NSTC 國家科學及技術委員會

A glimpse into viral warfare: decoding the intriguing role of highly pathogenic coronavirus proteins in apoptosis regulation

Leyi Cheng^{1,2†}, Yajuan Rui^{1,2†}, Yanpu Wang^{1,2}, Shiqi Chen^{1,2}, Jiaming Su^{1,2*} and Xiao-Fang Yu^{1,2*}

Abstract

Coronaviruses employ various strategies for survival, among which the activation of endogenous or exogenous apoptosis stands out, with viral proteins playing a pivotal role. Notably, highly pathogenic coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV exhibit a greater array of non-structural proteins compared to low-pathogenic strains, facilitating their ability to induce apoptosis via multiple pathways. Moreover, these viral proteins are adept at dampening host immune responses, thereby bolstering viral replication and persistence. This review delves into the intricate interplay between highly pathogenic coronaviruses and apoptosis, systematically elucidating the molecular mechanisms underpinning apoptosis induction by viral proteins. Furthermore, it explores the potential therapeutic avenues stemming from apoptosis inhibition as antiviral agents and the utilization of apoptosis-inducing viral proteins as therapeutic modalities. These insights not only shed light on viral pathogenesis but also ofer novel perspectives for cancer therapy.

Highlights

• Apoptosis plays an important role in the pathogenesis of the highly pathogenic coronavirus

• The structural and non-structural proteins of highly pathogenic coronaviruses exert significant influence over apoptosis regulation

• Apoptosis inhibitors exhibits promising antiviral efects, thereby presenting a potential avenue for the development of novel therapeutics targeting COVID-19.

Keywords Apoptosis, Highly Pathogenic Coronavirus, Antiviral Drugs

† Leyi Cheng and Yajuan Rui contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Jiaming Su xiaosuyoc@zju.edu.cn Xiao‑Fang Yu xfyu1@zju.edu.cn

¹ Cancer Institute (Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Intervention, China National Ministry of Education), The Second Afliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou,

Zhejiang 310009, China

² Cancer Center, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, China

Background

Coronaviruses are a large family of viruses that cause illness in both animals and humans. They are divided into four genera: α, β, γ and δ. Among these, humans are primarily susceptible to coronaviruses from the α and $β$ genera. The β genera, in particular, include three highly pathogenic species: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), responsible for the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, as well as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecom· [mons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

(MERS-CoV) (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). On the other hand, there are four low-pathogenic species commonly associated with mild respiratory symptoms, namely human coronavirus (HCoV) 229E, NL63, OC43, and HKU1 (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)), which are classified under the α and $β$ genera. Bat SARS-like coronaviruses belong to β genera also show potential for human emergence $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$ (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Infection with these low-pathogenic coronaviruses typically results in symptoms resembling the common cold [[3\]](#page-11-2).

COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2, emerged in late 2019 and has become a global pandemic. By the end of 2023, there have been over 770 million reported cases and over 6.9 million deaths worldwide [[4\]](#page-11-3). Previous large-scale coronavirus outbreaks include SARS in 2002 (caused by SARS-CoV) and MERS in 2012 (caused by MERS-CoV). The cumulative number of infections for SARS and MERS was a approximately 8,000 [[5\]](#page-11-4) and 2,600 [[6\]](#page-11-5), respectively, with cumulative death toll of 774 [[5\]](#page-11-4) and 936 [[6\]](#page-11-5).

The virus particles of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 include genomic RNA and four structural proteins, spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M) and nucleocapsid (N). Non-structural proteins are not necessarily incorporated into the virus particles, except ORF3a, ORF7a, ORF7b, ORF9b of SARS-CoV [[7,](#page-12-0) [8](#page-12-1)] and ORF3a, ORF7a of SARS-CoV-2 [\[9\]](#page-12-2) (Fig. [2A](#page-2-0)). SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 are positive-sense, singlestranded RNA viruses with genomes of about 30,000 bases in length. Their genomes include 5' end cap-like structure, structural proteins S, E, M and N, non-structural proteins, and 3'-end poly A tails [[10–](#page-12-3)[15](#page-12-4)] (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)B). Comparing diferent human-susceptible coronavirus genomes, it's evident that highly pathogenic coronaviruses encode more non-structural proteins than lowpathogenic coronaviruses (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)B). Many studies have demonstrated that diferent non-structural proteins can help highly pathogenic coronaviruses evade host immune responses more efectively and promote viral replication in diferent ways. Regulation of apoptosis is one of the important way $[16–25]$ $[16–25]$ $[16–25]$ $[16–25]$. In this review, we summarize the current knowledge of the apoptosis induced by highly pathogenic coronaviruses and their molecular mechanisms, as well as the potential applications of apoptosis

Apoptosis signal transduction

inhibitors as antiviral drugs.

Apoptosis, a programmed cell death process, was originally proposed by J.F. Kerr in 1972 $[26]$ $[26]$ $[26]$. The classical apoptosis is primarily categorized into three pathways based on the origin of the apoptotic signal: the endogenous endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress pathway, the endogenous DNA damage pathway and the exogenous death receptor pathway.

Endogenous apoptosis mainly includes ER stress pathway and DNA damage pathway. When DNA damage (such as DNA double-strand break) occurs, DNA

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree of highly pathogenic coronavirus (red), low-pathogenic coronavirus (blue) and the other SARS-related coronavirus. Reference sequences of representative coronaviruses include phylogenetic analysis was performed with the CLC program by the neighbor-joining method on the basis of the Kimura two-parameter model

Fig. 2 General structural pattern diagram and genome of coronavirus. **A**, Coronavirus particles include E, M, N, S, genomic RNA and secondary components such as ORF3a, ORF7a, ORF7b, ORF9b of SARS-CoV and ORF3a, ORF7a of SARS-CoV-2. **B**, Schematic diagram of the genomic organization and encoded proteins of SARS-related coronavirus. The highly pathogenic coronaviruses (red) encode more non-structural proteins

damage response (DDR) kinases ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM), ATM- and Rad3-Related (ATR), DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) are activated [\[27](#page-12-8)], and then a large amount of H2AX is rapidly phosphorylated at Ser-139 to produce phosphorylated histone H2AX (γH2AX) and bind to the damage sites [[28\]](#page-12-9), further activating p53 to phosphorylation, and

promoting apoptosis by regulating the transcription of apoptosis-related genes (Fig. [3A](#page-3-0)). When unfolded protein response (UPR) and other factors induce ER stress, the protein kinase RNA–like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK), inositol requiring enzyme 1α (IRE1 α), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) pathways are activated, resulting in enhanced C/EBP homologous

Fig. 3 Overview of apoptosis activation by proteins encoded by highly pathogenic coronaviruses. **A**, Exogenous death receptor apoptotic pathway and intrinsic DNA damage-induced apoptosis pathway. **B**, Intrinsic ER stress-induced apoptosis pathway. The majority of proteins encoded by highly pathogenic coronaviruses enhance the activity of pro-apoptotic proteins (indicated by red arrows) and suppress the function of anti-apoptotic proteins (indicated by blue arrows). Certain structural proteins (depicted in blue) exhibit the capability to inhibit apoptosis

protein (CHOP) expression and endogenous apoptosis (Fig. [3B](#page-3-0)). In response to signals such as DNA damage and ER stress, pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins (BAD, BID, BIM, PUMA, NOXA, etc.) competitively bind to anti-apoptotic proteins (BCL-2, BCL-xL, MCL-1), releasing pro-apoptotic proteins (BAX, BAK, BOK) from antiapoptotic proteins [\[29](#page-12-10)]. Free pro-apoptotic proteins form oligomers, leading to their activation and translocation to the outer mitochondrial membrane, forming channels. These channels cause mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP), resulting in the release of cytochrome C from the intermembrane space of

mitochondria into the cytoplasm. Cytochrome C works with procaspase-9 and apoptotic protease activating factor 1 (APAF1) to form apoptosomes, which activates caspase-9. Then, activated caspase-9 cleaves procaspase-3, generating caspase-3. Caspase-3 further cleaves the DNA repair enzyme poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP), leading to DNA repair dysregulation and eventually triggering endogenous apoptosis [[30–](#page-12-11)[34](#page-12-12)] (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)).

The exogenous pathway relies on the activation of death receptors on the cell surface. When extracellular death ligands (such as FasL, TNF and TRAIL) bind to death receptors (Fas, TNFR1, TRAILR1, TRAILR2),

a death-inducing signaling complex (DISC) containing the intracellular death domain of the death receptor, Fasassociating death domain protein (FADD)/ TNFR1-associated death domain protein (TRADD) and caspase-8 was formed. Caspase-8 is activated through oligomerization and subsequently, cleaves procaspase-3 to generate caspase-3, eventually exogenous apoptosis. Activated caspase-8, on the other hand, cleaves BH3-interacting domain death agonist (BID) into truncated BID (tBID), promotes the translocation of tBID from the cytosol to the mitochondria, which contribute to MOMP, ultimately leading to apoptosis $[30-32, 34]$ $[30-32, 34]$ $[30-32, 34]$ $[30-32, 34]$ (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A).

