

 Word count: 430/500 words, 7/8 references

<sup>30</sup>**Abstract**  31 The SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 lineage, first identified in August 2023, is<br>32 phylogenetically distinct from the currently circulating SARS-CoV-2 Omicron 32 phylogenetically distinct from the currently circulating SARS-CoV-2 Omicron<br>33 XBB lineages, including EG.5.1 and HK.3. Comparing to XBB and BA.2, BA.2.86 <sup>33</sup>XBB lineages, including EG.5.1 and HK.3. Comparing to XBB and BA.2, BA.2.86 34 carries more than 30 mutations in the spike (S) protein, indicating a high<br>35 potential for immune evasion. BA.2.86 has evolved and its descendant, JN.1 35 potential for immune evasion. BA.2.86 has evolved and its descendant, JN.1<br>36 (BA.2.86.1.1), emerged in late 2023. JN.1 harbors S:L455S and three mutations 36 (BA.2.86.1.1), emerged in late 2023. JN.1 harbors S:L455S and three mutations<br>37 in non-S proteins. S:L455S is a hallmark mutation of JN.1: we have recently 37 in non-S proteins. S:L455S is a hallmark mutation of JN.1: we have recently<br>38 shown that HK.3 and other "FLip" variants carry S:L455F, which contributes to 38 shown that HK.3 and other "FLip" variants carry S:L455F, which contributes to<br>39 increased transmissibility and immune escape ability compared to the parental 39 increased transmissibility and immune escape ability compared to the parental<br>40 EG.5.1 variant. Here, we investigated the virological properties of JN.1. EG.5.1 variant. Here, we investigated the virological properties of JN.1.

# <sup>41</sup>**Text**

42 The SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 lineage, first identified in August 2023, is<br>43 phylogenetically distinct from the currently circulating SARS-CoV-2 Omicron 43 phylogenetically distinct from the currently circulating SARS-CoV-2 Omicron<br>44 XBB lineages, including EG.5.1 and HK.3. Comparing to XBB and BA.2, BA.2.86 XBB lineages, including EG.5.1 and HK.3. Comparing to XBB and BA.2, BA.2.86<br>45 carries more than 30 mutations in the spike (S) protein, indicating a high 45 carries more than 30 mutations in the spike (S) protein, indicating a high<br>46 potential for immune evasion.<sup>1-4</sup> BA.2.86 has evolved and its descendant, JN.1 46 potential for immune evasion.<sup>1-4</sup> BA.2.86 has evolved and its descendant, JN.1<br>47 (BA.2.86.1.1), emerged in late 2023. JN.1 harbors S:L455S and three mutations 47 (BA.2.86.1.1), emerged in late 2023. JN.1 harbors S:L455S and three mutations<br>48 in non-S proteins (Fiqure 1A). S:L455S is a hallmark mutation of JN.1: we have 48 in non-S proteins (**Figure 1A**). S:L455S is a hallmark mutation of JN.1: we have<br>49 **recently shown that HK.3 and other "FLip" variants carry S:L455F. which** 49 recently shown that HK.3 and other "FLip" variants carry S:L455F, which<br>50 contributes to increased transmissibility and immune escape ability compared to  $50$  contributes to increased transmissibility and immune escape ability compared to the parental EG.5.1 variant.<sup>5</sup> Here, we investigated the virological properties of the parental EG.5.1 variant.<sup>5</sup> Here, we investigated the virological properties of JN.1. We estimated the relative effective reproductive number ( $R_e$ ) of JN.1 using 52 JN.1. We estimated the relative effective reproductive number  $(R_e)$  of JN.1 using<br>53 genomic surveillance data from France, the United Kingdom and Spain, where 53 genomic surveillance data from France, the United Kingdom and Spain, where  $>25$  sequences of JN.1 have been reported, using a Bayesian multinomial 54 > 25 sequences of JN.1 have been reported, using a Bayesian multinomial 55 logistic model (**Figures 1B, 1C, Table S3**).<sup>6</sup> The R<sub>e</sub> of JN.1 in these three  $155$  logistic model (**Figures 1B, 1C, Table S3**).<sup>6</sup> The R<sub>e</sub> of JN.1 in these three three sountries was higher than that of BA.2.86.1 and HK.3, one of the XBB lineages 56 countries was higher than that of BA.2.86.1 and HK.3, one of the XBB lineages with the highest growth advantage at the end of November 2023 (Figure 1B).<sup>5</sup> with the highest growth advantage at the end of November 2023 (**Figure 1B**).<sup>5</sup><br>58 These results suggest that JN.1 may soon become the dominant lineage 58 These results suggest that JN.1 may soon become the dominant lineage<br>59 worldwide. Indeed. by the end of November 2023. JN.1 has already overtaken 59 worldwide. Indeed, by the end of November 2023, JN.1 has already overtaken<br>60 HK.3 in France and Spain (Figure 1C). <sup>60</sup>HK.3 in France and Spain (**Figure 1C**).

