.36) | 1.11 (0.15) | _ | |
| Overall home behaviors | 1.30 (0.33) | 1.23 (0.32) | _ | 1.61 (1.52) | 1.19 (0.21) | _ | |
| Relationship with sibling | 1.53 (0.69) | 1.39 (0.49) | _ | 4.57 (17.24) | 1.26 (0.38) | _ | |
| Problem with sibling | 1.07 (0.21) | 1.09 (0.27) | _ | 1.11 (0.21) | 1.06 (0.14) | _ | |
| Interaction with sibling | 1.22 (0.31) | 1.19 (0.30) | _ | 4.31 (17.28) | 1.12 (0.17) | _ | |
| Relationship with mother | 1.37 (0.44) | 1.23 (0.45) | _ | 1.42 (0.59) | 1.25 (0.40) | _ | |
| Relationship with father | 1.54 (0.67) | 1.43 (0.59) | _ | 1.64 (0.72) | 1.42 (0.59) | _ | |
| Problem with parents | 1.22 (0.40) | 1.14 (0.30) | _ | 1.24 (0.34) | 1.07 (0.13) | 0.009 | |
| Interaction with parents | 1.36 (0.40) | 1.26 (0.40) | _ | 1.41 (0.42) | 1.23 (0.29) | 0.042 | |

Note: SNAP-IV, Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham, version IV scale; CBCL, Child Behavior Checklist; SAICA, Social Adjustment Inventory for Children and Adolescents.

pathophysiological mechanisms of female predominance of post-COVID mental health problems in children remain to be elucidated.

4.4. Methodological consideration

While interpreting the findings from the present study, several methodological limitations must be considered. First, although there were historical controls representing the baseline pre-pandemic condition for children uninfected by the SARS-CoV-2, our present study enrolled few contemporary controls, who experienced the same impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health without evidence of infection. To facilitate a better understanding of the complex relationships between SARS-CoV-2 infection and mental health problems, further studies are needed to investigate the post-COVID symptoms of children compared with both historical controls predating the pandemic and contemporary controls with no evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Second, the present study explored the outcomes of behavioral/ emotional and social adjustment problems in children 1–6 months after being tested positive for COVID-19. The post-COVID mental health problems of children could persist more than one year after the acute phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection, which might also fluctuate in frequency and severity [5]. Further, longitudinal studies are needed to identify the long-term mental health outcomes in children after SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Third, given that the parents of the COVID group were required to recall children's manifestations of behavioral/emotional and social adjustment problems 3 months before infection by SARS-CoV-2, the present study might introduce recall bias to the findings.

Fourth, antibody testing was not performed to confirm the negative serologic results of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the controls. However, the contamination of controls might be minimal, given that the COVID-19 pandemic did not remarkably strike Taiwan till April 2022, when the beginning of the outbreak was caused by the spread of Omicron variants of SARS-CoV-2 [13]. Although we were unable to examine whether those controls enrolled after the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in Taiwan had undetected asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection, the results would have been biased towards decreased between-group differences in behavioral/emotional and social adjustment problems by the possible contamination.

Fifth, since the present study was conducted during the period dominated by Omicron variants, our findings may not be generalized to the post-COVID condition of children infected with other variants.

Sixth, the sample size of our present study was relatively small. However, the inclusion of age- and sex-matched controls might mitigate this limitation.

On the other hand, the present study had several strengths. First, the baseline characteristics were comparable between the COVID and control groups. Second, using validated and well-established assessment instruments for children, we measured a wide range of behavioral/emotional and social adjustment problems to detect broad-spectrum symptoms that post-COVID sequelae might present. Third, given that the questionnaires for the COVID group were exactly the same as those for the control group, the comparability between the two groups was enhanced. Fourth, by employing a within-subject comparison design (comparing post-COVID condition to the pre-COVID condition), the present study could differentiate long COVID symptoms from those symptoms associated with maladaptive reactions to the COVID-19 pandemic [4].

In conclusion, this study highlights the potential association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and increased risks of behavioral/emotional problems and impaired social adjustment among children who survive the acute phase. In addition, our findings support the bidirectional connection between SARS-CoV-2 infection and mental health problems [44], implying that behavioral/emotional problems and poor social

C.-Y. Shang et al.

adjustment may predispose children to being infected by SARS-CoV-2, and SARS-CoV-2 infection itself may cause mental health problems in children. Our findings underscore the importance of raising awareness about the adverse mental health impacts of SARS-CoV-2 infection in children. Moreover, there is a critical need to integrate the mental healthcare resources to formulate effective strategies for the early identification and intervention in children experiencing post-COVID sequelae.