In conclusion, apoptosis is regulated by complex signal transduction pathways involving both endogenous and exogenous pathways. Understanding the mechanisms and interactions involved in apoptosis signal transduction is crucial for unraveling the intricate processes underlying cell death and survival and developing novel therapeutic strategies targeting apoptosis-related diseases.

Apoptosis and highly pathogenic coronaviruses: exploring the role of apoptosis in coronavirus pathogenesis

Traditionally, apoptosis has been regarded as a means for host cells to rescue themselves and facilitate viral clearance [[35,](#page-12-14) [36\]](#page-12-15). However, compelling evidence is emerging to suggest that apoptosis can act as a double-edged sword, capable of benefting both DNA and RNA viruses in promoting their self-replication [[37](#page-12-16), [38\]](#page-12-17).

Remarkably, it has been observed that caspase-defcient cells exhibit a heightened antiviral ability compared to normal cells [\[39](#page-12-18)]. Mitochondrial stress is one of the ways that SARS-CoV-2 activates the cyclic GMP-AMP synthase-stimulator of interferon gene (cGAS-STING) signaling pathway, which causes mitochondrial dysfunction and releases mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) from the mitochondria into the cytoplasm [[40\]](#page-12-19), resulting in upregulating of type I interferon (IFN-I) expression. In order to antagonize the antiviral efect of interferon, the virus employs a strategy by enhancing the apoptosis signal, activating caspase-3 and caspase-7, both of which play crucial roles downstream in the apoptotic pathway [\[41](#page-12-20)]. These activated caspases not only cleave and inactivate IFN-I [[42\]](#page-12-21), but also promote mtDNA degradation, and inhibit the activation of cGAS-STING signaling pathway [[41,](#page-12-20) [43](#page-12-22)]. Consequently, the virus evades the surveillance and clearance by the host immune system, establishing a favorable environment for its survival.

In the long-term struggle between viruses and hosts, some viruses have developed strategies to manipulate cellular processes. For highly pathogenic coronaviruses such as MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 mentioned above, inducing apoptosis is an important way to promote viral replication, aggravate tissue and organ damage, and motivate the development of diseases [[37](#page-12-16), [38\]](#page-12-17).

Studies have demonstrated that apoptosis is associated with lung injury, multi-organ failure in COVID-19 patients [\[44](#page-12-23)[–46\]](#page-12-24). Notably, SARS-CoV-2 predominantly induces apoptosis in respiratory epithelial cells rather than necrosis. This preference is evidenced by the abundance of apoptotic cells and scarcity of necrotic cells following invasion of human respiratory epithelial cells $[47]$ $[47]$. This characteristic may reflect the virus's "cleverness" in adopting immune "silencing" apoptosis as a survival strategy given that apoptosis is more suitable for the virus's survival than necrosis, which can trigger excessive production of infammatory factors. Following SARS-CoV-2 infection, the virus persists longer in the nasal mucosa compared to the lungs, with lower production of inflammatory factors $[48]$ $[48]$. This is due to nasal mucosal epithelial cells primarily undergoing apoptosis after viral infection, whereas in the lungs, the apoptosis rate is lower and the pyroptosis rate is higher [\[48](#page-12-26)], while apoptosis of immune silence is more conducive to viral replication. Notably, the virus is predominantly present in superfcial epithelial cells in the early stages of infection, and gradually invades submucosal cells as the disease progresses $[48]$. Therefore, apoptosis may favor the spread of the virus from nasal mucosal epithelial cells to submucosal cells, that is, apoptosis can promote the spread of SARS-CoV-2.

Moreover, evidence suggests that SARS-CoV-2 triggers apoptosis in lung epithelial cells, destroys the alveolar capillary barrier, thereby promoting the development of pulmonary edema and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and aggravating lung injury in patients with COVID-19, resulting in high mortality [[35](#page-12-14), [49](#page-12-27)[–52](#page-12-28)]. Patients with severe COVID-19 were more likely to develop apoptosis than those with mild symptoms, highlighting the direct relationship between apoptosis levels and severity and mortality of COVID-19 patients [[53\]](#page-12-29). During SARS-CoV-2 infection, the apoptosis ratio of B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes [\[54](#page-12-30), [55\]](#page-12-31) and monocytes $[53]$ $[53]$ is also elevated. This coupled with impaired phagocytosis and anti-infammatory function of macrophages and monocytes after phagocytosing apoptosis cells [[56\]](#page-12-32), particularly in severe clinical cases, suggests that enhanced apoptosis of immune cells may contribute to the severe clinical symptoms of COVID-19 patients. Additionally, SARS-CoV-2-induced apoptosis in β pancreatic cells contributes to abnormal glucose metabolism, which aggravates diabetes [\[57\]](#page-13-0). In summary, apoptosis aggravates multiple organ failure and microcirculation disorders through various mechanisms, leading to higher patient mortality rates and poor clinical outcomes.

MERS-CoV activates both endogenous and exogenous apoptotic pathways, leading to extensive apoptosis of bronchial epithelial cells, renal cells, macrophages, dendritic cells and other cells, resulting in high morbidity and mortality among MERS patients [[58](#page-13-1)[–60](#page-13-2)]. Due to elevated dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4) receptor expression on T lymphocytes, they become more vulnerable to MERS-CoV infection, triggering apoptosis. MERS-CoV targets lymphoid organs like the spleen and tonsils, infecting T lymphocytes at various developmental stages, leading to extensive apoptosis and subsequent lymphocyte depletion. This immune system paralysis exacerbates viral infection, culminating in a severe prognosis for patients [[59\]](#page-13-3). Yeung ML et al. discovered that MERS-CoV induces apoptosis in kidney cells by upregulating the expression of smad family member 7 (Smad7) and fbroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2), thus facilitating viral release and dissemination of infection in kidneys and other tissues. Consequently, the incidence of renal failure in MERS patients surpasses that of other human coronavirus infections [[58\]](#page-13-1). Notably, MERS-CoV uses caspase-6, a component of the apoptosis cascade, to cleave the N protein, producing small fragments that act as interferon antagonists and suppress the host immune response, thus promoting replication $[38]$. The inhibition of caspase-6 can attenuate MERS-CoV replication in human lung tissue and human intestinal organoids, and also improve the pathological changes of the lung in vivo caused by the virus [[38\]](#page-12-17).

Furthermore, SARS-CoV infection triggers signifcant apoptosis in lung epithelial cells [\[61](#page-13-4), [62\]](#page-13-5), lymphocytes [[63,](#page-13-6) [64](#page-13-7)], liver [\[65](#page-13-8)], thyroid [[66\]](#page-13-9) and kidney [[67\]](#page-13-10) cells. Microarray analysis of host genes showed that the expression of 13 pro-apoptotic genes was up-regulated after SARS-CoV infection, while only 3 pro-apoptotic genes were up-regulated after infection with the lowpathogenic coronavirus HCoV-229E. Thus, highly pathogenic coronaviruses enhance pathogenicity by inducing apoptosis. It is with regret that cross-sectional comparisons of the apoptosis-inducing capacity of highly pathogenic coronaviruses are still lacking in the feld. Further study of this may help us understand the important role of apoptosis in the pathogenesis of highly pathogenic coronaviruses.

The induction of apoptosis to promote viral replication is not exclusive to coronaviruses but is a common survival strategy employed by many viruses, including cowpox viruses (CPXV) [[68\]](#page-13-11), porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) [[69,](#page-13-12) [70](#page-13-13)], herpes simplex virus (HSV) [\[71](#page-13-14), [72\]](#page-13-15), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [[73\]](#page-13-16), human immunodefciency virus (HIV) [[74](#page-13-17)[–83](#page-13-18)], Zika virus (ZIKV) [[84\]](#page-13-19), Hepatitis C virus (HCV) $[85-92]$ $[85-92]$ $[85-92]$, and others. Therefore, the virus exploits apoptosis to enhance its replication, leading to a substantial increase in the number of infected cells undergoing apoptosis, aggravating the patient's condition. Apoptosis serves as a crucial tactic for viruses to suppress host immune responses and facilitate infection. Understanding the intricate interplay between apoptosis and viral infectious diseases is vital for deciphering the complexities underlying viral pathogenesis. Further exploration of these mechanisms holds promise for the development of innovative strategies to combat apoptosis-related viral diseases.