The *in vitro* ACE2 binding assay<sup>7</sup> showed that the dissociation constant  $(K_D)$  value of the JN.1 receptor-binding domain (RBD) is significantly higher than  $62$  (K<sub>D</sub>) value of the JN.1 receptor-binding domain (RBD) is significantly higher than<br> $63$  that of the BA.2.86 RBD (Figure 1D), suggesting that S:L455S decreases the 63 that of the BA.2.86 RBD (**Figure 1D**), suggesting that S:L455S decreases the binding affinity to the human ACE2 receptor. In contrast, the pseudovirus assay 64 binding affinity to the human ACE2 receptor. In contrast, the pseudovirus assay<br>65 showed that the infectivity of JN.1 is significantly higher than that of BA.2.86 65 showed that the infectivity of JN.1 is significantly higher than that of BA.2.86<br>66 (Fiqure 1E). This discrepancy (Fiqures 1D. 1E) would be due to the difference <sup>66</sup>(**Figure 1E**). This discrepancy (**Figures 1D, 1E**) would be due to the difference 67 between monomeric RBD and trimerized whole S protein (see also<br>68 **Supplementary Discussion**). We then-performed-a-neutralization-assay-using <sup>68</sup>**Supplementary Discussion**). We then performed a neutralization assay using for solent sera infected with BA.2.86 or immunized with BA.2.86 S protein. In both  $70$  cases, the 50% neutralization titer (NT<sub>50</sub>) against JN.1 was comparable to that  $70$  cases, the 50% neutralization titer (NT<sub>50</sub>) against JN.1 was comparable to that  $71$  against BA.2.86 (**Figures 1F, 1G**), suggesting that S:L455S does not affect the 71 against BA.2.86 (**Figures 1F, 1G**), suggesting that S:L455S does not affect the<br>72 antigenicity of BA.2.86. On the other hand, the NT<sub>50</sub> of breakthrough infection 21 antigenicity of BA.2.86. On the other hand, the  $NT_{50}$  of breakthrough infection<br>
73 (BTI) sera with XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1 against JN.1 was significantly lower than (BTI) sera with XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1 against JN.1 was significantly lower than<br> $74$  that of HK.3 (2.6- to 3.1-fold) and BA.2.86 (3.8-fold) (**Figures 1H. 1I**). <sup>74</sup>that of HK.3 (2.6- to 3.1-fold) and BA.2.86 (3.8-fold) (**Figures 1H, 1I**). <sup>75</sup>Furthermore, JN.1 shows robust resistance to monovalent XBB.1.5 vaccine sera 76 compared to BA.2.86 (**Figure 1J**). Taken together, these results suggest that<br>77 JN.1 is one of the most immune-evading variants to date. Our results suggest 77 JN.1 is one of the most immune-evading variants to date. Our results suggest<br>78 that S:L455S contributes to increased immune evasion, which partly explains the That S:L455S contributes to increased immune evasion, which partly explains the increased  $R_e$  of JN.1. increased  $R_e$  of JN.1.