Funding source

The study was supported by the National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan (NHRI-EX111-11002PI, NHRI-EX112-11002PI) and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (MOST 111-2327-B-002- 009).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in reporting this study.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge all the participants and their parents. In addition, the authors express thanks to Ming-Fang Chen, MS, for assistance in data analysis.

References

- Yelin D, Margalit I, Yahav D, et al. Long COVID-19-it's not over until? Clin Microbiol Infect 2021;27(4):506–8.
- [2] Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, et al. 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236 379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. Lancet Psychiatr 2021;8(5):416–27.
- [3] Nasserie T, Hittle M, Goodman SN. Assessment of the frequency and variety of persistent symptoms among patients with COVID-19: a systematic review. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4(5):e2111417.
- [4] Kikkenborg Berg S, Dam Nielsen S, Nygaard U, et al. Long COVID symptoms in SARS-CoV-2-positive adolescents and matched controls (Longoviferus): a national, cross-sectional study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2022;6(4):240–8.
- [5] Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Ayuzo Del Valle NC, et al. Long-COVID in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Sci Rep 2022;12 (1):9950.
- [6] Buonsenso D, Pujol FE, Munblit D, et al. Clinical characteristics, activity levels and mental health problems in children with long coronavirus disease: a survey of 510 children. Future Microbiol 2022;17(8):577–88.
- [7] Kumar P, Jat KR. Post-COVID-19 sequelae in children. Indian J Pediatr 2023;90(6): 605–11.
- [8] Goldman RD. Long COVID in children. Can Fam Physician 2022;68(4):263–5.
- [9] Garai R, Krivacsy P, Herczeg V, et al. Clinical assessment of children with long COVID syndrome. Pediatr Res 2023;93(6):1616–25.
- [10] Chu YJ, Wong LC, Ho CS, et al. Neurological manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection in children in Taiwan: a cross-section, multicenter study. J Formos Med Assoc 2024;123(7):811–7.
- [11] Hsu YL, Chen PC, Tsai YF, et al. Clinical features and vaccination effects among children with post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 in taiwan. Vaccines 2024;12(8): 910.
- [12] Li CJ, Yu HR, Kou KC, et al. Post COVID-19 condition and behavioral manifestations in Taiwanese children. Pediatr Int 2024;66(1):e15724.
- [13] Chen SH, Wu JL, Liu YC, et al. Differential clinical characteristics and performance of home antigen tests between parents and children after household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 during the Omicron variant pandemic. Int J Infect Dis 2023;128: 301–6.
- [14] Chen YL, Shen LJ, Gau SS. The Mandarin version of the Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Epidemiological version for DSM-5 - a psychometric study. J Formos Med Assoc 2017;116(9):671–8.
- [15] Gau SS, Chong MY, Chen TH, et al. A 3-year panel study of mental disorders among adolescents in Taiwan. Am J Psychiatr 2005;162(7):1344–50.
- [16] Chen YL, Chen WJ, Lin KC, et al. Prevalence of DSM-5 mental disorders in a nationally representative sample of children in Taiwan: methodology and main findings. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci 2019;29:e15.
- [17] Lin YJ, Tseng WL, Gau SS. Psychiatric comorbidity and social adjustment difficulties in children with disruptive mood dysregulation disorder: a national epidemiological study. J Affect Disord 2021;281:485–92.

