1 Virological characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron EG.5.1 variant

2

Shuhei Tsujino^{1#}, Sayaka Deguchi^{2#}, Tomo Nomai^{3#}, Miguel Padilla-Blanco^{4,5}, 3 Arnon Plianchaisuk^{6#}, Lei Wang^{7,8#}, MST Monira Begum^{9#}, Keiya Uriu^{6,10#}, Keita 4 5 6 Yoshitaka Oda^{7,8}, Masumi Tsuda^{7,8}, Yuki Anraku³, Shunsuke Kita³, Hisano 7 Yajima¹⁶, Kaori Sasaki-Tabata¹⁷, Ziyi Guo⁶, Alfredo A Hinay Jr.⁶, Kumiko 8 Yoshimatsu¹⁸, Yuki Yamamoto¹⁹, Tetsuharu Nagamoto¹⁹, Hiroyuki Asakura²⁰, 9 Mami Nagashima²⁰, Kenji Sadamasu²⁰, Kazuhisa Yoshimura²⁰, Hesham 10 Nasser^{9,21}, Michael Jonathan⁹, Olivia Putri^{6,22}, Yoonjin Kim^{6,23}, Luo Chen^{6,24}, 11 Rigel Suzuki^{1,25}, Tomokazu Tamura^{1,12,25}, Katsumi Maenaka^{3,26,27,28}, The 12 Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium, Takashi Irie²⁹, Keita 13 Matsuno^{11,12,13,14}, Shinya Tanaka^{7,8}, Jumpei Ito^{6,10,30}, Terumasa Ikeda⁹*, Kazuo Takayama^{2,31}*, Jiri Zahradnik⁴*, Takao Hashiduchi^{16,32}*. Takasuke 14 15 Fukuhara^{1,12,25,31,33}*. Kei Sato^{6,10,24,30,32,34,35}* 16

17

¹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Hokkaido
 University, Sapporo, Japan.

² Center for iPS Cell Research and Application (CiRA), Kyoto University, Kyoto,
 Japan

³ Laboratory of Biomolecular Science and Center for Research and Education

on Drug Discovery, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University,
 Openant, Januar

24 Sapporo, Japan

⁴ First Medical Faculty at Biocev, Charles University, Vestec-Prague, Czechia

- ⁵ Departamento de Farmacia, Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad
 Cardenal Herrera-CEU (UCH-CEU), CEU Universities, Valencia, Spain
- ⁶ Division of Systems Virology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology,

29 The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

⁷ Department of Cancer Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Hokkaido University,
 Sapporo, Japan

⁸ Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (WPI-ICReDD),
 Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

⁹ Division of Molecular Virology and Genetics, Joint Research Center for Human

- 35 Retrovirus infection, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan
- ¹⁰ Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹¹ Division of Risk Analysis and Management, International Institute for Zoonosis
- 38 Control, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- ¹² One Health Research Center, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- 40 ¹³ International Collaboration Unit, International Institute for Zoonosis Control,
- 41 Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- 42 ¹⁴ Institute for Vaccine Research and Development (IVReD), Hokkaido
- 43 University, Sapporo, Japan

- 44 ¹⁵ Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine,
- 45 Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- 46 ¹⁶ Laboratory of Medical Virology, Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto
- 47 University, Kyoto, Japan
- 48 ¹⁷ Department of Medicinal Sciences, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical
- 49 Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- ¹⁸ Institute for Genetic Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- 51 ¹⁹ HiLung Inc., Kyoto, Japan
- 52 ²⁰ Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Public Health, Tokyo, Japan
- ²¹ Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University,
- 54 Ismailia, Egypt
- ²² Department of Biomedicine, School of Life Sciences, Indonesia International
 Institute for Life Sciences (i3L), Jakarta, Indonesia
- ²³ Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Natural Science, Imperial College
 London, London, United Kingdom
- 59 ²⁴ Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa,
 60 Japan
- 61 ²⁵ Institute for Vaccine Research and Development (IVReD), Hokkaido
 62 University, Sapporo, Japan
- ²⁶ Institute for Vaccine Research and Development, HU-IVReD, Hokkaido
 University, Sapporo, Japan
- ²⁷ Global Station for Biosurfaces and Drug Discovery, Hokkaido University,
- 66 Sapporo, Japan
- ²⁸ Division of Pathogen Structure, International Institute for Zoonosis Control,
- 68 Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
- ²⁹ Institute of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima,
 Japan.
- ³⁰ International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, The Institute of Medical
 Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ³¹ AMED-CREST, Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
 (AMED), Tokyo, Japan
- ³² CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan
- ³³ Laboratory of Virus Control, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka
- 77 University, Suita, Japan
- ³⁴ International Vaccine Design Center, The Institute of Medical Science, The
- 79 University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ³⁵ Collaboration Unit for Infection, Joint Research Center for Human Retrovirus
- 81 infection, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan
- 82 [#]These authors contributed equally
- 83
- 84 *Corresponding authors:
- 85 ikedat@kumamoto-u.ac.jp (Terumasa Ikeda),