# 80 **Grants**<br>81 **Support**

81 Supported in part by AMED SCARDA Japan Initiative for World-leading Vaccine<br>82 Research and Development Centers "UTOPIA" (JP223fa627001, to Ken J Ishii 82 Research and Development Centers "UTOPIA" (JP223fa627001, to Ken J Ishii<br>83 and Kei Sato), AMED SCARDA Program on R&D of new generation vaccine 83 and Kei Sato), AMED SCARDA Program on R&D of new generation vaccine<br>84 including new modality application (JP223fa727002, to Ken J Ishii and Kei Sato); 84 including new modality application (JP223fa727002, to Ken J Ishii and Kei Sato);<br>85 AMED Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases 85 AMED Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases<br>86 (JP22fk0108146, to Kei Sato; JP21fk0108494 to G2P-Japan Consortium and 86 (JP22fk0108146, to Kei Sato; JP21fk0108494 to G2P-Japan Consortium and<br>87 Kei Sato: JP21fk0108425, to Kei Sato: JP21fk0108432, to Kei Sato: 87 Kei Sato; JP21fk0108425, to Kei Sato; JP21fk0108432, to Kei Sato;<br>88 JP22fk0108511 to G2P-Japan Consortium and Kei Sato: JP22fk0108516 to 88 JP22fk0108511, to G2P-Japan Consortium and Kei Sato; JP22fk0108516, to<br>89 Kei Sato: JP22fk0108506, to Kei Sato): AMED Research Program on HIV/AIDS 89 Kei Sato; JP22fk0108506, to Kei Sato); AMED Research Program on HIV/AIDS<br>80 (JP22fk0410039, to Kei Sato): JST PRESTO (JPMJPR22R1, to Jumpei Ito): JST 90 (JP22fk0410039, to Kei Sato); JST PRESTO (JPMJPR22R1, to Jumpei Ito); JST<br>91 CREST (JPMJCR20H4, to Kei Sato): JSPS KAKENHI Fund for the Promotion of 91 CREST (JPMJCR20H4, to Kei Sato); JSPS KAKENHI Fund for the Promotion of 92 Joint International Research (International Leading Research) (JP23K20041, to 92 Joint International Research (International Leading Research) (JP23K20041, to<br>93 G2P-Japan and Kei Sato): JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career 93 G2P-Japan and Kei Sato); JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career<br>94 Scientists (23K14526, to Jumpei lto); JSPS Core-to-Core Program (A. Advanced 94 Scientists (23K14526, to Jumpei Ito); JSPS Core-to-Core Program (A. Advanced<br>95 Research Networks) (JPJSCCA20190008, Kei Sato); JSPS Research Fellow 95 Research Networks) (JPJSCCA20190008, Kei Sato); JSPS Research Fellow<br>96 DC2 (22J11578, to Keiya Uriu); JSPS Research Fellow DC1 (23KJ0710, to 96 DC2 (22J11578, to Keiya Uriu); JSPS Research Fellow DC1 (23KJ0710, to 97 Yusuke Kosugi); The Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation (to Kei Sato); 97 Yusuke Kosugi); The Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation (to Kei Sato);<br>98 The Mitsubishi Foundation (to Kei Sato): International Joint Research Proiect of 98 The Mitsubishi Foundation (to Kei Sato); International Joint Research Project of<br>99 the Institute of Medical Science, the University of Tokyo (to Jiri Zahradnik): and 99 the Institute of Medical Science, the University of Tokyo (to Jiri Zahradnik); and<br>100 the proiect of National Institute of Virology and Bacteriology. Programme 100 the project of National Institute of Virology and Bacteriology, Programme<br>101 EXCELES, funded by the European Union, Next Generation EU (LX22NPO5103, EXCELES, funded by the European Union, Next Generation EU (LX22NPO5103,<br>102 to Jiri Zahradnik). We wish to express our gratitude to CEU Universities and 102 to Jiri Zahradnik). We wish to express our gratitude to CEU Universities and<br>103 Santander Bank (Ayudas a la movilidad internacional de los investigadores en 103 Santander Bank (Ayudas a la movilidad internacional de los investigadores en<br>104 formación de la CEINDO) as well as to the Federation of European Biochemical 104 formación de la CEINDO) as well as to the Federation of European Biochemical<br>105 Societies (FEBS: Short-Term Fellowship), for their financial support to Miquel 105 Societies (FEBS; Short-Term Fellowship), for their financial support to Miguel<br>106 Padilla-Blanco during the first and second part of his internship period at 106 Padilla-Blanco during the first and second part of his internship period at 107 BIOCEV, respectively. 107 BIOCEV, respectively.<br>108