Mechanism and biological signifcance of apoptosis modulated by highly pathogenic coronavirus structural proteins

Highly pathogenic coronavirus structural proteins, including S, E, M and N proteins, play critical roles in cell invasion, virus particle synthesis, release, and also modulation of cell apoptosis [\[68](#page-13-11)[–70](#page-13-13), [93](#page-13-22)] (Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

Specifcally, the SARS-CoV-2 S protein induces apoptosis through various pathways. Firstly, SARS-CoV-2 S promotes apoptosis through autophagy by reactive oxygen species (ROS)-suppressed PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling [\[71](#page-13-14)]. Moreover, S protein directly interacts with the major receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), facilitating the formation of ACE2-calcium channel clusters. This interaction causes overactivation of calcium channels, disrupting intracellular calcium homeostasis, and ultimately inducing apoptosis [[72\]](#page-13-15) (Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0). Furthermore, SARS-CoV S protein triggers ER stress and UPR through PERK pathway activation, resulting in disrupted cellular homeostasis, apoptosis, and enhanced viral replication [\[73](#page-13-16)–[75\]](#page-13-23) (Fig. [3B](#page-3-0)). Additionally, the induction of apoptosis by MERS-CoV S protein has also been reported, although the specifc regulatory mechanism remains unclear [[76\]](#page-13-24) (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A).

SARS-CoV-2 E protein has been shown to induce apoptosis in periodontal ligament fbroblasts [\[77](#page-13-25)], although the mechanism of action remains to be elucidated (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). Studies have reported that SARS-CoV E proteins can trigger ER stress [[63,](#page-13-6) [78](#page-13-26)] and promote mitochondria-mediated apoptosis by sequestering the anti-apoptotic protein B-cell lymphoma-extra large $(BCL-xL)$ onto the ER membrane $[63]$ $[63]$ (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). Remarkably, SARS-CoV E protein has been reported to hinder the process of apoptosis. For example, they can downregulate the IRE1 α signaling pathway associated with unfolded proteins, without afecting the PERK and ATF6 signaling pathways (Fig. [3B](#page-3-0)). Ultimately, this reduction in apoptosis occurs $[79]$. The fact that the same viral protein has opposite efects on apoptosis may indicate that the viral protein performs diferent functions at diferent stages of the viral life cycle.

SARS-CoV-2 M protein induces apoptosis in lung epithelial cells through mitochondria, and can inhibit BOK

ubiquitination and stabilize its levels by interacting with the BH2 domain of BOK's endodomain in the absence of BAX and BAK, ultimately promoting BOK mitochondrial localization [[52](#page-12-28)] (Fig. [3A](#page-3-0)). BOK can directly mediate the increase of mitochondrial membrane permeability and ultimately activate mitochondrial pathway-induced apoptosis [\[80](#page-13-28)]. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 M protein can also inhibit the activation of PDK1-Akt signaling and induce caspase-dependent apoptosis by interacting with 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK1), while the SARS-CoV-2 N protein can specifcally enhance the interaction between M protein and PDK1, thereby enhancing apoptosis induced by M protein $[81-83]$ $[81-83]$ (Fig. [3A](#page-3-0)). The synergistic effect of M proteins and N proteins to enhance their respective levels of induced apoptosis has also been found in SARS-CoV [[82,](#page-13-30) [84\]](#page-13-19) (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). Meanwhile, the MERS-CoV M protein can interact with the ER marker glucose regulated protein 78kD (GRP78), disrupt the binding of GRP78 and PERK, specifcally activate the PERK pathway, further activate the expression of downstream pro-apoptotic genes (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)B), enhance self-replication, aggravate host lung injury, and increase the susceptibility to apoptosis inducer, Etoposide. In addition, PERK inhibitor signifcantly inhibits MERS-CoV replication [[37\]](#page-12-16).

SARS-CoV N protein activates apoptosis signaling by up-regulating Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38 MAPK) pathways, while down-regulating Akt phosphorylation and BCL-2 levels [\[85](#page-13-20)] (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)). Conversely, the N protein also demonstrates anti-apoptotic capabilities. SARS-CoV N protein specifcally binds to Smad3 through the MH2 domain, interfering with the formation of the Smad3- Smad4 complex. This results in increased transcription of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), promoting plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) expression and aggravating tissue fbrosis post-infection. However, it weakens the Smad3-Smad4 complex-mediated apoptosis $[86]$ $[86]$ (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). Among the proteins encoded by SARS-CoV-2, the N protein has the function of inhibiting apoptosis by regulating apoptosis-related genes (BAX, BAK, BCL-2) $[87]$ $[87]$. The PAN P's team $[88]$ $[88]$ discovered that the SARS-CoV-2 N protein specifcally interacts with the anti-apoptotic protein myeloid cell leukemia-1 (MCL-1), recruiting the deubiquitinating enzyme ubiquitin-specifc peptidase 15 (USP15) to remove K63 ubiquitination of MCL-1 and stabilize MCL-1 to inhibit BAK's function in mitochondria, and ultimately inhibiting apoptosis (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). N protein promotes viral replication, such as infuenza A virus (IAV), dengue virus (DENV), and ZIKV, exacerbating mortality in infected mice. All of these can be blocked by MCL-1-specifc inhibitors [[88\]](#page-13-33). SARS-CoV-2 replicates efectively in asymptomatic patients without causing respiratory dysfunction. However, it increases the risk of superinfection, possibly attributed to inhibition of apoptosis by N proteins [[88\]](#page-13-33).

The same viral protein can result in different effects on apoptosis, which may be related to the diferent efects of apoptosis on diferent stages of the viral life cycle. Understanding these mechanisms and the signifcance of coronavirus structural proteins-induced apoptosis is crucial in developing therapeutics and interventions against highly pathogenic coronaviruses.

Mechanism and biological signifcance of apoptosis induced by highly pathogenic coronaviruses non‑structural proteins

The proteins (NSP1-NSP16) encoded by the ORF1ab of highly pathogenic coronavirus are mainly involved in the transcriptional replication [\[89\]](#page-13-34), with limited reports on their apoptosis-inducing abilities. However, certain non-structural proteins, such as ORF3a [\[16](#page-12-5)], ORF6 [\[19](#page-12-34), [21–](#page-12-35)[24,](#page-12-33) [90](#page-13-35)], ORF7a [[20,](#page-12-36) [91](#page-13-36)], ORF7b [\[21](#page-12-35), [91\]](#page-13-36), and ORF8

[[25](#page-12-6), [92](#page-13-21), [94](#page-13-37)] possess functions of antagonizing innate immune signaling and assisting viral invasion of host immune responses. Moreover, these non-structural proteins also play a crucial role in inducing apoptosis (Table [2\)](#page-7-0).

SARS-CoV ORF3a and SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a use diferent strategies to induce apoptosis [\[36](#page-12-15)]. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a, localized in the ER, initiates RETREG1/ FAM134B-associated ER autophagy (Reticulophagy) [[116\]](#page-14-0), activating IRE1 α -mediated spliced X-box-protein 1 (sXBP1) production, thereby inducing apoptosis via the endogenous ER stress pathway [\[117\]](#page-14-1) (Fig. [3B](#page-3-0)). In addition, SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a upregulates death receptor ligands and activates apoptosis induced by exogenous death receptor pathway $[36]$ $[36]$ (Fig. [3A](#page-3-0)). On the other hand, SARS-CoV ORF3a activates the p38 MAPK pathway or activates the PERK pathway to regulate apoptosis induced by ER stress pathway [\[62,](#page-13-5) [95,](#page-13-38) [107](#page-14-2), [108\]](#page-14-3), afecting the packaging and release of the virus [[109,](#page-14-4) [110](#page-14-5)], and

Table 2 Summary of apoptosis induced by non-structural proteins of highly pathogenic coronaviruses

PERK kinase inhibitors can signifcantly reduce apoptosis and infammation in lung epithelial cells [[62\]](#page-13-5) (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)B).

ORF3 of MERS-CoV has similar ability to induce apoptosis as SARS-CoV ORF3a and SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a, mediating apoptosis through the exogenous death receptor pathway in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). However, ORF3 is less stable than SARS-CoV ORF3a and SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a as it is easily ubiquitinated and degraded by the host E3 ligase HUWE1, leading to reduced apoptosis induction potential and possibly contributing to its lower transmissibility [\[120\]](#page-14-15).

SARS-CoV ORF3b can induce G0/G1 arrest and apoptosis $[111, 112]$ $[111, 112]$ $[111, 112]$ $[111, 112]$ (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A), while the function of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3d, which was previously mistaken for SARS-CoV-2 ORF3b, remains unknown. SARS-CoV ORF6 is known to mediate ER stress and JNK-dependent apoptosis [[113\]](#page-14-10) (Fig. [3B](#page-3-0)). However, further research is needed to determine whether SARS-CoV-2 ORF6 can induce apoptosis. It should be noted that MERS-CoV ORF6 acts as the E protein and will not be discussed further in this context.

SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a interacts with the anti-apoptotic protein BCL-xL through the C-terminal amino acid residues Lys117 and Lys 119, recruiting BCL-xL to the ER to activate ER stress and induce apoptosis (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). Concurrently, ORF7a induces ER stress through the PERK-elF2α-CHOP pathway, suppressing the expression of endogenous BCL-xL and thereby augmenting apoptosis (Fig. [3B](#page-3-0)). The ubiquitination of ORF7a Lys119 can diminish its interaction with BCL-xL, impeding the aggregation of BCL-xL in the ER, and consequently preventing ER stress and inhibiting apoptosis [\[97\]](#page-13-40). Remarkably, SARS-CoV ORF7a exhibits a similar pro-apoptotic mechanism to SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a [\[114\]](#page-14-11). Moreover, SARS-CoV ORF7a can also activate the p38 MAPK pathway, inhibit the host cell translation process and induce apoptosis $[115]$ (Fig. [3B](#page-3-0)).

It has been reported that SARS-CoV-2 ORF7b upregulates MYC proto-oncogene (c-MYC) and induces c-MYC signaling to promote death receptor-mediated apoptosis and aggravate tissue and organ damage [[98](#page-13-41), [99\]](#page-13-42) (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). Remarkably, SARS-CoV ORF7b localizes to the Golgi [[121\]](#page-14-16) and serves not only as a non-structural protein, but also participates in the assembly of SARS-CoV viral particles with ORF3a, ORF7a and ORF9b [\[7](#page-12-0), [8\]](#page-12-1) (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)A). However, the induction of apoptosis by SARS-CoV ORF7b has yet to be established.

ORF8 emerges as a notable viral protein, particularly noteworthy due to the observed deletion in 90% of SARS-CoV-2 strains [[122](#page-14-17)–[126\]](#page-14-18). This deletion is implicated in enhancing the virus's adaptability and facilitating its global dissemination [[124](#page-14-19)], often correlating with milder manifestations of COVID-19 [[122](#page-14-17)]. SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 shares a striking 95% homology with Bat-CoV (RaTG13) ORF8, while exhibiting only 30% amino acid sequence similarity with SARS-CoV ORF8ab [[127](#page-14-20)]. The latter originates predominantly from greater horseshoe bats, *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* (SARSr-Rf-BatCoV) and Chinese horseshoe bats, *Rhinolophus sinicus* (SARSr-Rs-BatCoV) [[128](#page-14-21), [129\]](#page-14-22). During early propagation, a 29-nucleotide deletion led to the splitting of the original ORF8 into ORF8a and ORF8b [[130](#page-14-23), [131](#page-14-24)]. Previous investigations suggest that neither SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 nor ORF10 possess apoptotic-inducing capabilities [\[132\]](#page-14-25). Interestingly, SARS-CoV ORF8a promote apoptosis and facilitates viral infection through a mitochondria-dependent pathway [[116](#page-14-0), [117\]](#page-14-1), possibly attributed to its mitochondrial localization [[116](#page-14-0), [118](#page-14-13)] (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). On the other hand, SARS-CoV ORF8b induces cell death through a distinct mechanism, accumulating intracellularly and leading to ER stress and autophagy. This cascade triggers the activation of NOD-, LRR- and pyrin domaincontaining protein 3 (NLRP3) inflammasomes within lung epithelial cells, and ultimately in pyroptosis [\[133\]](#page-14-26).

Both SARS-CoV-2 ORF9b and SARS-CoV ORF9b localize to the mitochondria and interact with the translocase of outer mitochondrial membrane 70 (Tom70) to form the Tom70/Hsp90/IRF3/Bax complex, which inhibits IFN-I signaling and promotes apoptosis $[100-105]$ $[100-105]$ $[100-105]$ (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). Meanwhile, the apoptosis is signifcantly increased when the nuclear export of ORF9b is blocked, which may be related to the interaction of ORF9b with apoptosis-related transcription factors in the nucleus [[101\]](#page-13-44).

Some studies suggest that SARS-CoV-2 ORF9c may enhance the transcription of apoptosis-related genes in human cardiomyocytes by infuencing adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) metabolism, which may lead to heart disease induced by COVID-19 [\[106](#page-14-7)] (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)A). It has been observed that the administration of Ivermectin and Meclozine can restore cellular ATP levels and ameliorate SARS-CoV-2 ORF9c-induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis and dysfunction [[106](#page-14-7)].

In summary, although some viral proteins are still unknown whether they can regulate apoptosis, existing reports have shown that non-structural proteins encoded by highly pathogenic coronaviruses induce apoptosis and promote viral survival through multiple mechanisms. In vitro experiments have demonstrated that apoptosis inhibitors can signifcantly inhibit the replication of highly pathogenic coronaviruses [[37](#page-12-16)]. This further underscores the critical role of apoptosis in the survival of these virus.

The important role of expressing more non‑structural proteins by highly pathogenic coronaviruses in virus‑induced cell apoptosis

Highly pathogenic coronaviruses exhibit heightened replication efficiency and transmissibility compared to their low-pathogenic counterparts [\[47](#page-12-25)], potentially due to the increased abundance of non-structural proteins they encode (Fig. [2B](#page-2-0)). While research on the induction of apoptosis by low-pathogenic coronaviruses is limited [[134–](#page-14-27)[136](#page-14-28)], existing studies suggest that highly pathogenic coronaviruses promote the expression of more pro-apoptotic genes [[134](#page-14-27)[–136](#page-14-28)]. Non-structural proteins by highly pathogenic coronaviruses plays a pivotal role in inducing cell apoptosis through multiple mechanisms. Importantly, innate immunity is also impaired during the process, which benefts the virus's replication and dissemination within the host, leading to exacerbated pathology and disease severity (Table [2](#page-7-0)). Understanding this mechanism is essential for comprehending the virulence and pathogenesis of highly pathogenic coronaviruses, ofering insights for the development of targeted therapeutic interventions aimed at mitigating virusinduced cell apoptosis and reducing disease severity. Ultimately, unraveling the intricacies of non-structural protein-mediated apoptosis sheds light on potential avenues for efective intervention against highly pathogenic coronaviruses and related viral diseases.

Application and limitations of apoptosis inhibitors in antiviral research

The use of apoptosis inhibitors has shown promise in inhibiting coronavirus-induced apoptosis and attenuating viral replication. To explore the potential of apoptosis inhibitors as antiviral drugs, with a focus on their application in the feld of coronaviruses is meaningful. Additionally, the limitations and the need for further research and improvement in the clinical application of apoptosis inhibitors against viruses should be discussed.

Highly pathogenic coronaviruses use caspase family members to promote viral survival and immune evasion. In vitro and in vivo experiments have proved that caspase members have the function of antagonizing key proteins of innate immune pathway and helping viral immune evasion, and caspase inhibitors efectively inhibit the replication of coronavirus, alleviate lung damage and excessive immune response in mice caused by viral infection, and signifcantly improve the survival rate [[37](#page-12-16), [38\]](#page-12-17). It is worth noting that caspase-6 inhibitors did not afect the replication of infuenza virus (H1N1) or enterovirus (EV-A71), and it is possible that apoptosis has a significant effect on the replication of coronavirus, but not on other viruses [[38\]](#page-12-17). Despite this, diferent inhibitors of the apoptotic pathway exhibit varying efficacy against different coronaviruses. For example, caspase-6 and PERK inhibitors have a signifcant therapeutic efect on MERS-CoV-infected mice but have poor efficacy on SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected mice [[37,](#page-12-16) [38](#page-12-17)]. Understanding the specifc caspase proteins involved in viral replication and identifying corresponding inhibitors are crucial for the treatment of highly pathogenic coronaviruses.

In current coronavirus research, inhibiting apoptosis activation through apoptosis inhibitors has shown promise in curbing viral replication. Certain PARP inhibitors, including Olaparib [[137](#page-14-29)[–139\]](#page-14-30), Stenoparib $[140]$ $[140]$ $[140]$, and CVL218 $[141, 142]$ $[141, 142]$ $[141, 142]$ $[141, 142]$, exhibit antiviral effects against highly pathogenic coronaviruses. They effectively control viral replication, mitigate infammatory responses, and alleviate pathological changes. Combining PARP inhibitors with Remdesivir enhances their efficacy in inhibiting coronaviruses, presenting a signifcant potential in treating highly pathogenic coronavirus infections. While some apoptosis inhibitors have demonstrated efficacy in animal and cell studies, their clinical application as antiviral drugs lacks established protocols and requires further refnement and research. Furthermore, the susceptibility of other mammals to coronavirus infection and their reliance on caspase remains unclear. Considering the reports that swine acute diarrhea syndrome coronavirus (SADS-CoV) may spread across species and become the next zoonotic coronavirus [[143\]](#page-14-34), the study on investigating the role of caspase in mammalian susceptible coronaviruses could aid in timely response to outbreaks and facilitate the development of efective therapeutic drugs.