Journal of the Formosan Medical Association xxx (xxxx) xxx

- [18] Chang JC, Lin HY, Gau SS. Distinct developmental changes in regional gray matter volume and covariance in individuals with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a longitudinal voxel-based morphometry study. Asian J Psychiatr 2024;91:103860.
- [19] Chen YL, Kuo RN, Gau SS. Burden of mental disorders in children in the general population and in health facilities: discrepancies in years lived with disability based on national prevalence estimates between populations receiving care or not. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatr 2022;31(8):1–9.
- [20] Chiang HL, Tseng WI, Wey HY, et al. Shared intrinsic functional connectivity alterations as a familial risk marker for ADHD: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study with sibling design. Psychol Med 2022;52(9):1736–45.
- [21] Lin YJ, Chiu YN, Wu YY, et al. Developmental changes of autistic symptoms, ADHD symptoms, and attentional performance in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. J Autism Dev Disord 2023;53(7):2555–69.
- [22] Tung YH, Lin HY, Chen CL, et al. Whole brain white matter tract deviation and idiosyncrasy from normative development in autism and ADHD and unaffected siblings link with dimensions of psychopathology and cognition. Am J Psychiatr 2021;178(8):730–43.
- [23] Swanson JM, Kraemer HC, Hinshaw SP, et al. Clinical relevance of the primary findings of the MTA: success rates based on severity of ADHD and ODD symptoms at the end of treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2001;40(2):168–79.
- [24] Gau SS, Lin CH, Hu FC, et al. Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of the Swanson, nolan, and Pelham, version IV scale-teacher form. J Pediatr Psychol 2009;34(8):850–61.
- [25] Shang CY, Lin HY, Gau SS. The norepinephrine transporter gene modulates intrinsic brain activity, visual memory, and visual attention in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Mol Psychiatr 2021;26(8):4026–35.
- [26] Shih HH, Shang CY, Gau SS. Comparative efficacy of methylphenidate and atomoxetine on emotional and behavioral problems in youths with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 2019;29(1):9–19.
- [27] John K, Gammon GD, Prusoff BA, et al. The social adjustment inventory for children and adolescents (SAICA): testing of a new semistructured interview. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1987;26(6):898–911.
- [28] Gau SS, Shen HY, Soong WT, et al. An open-label, randomized, active-controlled equivalent trial of osmotic release oral system methylphenidate in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Taiwan. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 2006;16(4):441–55.
- [29] Shang CY, Shih HH, Pan YL, et al. Comparative efficacy of methylphenidate and atomoxetine on social adjustment in youths with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 2020;30(3):148–58.
- [30] Cost KT, Crosbie J, Anagnostou E, et al. Mostly worse, occasionally better: impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of Canadian children and adolescents. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatr 2022;31(4):671–84.
- [31] Chai J, Xu H, An N, et al. The prevalence of mental problems for Chinese children and adolescents during COVID-19 in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Pediatr 2021;9:661796.
- [32] Bourmistrova NW, Solomon T, Braude P, et al. Long-term effects of COVID-19 on mental health: a systematic review. J Affect Disord 2022;299:118–25.
- [33] Stephenson T, Shafran R, Ladhani SN. Long COVID in children and adolescents. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2022;35(5):461–7.
- [34] Merzon E, Manor I, Rotem A, et al. ADHD as a risk factor for infection with covid-19. J Atten Disord 2021;25(13):1783–90.
- [35] Zablotsky B, Black LI, Terlizzi EP, et al. Anxiety and depression symptoms among children before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ann Epidemiol 2022;75:53–6.
- [36] Racine N, McArthur BA, Cooke JE, et al. Global prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms in children and adolescents during COVID-19: a meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr 2021;175(11):1142–50.
- [37] Bussieres EL, Malboeuf-Hurtubise C, Meilleur A, et al. Consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on children's mental health: a meta-analysis. Front Psychiatr 2021; 12:691659.
- [38] Pasca L, Zanaboni MP, Grumi S, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic in pediatric patients with epilepsy with neuropsychiatric comorbidities: a telemedicine evaluation. Epilepsy Behav 2021;115:107519.
- [39] Prato A, Salerno AM, Saia F, et al. Symptoms compatible with long COVID in an Italian pediatric cohort of Tourette patients with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection: a short-term follow-up assessment. BMC Pediatr 2023;23(1):222.
- [40] Loades ME, Chatburn E, Higson-Sweeney N, et al. Rapid systematic review: the impact of social isolation and loneliness on the mental health of children and adolescents in the context of COVID-19. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2020; 59(11):1218. 39.e3.
- [41] Stephenson T, Pinto Pereira SM, Shafran R, et al. Physical and mental health 3 months after SARS-CoV-2 infection (long COVID) among adolescents in England (CLoCk): a national matched cohort study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2022;6(4): 230–9.
- [42] Gagliardi MC, Tieri P, Ortona E, et al. ACE2 expression and sex disparity in COVID-19. Cell Death Dis 2020;6:37.
- [43] Takahashi T, Ellingson MK, Wong P, et al. Sex differences in immune responses that underlie COVID-19 disease outcomes. Nature 2020;588(7837):315–20.
- [44] Xie Y, Xu E, Al-Aly Z. Risks of mental health outcomes in people with covid-19: cohort study. BMJ 2022;376:e068993.