### 108 109 **Declaration of interest 110 J.I.** has consulting fees

110 J.I. has consulting fees and honoraria for lectures from Takeda Pharmaceutical<br>111 Co. Ltd. K.S. has consulting fees from Moderna Japan Co.. Ltd. and Takeda 111 Co. Ltd. K.S. has consulting fees from Moderna Japan Co., Ltd. and Takeda<br>112 Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and honoraria for lectures from Gilead Sciences, Inc., Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and honoraria for lectures from Gilead Sciences, Inc.,<br>113 Moderna Japan Co., Ltd., and Shionogi & Co., Ltd. The other authors declare no 113 Moderna Japan Co., Ltd., and Shionogi & Co., Ltd. The other authors declare no<br>114 Competing interests. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure 114 competing interests. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure<br>115 of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to 115 of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to<br>116 the content of the manuscript have been disclosed. the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

### 117 **Figure 1. Virological features of JN.1**<br>118 (A) Frequency of mutations in JN.1

<sup>118</sup>(**A**) Frequency of mutations in JN.1 and other lineages of interest. Only 119 mutations with a frequency  $>0.5$  in at least one but not all the representative  $120$  lineages are shown. 120 lineages are shown.<br>121 **(B)** Estimated relative

121 **(B)** Estimated relative  $R_e$  of the variants of interest in France, United Kingdom,  $122$  and Spain. The relative Re of EG.5.1 is set to 1 (horizontal dashed line). Violin. 122 and Spain. The relative Re of EG.5.1 is set to 1 (horizontal dashed line). Violin,<br>123 posterior distribution; dot, posterior mean; line, 95% Bayesian confidence 123 posterior distribution; dot, posterior mean; line, 95% Bayesian confidence<br>124 interval. 124 interval.<br>125 (C) Estir

125 (C) Estimated epidemic dynamics of the variants of interest in France, United<br>126 Kingdom, and Spain from April 1, 2023 to November 16, 2023. Countries are Kingdom, and Spain from April 1, 2023 to November 16, 2023. Countries are<br>127 ordered according to the number of detected sequences of JN.1 from high to low. 127 ordered according to the number of detected sequences of JN.1 from high to low.<br>128 Line, posterior mean, ribbon, 95% Bayesian confidence interval. 128 Line, posterior mean, ribbon, 95% Bayesian confidence interval.<br>129 (D) Yeast surface display affinity between the RBD of the BA.2.8

129 **(D)** Yeast surface display affinity between the RBD of the BA.2.86 SARS-CoV-2<br>130 variant or BA.2.86 that contained the L455S mutation and mACE2 was 130 variant or BA.2.86 that contained the L455S mutation and mACE2 was 131 measured by yeast surface display. The dissociation constant  $(K_D)$  value 131 measured by yeast surface display. The dissociation constant  $(K_D)$  value 132 indicates the binding affinity of the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein to soluble 132 indicates the binding affinity of the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein to soluble<br>133 ACE2 when expressed on veast. Statistically significant differences versus 133 ACE2 when expressed on yeast. Statistically significant differences versus 134 BA.2.86 is determined by two-sided Student's *t* tests.