However, the clinical application of apoptosis inhibitors for the treatment of viral infections is currently limited due to associated side efects. Studies have indicated that certain caspase proteins inhibit the production of IFN-I, a key mediator of antiviral immune responses. It has been shown that caspase-9, caspase-3, and caspase-7 can inhibit the production of IFN-I mediated by the cGAS-STING signaling pathway [\[41](#page-12-20), [43\]](#page-12-22). In human cells, caspase-3 suppresses IFN-I in a manner independent of mtDNA, reduces cytokine release by cleaving cGAS, mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) [[39\]](#page-12-18), and silences apoptotic cell immunity, as is caspase-7 in mice cells [\[39](#page-12-18)]. As a consequence, the suppression of caspases's function may probably lead to excessive immune activation and infammatory cytokine storm, posing a risk during the treatment of patients with coronavirus infection. Therefore, addressing the issue of potential side effects is crucial in the development of apoptosis inhibitors for antiviral therapy.

Conclusion remarks

Apoptosis plays an important role in both physiological processes and the pathogenesis of highly pathogenic coronaviruses, as well as an important driver of disease progression. More and more studies have proved that apoptosis serves not only as a means for the host to reduce viral replication and facilitate viral clearance, but also as a strategy employed by viruses to antagonize the host immune system surveillance and response, as well as exploit apoptosis and its components to suppress the production of antiviral factors, bolster viral replication, and augment infectivity.

While SARS-CoV-2 infection has been linked to various modes of cell death, including apoptosis, pyroptosis, and ferroptosis [\[45](#page-12-37), [48,](#page-12-26) [144,](#page-14-35) [145\]](#page-14-36), studies indicate that the lungs have a higher proportion of pyroptosis and necroptosis, while the upper respiratory tract, with a greater viral load, tends towards apoptosis. $[48, 146]$ $[48, 146]$ $[48, 146]$. This observatiobsuggests that apoptosis imay be more conducive to viral replication than pyroptosis and necroptosis. Moreover, the latest in vivo experimental evidence suggests that necroptosis has no signifcant efect on viral transmission, disease pathology, or early host immune responses, independent of disease progression [\[147\]](#page-15-1). Consequently, targeting mixed lineage kinase domain-like pseudokinase (MLKL), a key protein in the necroptotic pathway, with antiviral drugs may yield limited effectiveness. High pathogenic strains have evolved additional nonstructural proteins that regulate apoptosis in tandem with the encoded structural proteins. Simultaneously, these non-structural proteins promote viral survival in a way that antagonize innate immunity. Although not all virus-encoded proteins induce apoptosis, many reported apoptosis-related proteins indeed trigger this process, and each protein is presumed to play a role in viral replication regulation. This phenomenon likely stems from the necessity, during the early stages of virus invasion, to maintain host cell integrity to facilitate optimal conditions for viral replication. Structural proteins introduced into cells during viral invasion play a role in inhibiting apoptosis at this stage. However, upon completing replication, the virus aims to release a multitude of viral particles from the cell through apoptosis, thereby dampening the host immune response and diminishing the likelihood of elimination. Consequently, numerous virus-encoded proteins are involved in regulating apoptosis induction. Diferent viral proteins possess varying abilities to induce apoptosis at distinct stages of viral replication, targeting diverse pathways to ensure apoptosis of virus-infected cells. Highly pathogenic coronaviruses rely on a larger array of non-structural proteins to modulate apoptosis, a process pivotal in augmenting viral replication, exacerbating tissue and organ damage, and advancing disease progression. In summary, apoptosis contributes to multiple organ failure and microcirculation disorders through diverse mechanisms, resulting in elevated patient mortality rates and unfavorable clinical outcomes. The high pathogenicity of coronavirus-encoded proteins in efficiently inducing apoptosis has garnered interest in their potential use for treating small cell lung cancer. Specifcally, the S proteins of SARS-CoV-2 have been shown to induce apoptosis and can successfully induce tumor cell apoptosis in mice models when administered intranasally [[148\]](#page-15-2). However, it is regrettable that this has only been verifed in animal experiments, and further research is necessary to bolster the validation of clinical trials and eventually apply them to clinical practice. It has also been reported that in vitro experiments, lentiviral particles Gag-CASP8-VLPs, carrying activated caspase-8 and constructed using the VSV G protein (VSV-G) as the vector, can enter breast cancer cells and inhibit tumor cell growth [\[149](#page-15-3)]. In the future, small molecule drugs that mimic the structure of the key functional domains of highly pathogenic coronavirus proteins may be applied to target tumor cells and induce tumor cell death, providing a new perspective in tumor treatment.

Here, we systematically summarized the mechanism and biological signifcance of apoptosis induced by highly pathogenic coronaviruses structural and non-structural proteins, caspase-mediated survival strategies in highly pathogenic coronaviruses and the potential of apoptosis inhibitors in antiviral research. Further study should focus on the investigating the network-based research on viral proteins and key compositions in the apoptosis pathway. Furthermore, combining this knowledge with other antiviral medicines may help inhibit viral infection and alleviate tissue and organ damage. By simulating how highly pathogenic coronavirus-encoded proteins activate apoptosis, researchers can identify or design small molecule drugs with the ability to target and activate apoptosis in tumor cells. These efforts could unlock new insights into the biological function of highly pathogenic coronavirus proteins and the regulatory mechanism of apoptosis. Such in-depth research holds great signifcance in our understanding of the pathogenic mechanisms of coronaviruses, the development of efective treatment strategies, and the prevention of new infectious diseases.

Abbreviations

ACE2 Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 AKT Protein kinase B AP1 Activator protein 1 APAF1 Apoptotic promoting factor 1 ARDS Acute respiratory distress syndrome ASK1 Apoptotic-signaling kinase 1 ATF4 Activating transcription factor 4 ATM Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated ATP **Adenosine 5'-triphosphate** ATR ATM- and Rad3-related

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Leyi Cheng and Yajuan Rui collected the references and wrote the manuscript and completed the diagrams. Yanpu Wang and Shiqi Chen provided advice and suggestion for improving the manuscript. Jiaming Su and Xiao-Fang Yu edited and revised the manuscript. All authors have reviewed and approved the fnal version of the full text being submitted.

Funding

This work was supported in part by funding from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (numbers 82172239, 82102384, 92169203, 82271778, 82341055, 82303726, 31970151), National Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY22C080002) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (226–2023-00088).

Availability of data and materials

All data relevant to this review are included in the text, references, tables and figures.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 6 January 2024 Accepted: 18 June 2024 Published online: 13 July 2024