134 BA.2.86 is determined by two-sided Student's *t* tests.<br>135 **(E)** Lentivirus-based pseudovirus assav. HOS-AC <sup>135</sup>(**E**) Lentivirus-based pseudovirus assay. HOS-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells were 136 infected with pseudoviruses bearing each S protein of B.1.1 or BA.2 sublineages.<br>137 The amount of input virus was normalized to the amount of HIV-1 p24 capsid 137 The amount of input virus was normalized to the amount of HIV-1 p24 capsid<br>138 protein. The percentage infectivity of B.1.1, BA.2 and JN.1 are compared to that 138 protein. The percentage infectivity of B.1.1, BA.2 and JN.1 are compared to that<br>139 of BA.2.86. The horizontal dash line indicates the mean value of the percentage 139 of BA.2.86. The horizontal dash line indicates the mean value of the percentage<br>140 infectivity of BA.2.86. Assays were performed in quadruplicate. and a 140 infectivity of BA.2.86. Assays were performed in quadruplicate, and a<br>141 representative result of four independent assays is shown. The presented data 141 representative result of four independent assays is shown. The presented data are expressed as the average  $\pm$  SD. Each dot indicates the result of an individual 142 are expressed as the average  $\pm$  SD. Each dot indicates the result of an individual 143 replicate. Statistically significant differences versus BA.2.86 is determined by 143 replicate. Statistically significant differences versus BA.2.86 is determined by<br>144 two-sided Student's t tests. 144 two-sided Student's *t* tests.<br>145 (F-J) Neutralization assa

<sup>145</sup>(**F**-**J**) Neutralization assay. Assays were performed with pseudoviruses 146 harboring the S proteins of B.1.1, BA.2, BA.2.86, JN.1 and HK.3. The following<br>147 sera were used: sera from six hamsters infected with BA.2.86 (F); sera from ten 147 sera were used: sera from six hamsters infected with BA.2.86 (**F**); sera from ten<br>148 mice immunized with SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 S (G): convalescent sera from fully 148 mice immunized with SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 S (G); convalescent sera from fully<br>149 vaccinated individuals who had been infected with XBB.1.5 (eight 3-dose vaccinated individuals who had been infected with XBB.1.5 (eight 3-dose<br>150 vaccinated donors six 4-dose vaccinated donors four 5-dose vaccinated donors vaccinated donors, six 4-dose vaccinated donors, four 5-dose vaccinated donors<br>151 and one 6-dose vaccinated donor. 19 donors in total) (H): and EG.5.1 (one 151 and one 6-dose vaccinated donor. 19 donors in total) (H); and EG.5.1 (one<br>152 2-dose vaccinated donor. four 3-dose vaccinated donors. five 4-dose vaccinated 2-dose vaccinated donor, four 3-dose vaccinated donors, five 4-dose vaccinated<br>153 donors, four 5-dose vaccinated donors and four 6-dose vaccinated donors, 18 153 donors, four 5-dose vaccinated donors and four 6-dose vaccinated donors. 18<br>154 donors in total) (I). Assavs were also performed with pseudoviruses harboring 154 donors in total) (**I**). Assays were also performed with pseudoviruses harboring<br>155 the S proteins of BA.2.86 and JN.1. The following two sera were used: 155 the S proteins of BA.2.86 and JN.1. The following two sera were used:<br>156 vaccinated sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had not been infected (8 156 vaccinated sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had not been infected (8<br>157 donors) and vaccinated sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had been 157 donors) and vaccinated sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had been<br>158 infected with XBB subvariants (after June, 2023) (10 donors). Sera were 158 infected with XBB subvariants (after June, 2023) (10 donors). Sera were<br>159 collected before vaccination ('Pre') and 3-4 weeks after XBB.1.5 monovalent 159 collected before vaccination ('Pre') and 3-4 weeks after XBB.1.5 monovalent<br>160 vaccination ('Post') (J). Assays for each serum sample were performed in 160 vaccination ('Post') (**J**). Assays for each serum sample were performed in triplicate to determine the 50% neutralization titer ( $NT_{50}$ ). triplicate to determine the 50% neutralization titer  $(NT<sub>50</sub>)$ .

162 Each dot represents one  $NT_{50}$  value, and the geometric mean and 95%<br>163 confidence interval are shown. The number in parenthesis indicates the 163 confidence interval are shown. The number in parenthesis indicates the geometric mean of  $NT_{50}$  values. The horizontal dash line indicates the detection 164 geometric mean of  $NT_{50}$  values. The horizontal dash line indicates the detection<br>165 limit (40-fold) and the number of samples with neutralization titer under the limit 165 limit (40-fold) and the number of samples with neutralization titer under the limit  $166$  are shown below the dash line. In **F-J**, statistically significant differences versus 166 are shown below the dash line. In F-J, statistically significant differences versus<br>167 JN.1 were determined by two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, and p values 167 JN.1 were determined by two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, and p values are indicated in parentheses. The fold changes of  $NT_{50}$  from that of JN.1 are 168 are indicated in parentheses. The fold changes of  $NT_{50}$  from that of JN.1 are indicated with "X". In **F** and **G**. \*. p<0.05: \*\*. p<0.01 versus JN.1. indicated with "X". In **F** and **G**,  $*$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ;  $**$ ,  $p < 0.01$  versus JN.1.

# <sup>170</sup>**References**

171 1. Uriu K, Ito J, Kosugi Y, et al. Transmissibility, infectivity, and immune<br>172 evasion of the SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 variant. Lancet Infect Dis 2023; 23(11): 172 evasion of the SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 variant. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2023; **23**(11):<br>173 e460-e1. 173 **e460-e1.**<br>174 **2.** W

174 2. Wang Q, Guo Y, Liu L, et al. Antigenicity and receptor affinity of 175 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 spike. Nature 2023. 175 **SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 spike.** *Nature* **2023.**<br>176 3. Sheward DJ, Yang Y, Westerk

176 3. Sheward DJ, Yang Y, Westerberg M, et al. Sensitivity of the 177 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 variant to prevailing neutralising antibody responses. 177 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 variant to prevailing neutralising antibody responses.<br>178 Lancet Infect Dis 2023: 23(11): e462-e3. <sup>178</sup>*Lancet Infect Dis* 2023; **23**(11): e462-e3.

179 4. Yang S, Yu Y, Jian F, et al. Antigenicity and infectivity characterisation of 180 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86. Lancet Infect Dis 2023: 23(11): e457-e9.

180 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2023; **23**(11): e457-e9.<br>181 5. Kosugi Y, Plianchaisuk A, Putri O, et al. Virological char 181 5. Kosugi Y, Plianchaisuk A, Putri O, et al. Virological characteristics of the<br>182 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron HK.3 variant harboring the "FLip" substitution. BioRxiv

182 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron HK.3 variant harboring the "FLip" substitution. *BioRxiv*<br>183 2023: doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.14.566985. 183 2023: doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.14.566985.<br>184 6. Yamasoba D, Kimura I, Nasser H, et al. Virolo

184 6. Yamasoba D, Kimura I, Nasser H, et al. Virological characteristics of the<br>185 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 spike. Cell 2022; **185**(12): 2103-15.e19. 185 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 spike. *Cell* 2022; **185**(12): 2103-15.e19.<br>186 7. Uriu K, Ito J, Zahradnik J, et al. Enhanced transmissibility, info

186 7. Uriu K, Ito J, Zahradnik J, et al. Enhanced transmissibility, infectivity, and<br>187 immune resistance of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB.1.5 variant. Lancet Infect 187 immune resistance of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB.1.5 variant. *Lancet Infect* **Dis 2023: 23(3): 280-1.** <sup>188</sup>*Dis* 2023; **23**(3): 280-1.

189