References

- 1. Menachery VD, Yount BL, Jr., Debbink K, et al. A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence. Nat Med. 2015;21(12):1508–13.
- 2. Temmam S, Vongphayloth K, Baquero E, et al. Bat coronaviruses related to SARS-CoV-2 and infectious for human cells. Nature. 2022;604(7905):330–6.
- 3. Chen B, Tian EK, He B, et al. Overview of lethal human coronaviruses. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5(1):89.
- 4. World Health Organization. COVID-19 Epidemiological Update. Edition 162. 2023. Web Page. Available at [https://www.who.int/publications/m/](https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-epidemiological-update---22-december-2023) [item/covid-19-epidemiological-update---22-december-2023](https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-epidemiological-update---22-december-2023).
- 5. Peiris JS, Yuen KY, Osterhaus AD, et al. The severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2003;349(25):2431–41.
- 6. World Health Organization. Disease Outbreak News; Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus in United Arab Emirates. 2023. Web Page. Available at [https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak](https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2023-DON478) [news/item/2023-DON478.](https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2023-DON478)
- 7. Schaecher SR, Mackenzie JM, Pekosz A. The ORF7b protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) is expressed in virus-infected cells and incorporated into SARS-CoV particles. J Virol. 2007;81(2):718–31.
- 8. Xu K, Zheng BJ, Zeng R, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus accessory protein 9b is a virion-associated protein. Virology. 2009;388(2):279–85.
- 9. Yang H, Rao Z. Structural biology of SARS-CoV-2 and implications for therapeutic development. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19(11):685–700.
- 10. Gordon DE, Jang GM, Bouhaddou M, et al. A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. Nature. 2020;583(7816):459–68.
- 11. Snijder EJ, Bredenbeek PJ, Dobbe JC, et al. Unique and conserved features of genome and proteome of SARS-coronavirus, an early split-of from the coronavirus group 2 lineage. J Mol Biol. 2003;331(5):991–1004.
- 12. Chan JF, Lau SK, To KK, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: another zoonotic betacoronavirus causing SARS-like disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28(2):465–522.
- 13. Woo PC, Lau SK, Li KS, et al. Genetic relatedness of the novel human group C betacoronavirus to Tylonycteris bat coronavirus HKU4 and Pipistrellus bat coronavirus HKU5. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2012;1(11):e35.
- 14. Cotten M, Lam TT, Watson SJ, et al. Full-genome deep sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of novel human betacoronavirus. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19(5):736–42.
- 15. Van Boheemen S, De Graaf M, Lauber C, et al. Genomic characterization of a newly discovered coronavirus associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome in humans. mBio. 2012;3(6):e00473-12.
- 16. Su J, Shen S, Hu Y, et al. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a inhibits cGAS-STINGmediated autophagy fux and antiviral function. J Med Virol. 2023;95(1):e28175.
- 17. Nelson CW, Ardern Z, Goldberg TL, et al. Dynamically evolving novel overlapping gene as a factor in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. eLife. 2020;9:e59633.
- 18. Hachim A, Kavian N, Cohen CA, et al. ORF8 and ORF3b antibodies are accurate serological markers of early and late SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Immunol. 2020;21(10):1293–301.
- 19. Miorin L, Kehrer T, Sanchez-Aparicio MT, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Orf6 hijacks Nup98 to block STAT nuclear import and antagonize interferon signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117(45):28344–54.
- 20. Cao Z, Xia H, Rajsbaum R, et al. Ubiquitination of SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a promotes antagonism of interferon response. Cell Mol Immunol. 2021;18(3):746–8.
- 21. Xia H, Cao Z, Xie X, et al. Evasion of Type I Interferon by SARS-CoV-2. Cell Rep. 2020;33(1):108234.
- 22. Lei X, Dong X, Ma R, et al. Activation and evasion of type I interferon responses by SARS-CoV-2. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):3810.
- 23. Yoo JS, Sasaki M, Cho SX, et al. SARS-CoV-2 inhibits induction of the MHC class I pathway by targeting the STAT1-IRF1-NLRC5 axis. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):6602.
- 24. Kopecky-Bromberg SA, Martínez-Sobrido L, Frieman M, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus open reading frame (ORF) 3b, ORF 6, and nucleocapsid proteins function as interferon antagonists. J Virol. 2007;81(2):548–57.
- 25. Zhang Y, Chen Y, Li Y, et al. The ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2 mediates immune evasion through down-regulating MHC-Ι. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(23):e2024202118.
- 26. Kerr JF, Wyllie AH, Currie AR. Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. Br J Cancer. 1972;26(4):239–57.
- 27. Blackford AN, Jackson SP. ATM, ATR, and DNA-PK: The trinity at the heart of the DNA damage response. Mol Cell. 2017;66(6):801–17.
- 28. Mah LJ, El-Osta A, Karagiannis TC. gammaH2AX: a sensitive molecular marker of DNA damage and repair. Leukemia. 2010;24(4):679–86.
- 29. Kuwana T, Bouchier-Hayes L, Chipuk JE, et al. BH3 domains of BH3only proteins diferentially regulate Bax-mediated mitochondrial membrane permeabilization both directly and indirectly. Mol Cell. 2005;17(4):525–35.
- 30. Yuan C, Ma Z, Xie J, et al. The role of cell death in SARS-CoV-2 infection. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2023;8(1):357.
- 31. Varfolomeev EE, Ashkenazi A. Tumor necrosis factor: an apoptosis JuNKie?. Cell. 2004;116(4):491–7.
- 32. Xiong S, Mu T, Wang G, et al. Mitochondria-mediated apoptosis in mammals. Protein Cell. 2014;5(10):737–49.
- 33. Kurokawa M, Kornbluth S. Caspases and kinases in a death grip. Cell. 2009;138(5):838–54.
- 34. Moldoveanu T, Czabotar PE. BAX, BAK, and BOK: A Coming of Age for the BCL-2 Family Effector Proteins. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2020;12(4):a036319.
- 35. Li S, Zhang Y, Guan Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 triggers infammatory responses and cell death through caspase-8 activation. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5(1):235.
- 36. Ren Y, Shu T, Wu D, et al. The ORF3a protein of SARS-CoV-2 induces apoptosis in cells. Cell Mol Immunol. 2020;17(8):881–3.
- 37. Chu H, Shuai H, Hou Y, et al. Targeting highly pathogenic coronavirusinduced apoptosis reduces viral pathogenesis and disease severity. Sci Adv. 2021;7(25):eabf8577.
- 38. Chu H, Hou Y, Yang D, et al. Coronaviruses exploit a host cysteine-aspartic protease for replication. Nature. 2022;609(7928):785–92.
- 39. Ning X, Wang Y, Jing M, et al. Apoptotic caspases suppress type I interferon production via the Cleavage of cGAS, MAVS, and IRF3. Mol Cell. 2019;74(1):19-31.e7.
- 40. Shen S, Rui Y, Wang Y, et al. SARS-CoV-2, HIV, and HPV: Convergent evolution of selective regulation of cGAS-STING signaling. J Med Virol. 2023;95(1):e28220.
- 41. Rongvaux A, Jackson R, Harman CC, et al. Apoptotic caspases prevent the induction of type I interferons by mitochondrial DNA. Cell. 2014;159(7):1563–77.
- 42. Crawford ED, Seaman JE, Agard N, et al. The DegraBase: a database of proteolysis in healthy and apoptotic human cells. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2013;12(3):813–24.
- 43. White MJ, Mcarthur K, Metcalf D, et al. Apoptotic caspases suppress mtDNA-induced STING-mediated type I IFN production. Cell. 2014;159(7):1549–62.
- 44. Varga Z, Flammer AJ, Steiger P, et al. Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. Lancet (London, England). 2020;395(10234):1417–8.
- 45. Karki R, Sharma BR, Tuladhar S, et al. Synergism of TNF-α and IFN-γ Trig‑ gers Infammatory Cell Death, Tissue Damage, and Mortality in SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Cytokine Shock Syndromes. Cell. 2021;184(1):149-68.e17.
- 46. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet (London, England). 2020;395(10223):497–506.
- 47. Zhu N, Wang W, Liu Z, et al. Morphogenesis and cytopathic efect of SARS-CoV-2 infection in human airway epithelial cells. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):3910.
- 48. Li H, Zhao X, Zhang X, et al. Apoptosis and pyroptosis in the nasal mucosa of Syrian hamster during SARS-CoV-2 infection and reinfection. Apoptosis. 2024.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-024-01940-x>.
- 49. Sauler M, Bazan IS, Lee PJ. Cell Death in the Lung: The apoptosisnecroptosis axis. Annu Rev Physiol. 2019;81:375–402.
- 50. Matthay MA, Zemans RL, Zimmerman GA, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2019;5(1):18.
- 51. Ivanisenko NV, Seyrek K, Kolchanov NA, et al. The role of death domain proteins in host response upon SARS-CoV-2 infection: modulation of programmed cell death and translational applications. Cell Death Discov. 2020;6(1):101.
- 52. Yang Y, Wu Y, Meng X, et al. SARS-CoV-2 membrane protein causes the mitochondrial apoptosis and pulmonary edema via targeting BOK. Cell Death Difer. 2022;29(7):1395–408.
- 53. Taghiloo S, Aliyali M, Abedi S, et al. Apoptosis and immunophenotyping of peripheral blood lymphocytes in Iranian COVID-19 patients: Clinical and laboratory characteristics. J Med Virol. 2021;93(3):1589–98.
- 54. Cizmecioglu A, Akay Cizmecioglu H, Goktepe MH, et al. Apoptosisinduced T-cell lymphopenia is related to COVID-19 severity. J Med Virol. 2021;93(5):2867–74.
- 55. Shen XR, Geng R, Li Q, et al. ACE2-independent infection of T lymphocytes by SARS-CoV-2. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2022;7(1):83.
- 56. Salina ACG, Dos-Santos D, Rodrigues TS, et al. Eferocytosis of SARS-CoV-2-infected dying cells impairs macrophage anti-infammatory functions and clearance of apoptotic cells. eLife. 2022;11:e74443.
- 57. Hayden MR. An Immediate and long-term complication of COVID-19 May be type 2 diabetes mellitus: The central role of β-cell dysfunction, apoptosis and exploration of possible mechanisms. Cells. 2020;9(11):2475.
- 58. Yeung ML, Yao Y, Jia L, et al. MERS coronavirus induces apoptosis in kidney and lung by upregulating Smad7 and FGF2. Nat Microbiol. 2016;1(3):16004.
- 59. Chu H, Zhou J, Wong BH, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus efficiently infects human primary T lymphocytes and activates the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis pathways. J Infect Dis. 2016;213(6):904–14.
- 60. Tao X, Hill TE, Morimoto C, et al. Bilateral entry and release of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus induces profound apoptosis of human bronchial epithelial cells. J Virol. 2013;87(17):9953–8.
- 61. Yan H, Xiao G, Zhang J, et al. SARS coronavirus induces apoptosis in Vero E6 cells. J Med Virol. 2004;73(3):323–31.
- 62. Keramidas P, Papachristou E, Papi RM, et al. Inhibition of PERK Kinase, an Orchestrator of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR), signifcantly reduces apoptosis and infammation of lung epithelial cells triggered by SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a Protein. Biomedicines. 2023;11(6):1585.
- 63. Yang Y, Xiong Z, Zhang S, et al. Bcl-xL inhibits T-cell apoptosis induced by expression of SARS coronavirus E protein in the absence of growth factors. Biochem J. 2005;392(Pt 1):135–43.
- 64. Putter JS, Seghatchian J. T-cell lymphocytopenia: An omnipresent pre‑ dictor of morbidity and mortality in consequence of SARS-CoV disease and infuenza A infections. Cytokine. 2023;165:156163.
- 65. Chau TN, Lee KC, Yao H, et al. SARS-associated viral hepatitis caused by a novel coronavirus: report of three cases. Hepatology (Baltimore, MD). 2004;39(2):302–10.
- 66. Wei L, Sun S, Xu CH, et al. Pathology of the thyroid in severe acute respiratory syndrome. Hum Pathol. 2007;38(1):95–102.
- 67. Tan YJ, Fielding BC, Goh PY, et al. Overexpression of 7a, a protein specifically encoded by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, induces apoptosis via a caspase-dependent pathway. J Virol. 2004;78(24):14043–7.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell. 2020;181(2):271-80.e8.
- 69. Voss D, Pfeferle S, Drosten C, et al. Studies on membrane topology, N-glycosylation and functionality of SARS-CoV membrane protein. Virology J. 2009;6:79.
- 70. Schoeman D, Fielding BC. Coronavirus envelope protein: current knowledge. Virology J. 2019;16(1):69.
- 71. Li F, Li J, Wang PH, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike promotes infammation and apoptosis through autophagy by ROS-suppressed PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2021;1867(12):166260.
- 72. Yang K, Liu S, Yan H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor-binding domain perturbates intracellular calcium homeostasis and impairs pulmonary vascular endothelial cells. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2023;8(1):276.
- 73. Chan CP, Siu KL, Chin KT, et al. Modulation of the unfolded protein response by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein. J Virol. 2006;80(18):9279–87.
- 74. Chow KY, Yeung YS, Hon CC, et al. Adenovirus-mediated expression of the C-terminal domain of SARS-CoV spike protein is sufficient to induce apoptosis in Vero E6 cells. FEBS Lett. 2005;579(30):6699–704.
- 75. Yeung YS, Yip CW, Hon CC, et al. Transcriptional profling of Vero E6 cells over-expressing SARS-CoV S2 subunit: insights on viral regulation of apoptosis and proliferation. Virology. 2008;371(1):32–43.
- 76. Chen Y, Zhang Z, Li J, et al. Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus S1 protein is the critical inducer of apoptosis. Virology J. 2018;15(1):170.
- 77. Gao Y, Kok WL, Sharma V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection causes periodontal fibrotic pathogenesis through deregulating mitochondrial beta-oxidation. Cell Death Discov. 2023;9(1):175.
- 78. Li S, Yuan L, Dai G, et al. Regulation of the ER stress response by the Ion channel activity of the infectious bronchitis coronavirus envelope protein modulates virion release, apoptosis, viral ftness, and pathogenesis. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:3022.
- 79. Dediego ML, Nieto-Torres JL, Jiménez-Guardeño JM, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus envelope protein regulates cell stress response and apoptosis. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(10):e1002315.
- 80. Zheng JH, Grace CR, Guibao CD, et al. Intrinsic instability of BOK enables membrane permeabilization in apoptosis. Cell Rep. 2018;23(7):2083-94. e6.
- 81. Ren Y, Wang A, Fang Y, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Membrane glycoprotein M triggers apoptosis with the assistance of nucleocapsid protein N in cells. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:706252.
- 82. Tsoi H, Li L, Chen ZS, et al. The SARS-coronavirus membrane protein induces apoptosis via interfering with PDK1-PKB/Akt signalling. Biochem J. 2014;464(3):439–47.
- 83. Chan CM, Ma CW, Chan WY, et al. The SARS-Coronavirus Membrane protein induces apoptosis through modulating the Akt survival pathway. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2007;459(2):197–207.
- 84. Zhao G, Shi SQ, Yang Y, et al. M and N proteins of SARS coronavirus induce apoptosis in HPF cells. Cell Biol Toxicol. 2006;22(5):313–22.
- 85. Surjit M, Liu B, Jameel S, et al. The SARS coronavirus nucleocapsid protein induces actin reorganization and apoptosis in COS-1 cells in the absence of growth factors. Biochem J. 2004;383(Pt 1):13–8.
- 86. Zhao X, Nicholls JM, Chen YG. Severe acute respiratory syndromeassociated coronavirus nucleocapsid protein interacts with Smad3 and modulates transforming growth factor-beta signaling. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(6):3272–80.
- 87. Edalat F, Khakpour N, Heli H, et al. Immunological mechanisms of the nucleocapsid protein in COVID-19. Sci Rep. 2024;14(1):3711.
- Pan P, Ge W, Lei Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 N protein enhances the antiapoptotic activity of MCL-1 to promote viral replication. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2023;8(1):194.
- 89. Jin Y, Ouyang M, Yu T, et al. Genome-Wide analysis of the indispensable role of non-structural proteins in the replication of SARS-CoV-2. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:907422.
- 90. Lee JG, Huang W, Lee H, et al. Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 proteins reveals Orf6 pathogenicity, subcellular localization, host interactions and attenuation by Selinexor. Cell Biosci. 2021;11(1):58.
- 91. Redondo N, Zaldívar-López S, Garrido JJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 accessory proteins in viral pathogenesis: knowns and unknowns. Front Immunol. 2021;12:708264.
- 92. Rashid F, Dzakah EE, Wang H, et al. The ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2 induced endoplasmic reticulum stress and mediated immune evasion by antagonizing production of interferon beta. Virus Res. 2021;296:198350.
- 93. Mu J, Xu J, Zhang L, et al. SARS-CoV-2-encoded nucleocapsid protein acts as a viral suppressor of RNA interference in cells. Sci China Life Sci. 2020;63(9):1413–6.
- 94. Li JY, Liao CH, Wang Q, et al. The ORF6, ORF8 and nucleocapsid proteins of SARS-CoV-2 inhibit type I interferon signaling pathway. Virus Res. 2020;286:198074.
- 95. Zhang X, Yang Z, Pan T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a induces RETREG1/ FAM134B-dependent reticulophagy and triggers sequential ER stress and infammatory responses during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Autophagy. 2022;18(11):2576–92.
- 96. Zhang J, Cruz-Cosme R, Zhang C, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum-associated SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a elicits heightened cytopathic efects despite robust ER-associated degradation. mBio. 2024;15(1):e0303023.
- 97. Liu Z, Fu Y, Huang Y, et al. Ubiquitination of SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a prevents cell death induced by recruiting BclXL To Activate ER Stress. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(6):e0150922.
- 98. Deshpande R, Li W, Li T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 accessory protein Orf7b induces lung injury via c-Myc mediated apoptosis and ferroptosis. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(2):1157.
- 99. Yang R, Zhao Q, Rao J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 accessory protein ORF7b mediates tumor necrosis factor-α-induced apoptosis in cells. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:654709.
- 100. Gordon DE, Hiatt J, Bouhaddou M, et al. Comparative host-coronavirus protein interaction networks reveal pan-viral disease mechanisms. Science. 2020;370(6521):eabe9403.
- 101. Sharma K, Åkerström S, Sharma AK, et al. SARS-CoV 9b protein diffuses into nucleus, undergoes active Crm1 mediated nucleocytoplasmic export and triggers apoptosis when retained in the nucleus. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(5):e19436.
- 102. Lin R, Paz S, Hiscott J. Tom70 imports antiviral immunity to the mitochondria. Cell Res. 2010;20(9):971–3.
- 103. Wei B, Cui Y, Huang Y, et al. Tom70 mediates Sendai virus-induced apoptosis on mitochondria. J Virol. 2015;89(7):3804–18.
- 104. Jiang HW, Zhang HN, Meng QF, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Orf9b suppresses type I interferon responses by targeting TOM70. Cell Mol Immunol. 2020;17(9):998–1000.
- 105. Chattopadhyay S, Marques JT, Yamashita M, et al. Viral apoptosis is induced by IRF-3-mediated activation of Bax. EMBO J. 2010;29(10):1762–73.
- 106. Liu J, Zhang Y, Han L, et al. Genome-wide analyses reveal the detrimental impacts of SARS-CoV-2 viral gene Orf9c on human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. Stem Cell Reports. 2022;17(3):522–37.
- 107. Padhan K, Minakshi RTowheed M a B, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 3a protein activates the mitochondrial death pathway through p38 MAP kinase activation. The Journal of general virology. 2008;89(Pt 8):1960–9.
- 108. Minakshi R, Padhan K, Rani M, et al. The SARS Coronavirus 3a protein causes endoplasmic reticulum stress and induces ligand-independent downregulation of the type 1 interferon receptor. PLoS ONE. 2009;4(12):e8342.
- 109. Yue Y, Nabar NR, Shi CS, et al. SARS-coronavirus open reading frame-3a drives multimodal necrotic cell death. Cell Death Dis. 2018;9(9):904.
- 110. Freundt EC, Yu L, Goldsmith CS, et al. The open reading frame 3a protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus promotes membrane rearrangement and cell death. J Virol. 2010;84(2):1097–109.
- 111. Yuan X, Shan Y, Zhao Z, et al. G0/G1 arrest and apoptosis induced by SARS-CoV 3b protein in transfected cells. Virology J. 2005;2:66.
- 112. Khan S, Fielding BC, Tan TH, et al. Over-expression of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 3b protein induces both apoptosis and necrosis in Vero E6 cells. Virus Res. 2006;122(1–2):20–7.
- 113. Ye Z, Wong CK, Li P, et al. A SARS-CoV protein, ORF-6, induces caspase-3 mediated, ER stress and JNK-dependent apoptosis. Biochem Biophys Acta. 2008;1780(12):1383–7.
- 114. Tan YX, Tan TH, Lee MJ, et al. Induction of apoptosis by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 7a protein is dependent on its interaction with the Bcl-XL protein. J Virol. 2007;81(12):6346–55.
- 115. Kopecky-Bromberg SA, Martinez-Sobrido L, Palese P. 7a protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus inhibits cellular protein synthesis and activates p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase. J Virol. 2006;80(2):785–93.
- 116. Chen CY, Ping YH, Lee HC, et al. Open reading frame 8a of the human severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus not only promotes viral replication but also induces apoptosis. J Infect Dis. 2007;196(3):405–15.
- 117. Hu B, Zeng LP, Yang XL, et al. Discovery of a rich gene pool of bat SARS-related coronaviruses provides new insights into the origin of SARS coronavirus. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(11):e1006698.
- 118. Oostra M, De Haan CA, Rottier PJ. The 29-nucleotide deletion present in human but not in animal severe acute respiratory syndrome coronaviruses disrupts the functional expression of open reading frame 8. J Virol. 2007;81(24):13876–88.
- 119. Shi CS, Qi HY, Boularan C, et al. SARS-coronavirus open reading frame-9b suppresses innate immunity by targeting mitochondria and the MAVS/TRAF3/TRAF6 signalosome. J Immunol. 2014;193(6):3080–9.
- 120. Zhou Y, Zheng R, Liu S, et al. Host E3 ligase HUWE1 attenuates the proapoptotic activity of the MERS-CoV accessory protein ORF3 by promoting its ubiquitin-dependent degradation. J Biol Chem. 2022;298(2):101584.
- 121. Schaecher SR, Diamond MS, Pekosz A. The transmembrane domain of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus ORF7b protein is necessary and sufficient for its retention in the Golgi complex. J Virol. 2008;82(19):9477–91.
- 122. Wagner C, Kistler KE, Perchetti GA, et al. Positive selection underlies repeated knockout of ORF8 in SARS-CoV-2 evolution. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):3207.
- 123. Deronde S, Deuling H, Parker J, et al. Identifcation of a novel SARS-CoV-2 variant with a truncated protein in ORF8 gene by next generation sequencing. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):4631.
- 124. Su YCF, Anderson DE, Young BE, et al. Discovery and genomic characterization of a 382-nucleotide deletion in ORF7b and ORF8 during the early evolution of SARS-CoV-2. mBio. 2020;11(4):e01610-20.
- 125. Young BE, Fong SW, Chan YH, et al. Efects of a major deletion in the SARS-CoV-2 genome on the severity of infection and the inflammatory response: an observational cohort study. Lancet (London, England). 2020;396(10251):603–11.
- 126. Gong YN, Tsao KC, Hsiao MJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 genomic surveillance in Taiwan revealed novel ORF8-deletion mutant and clade possibly associated with infections in Middle East. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;9(1):1457–66.
- 127. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet (London, England). 2020;395(10224):565–74.
- 128. Wu Z, Yang L, Ren X, et al. ORF8-related genetic evidence for chinese horseshoe bats as the source of human severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Infect Dis. 2016;213(4):579–83.
- 129. Lau SK, Feng Y, Chen H, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus ORF8 protein is acquired from SARS-related coronavirus from greater horseshoe bats through recombination. J Virol. 2015;89(20):10532–47.
- 130. Muth D, Corman VM, Roth H, et al. Attenuation of replication by a 29 nucleotide deletion in SARS-coronavirus acquired during the early stages of human-to-human transmission. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):15177.
- 131. Pereira F. Evolutionary dynamics of the SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 accessory gene. Infect Genet Evol. 2020;85:104525.
- 132. Fernandes MF, Chan JZ, Hung CCJ, et al. Efect of cannabidiol on apoptosis and cellular interferon and interferon-stimulated gene responses to the SARS-CoV-2 genes ORF8, ORF10 and M protein. Life Sci. 2022;301:120624.
- 133. Shi CS, Nabar NR, Huang NN, et al. SARS-Coronavirus Open Reading Frame-8b triggers intracellular stress pathways and activates NLRP3 infammasomes. Cell Death Discov. 2019;5:101.
- 134. Jacomy H, Fragoso G, Almazan G, et al. Human coronavirus OC43 infection induces chronic encephalitis leading to disabilities in BALB/C mice. Virology. 2006;349(2):335–46.
- 135. Kim S, Nowakowska A, Kim YB, et al. Integrated CRISPR-Cas9 systemmediated knockout of IFN-γ and IFN-γ Receptor 1 in the vero cell line promotes viral susceptibility. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(15):8217.
- 136. Favreau DJ, Desforges M, St-Jean JR, et al. A human coronavirus OC43 variant harboring persistence-associated mutations in the S glycoprotein diferentially induces the unfolded protein response in human neurons as compared to wild-type virus. Virology. 2009;395(2):255–67.
- 137. Xu G, Li S, Liu X, et al. PARP-1 mediated cell death is directly activated by ZIKV infection. Virology. 2019;537:254–62.
- 138. Dharwal V, Naura AS. PARP-1 inhibition ameliorates elastase induced lung infammation and emphysema in mice. Biochem Pharmacol. 2018;150:24–34.
- 139. Badawy AA. Immunotherapy of COVID-19 with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors: starting with nicotinamide. Biosci Rep. 2020;40(10):BSR20202856.
- 140. Stone NE, Jaramillo SA, Jones AN, et al. Stenoparib, an Inhibitor of Cellular Poly(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase, Blocks Replication of the SARS-CoV-2 and HCoV-NL63 Human Coronaviruses In Vitro. mBio. 2021;12(1):e03495-20.
- 141. Ge Y, Tian T, Huang S, et al. An integrative drug repositioning framework discovered a potential therapeutic agent targeting COVID-19. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):165.
- 142. Dong L, Hu S, Gao J. Discovering drugs to treat coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Drug Discov Ther. 2020;14(1):58–60.
- 143. Yu D, Zhao ZY, Yang YL, et al. The origin and evolution of emerged swine acute diarrhea syndrome coronavirus with zoonotic potential. J Med Virol. 2023;95(3):e28672.
- 144. Ferren M, Favède V, Decimo D, et al. Hamster organotypic modeling of SARS-CoV-2 lung and brainstem infection. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):5809.
- 145. Peleman C, Van Coillie S, Ligthart S, et al. Ferroptosis and pyroptosis signatures in critical COVID-19 patients. Cell Death Difer. 2023;30(9):2066–77.
- 146. Riegler AN, Benson P, Long K, et al. Differential activation of programmed cell death in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. Cell Death Discov. 2023;9(1):420.
- 147. SMB, Cooney J P, Bhandari R, et al. Necroptosis does not drive disease pathogenesis in a mouse infective model of SARS-CoV-2 in vivo. Cell Death Dis. 2024;15(1):100.
- 148. Sheinin M, Jeong B, Paidi RK, et al. Regression of lung cancer in Mice by intranasal administration of SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1. Cancers. 2022;14(22):5648.
- 149. Ao Z, Chen W, Tan J, et al. Lentivirus-based virus-like particles mediate delivery of caspase 8 into breast cancer cells and inhibit tumor growth. Cancer Biother Radiopharm. 2019;34(1):33–41.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.