- 86 kazuo.takayama@cira.kyoto-u.ac.jp (Kazuo Takayama),
- 87 jiri.zahradnik2@gmail.com (Jiri Zahradnik),
- 88 hashiguchi.takao.1a@kyoto-u.ac.jp (Takao Hashiguchi),
- 89 fukut@pop.med.hokudai.ac.jp (Takasuke Fukuhara),
- 90 KeiSato@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp (Kei Sato)
- 91
- 92 Short title: Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 EG.5.1 (37/50 characters)
- 93
- 94 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Omicron; EG.5.1; pathogenicity; ORF9b

95 **Abstract** (142/150 words)

96 In middle-late 2023, a sublineage of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron XBB, EG.5.1 (a progeny of XBB.1.9.2), is spreading rapidly around the world. Here, we 97 98 performed multiscale investigations to reveal virological features of newly 99 emerging EG.5.1 variant. Our phylogenetic-epidemic dynamics modeling 100 suggested that two hallmark substitutions of EG.5.1, S:F456L and ORF9b:I5T, 101 are critical to the increased viral fitness. Experimental investigations addressing 102 the growth kinetics, sensitivity to clinically available antivirals, fusogenicity and 103 pathogenicity of EG.5.1 suggested that the virological features of EG.5.1 is 104 comparable to that of XBB.1.5. However, the cryo-electron microscopy reveals 105 the structural difference between the spike proteins of EG.5.1 and XBB.1.5. We 106 further assessed the impact of ORF9b:I5T on viral features, but it was almost 107 negligible at least in our experimental setup. Our multiscale investigations 108 provide the knowledge for understanding of the evolution trait of newly emerging 109 pathogenic viruses in the human population.

110 Introduction

XBB is a recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Omicron lineage emerged in the summer of 2022¹. As of October 2023, some XBB sublineages bearing the F486P substitution in the spike protein (S; S:F486P), such as XBB.1.5 and XBB.1.16, have become predominant worldwide (<u>https://nextstrain.org/</u>). Because S:F486P significantly increased pseudovirus infectivity², it is assumed that the spread of F486P-bearing XBB subvariants is attributed to the increased infectivity from S:F486P.

118 Since July 2023, EG.5.1 (also known as XBB.1.9.2.5.1) has rapidly 119 spread in some Asian and North American countries. On August 9, 2023, the 120 WHO classified EG.5 as a variant of interest³. In fact, our recent study showed 121 that EG.5.1 exhibits a greater effective reproduction number (R_e) compared with 122 XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, and its parental lineage (XBB.1.9.2)⁴. These observations 123 suggest that EG.5. has the potential to spread globally and outcompete these 124 XBB subvariants.

125 EG.5.1 bears two evolutionary characteristic mutations, S:F456L and 126 ORF9b:15T, absent in earlier predominant lineages such as XBB.1.5. These two substitutions convergently occurred in multiple SARS-CoV-2 lineages 127 128 (https://jbloomlab.github.io/SARS2-mut-fitness/). Importantly, it has been 129 reported that convergent mutations tend to increase viral fitness-the ability of virus to spread in the human population, quantified with the effective 130 reproduction number $(R_e)^{5,6}$. In fact, we have shown that the S:F456L in EG.5.1 131 132 confers resistance to the humoral immunity induced by XBB breakthrough 133 infection (BTI)⁴. This result suggests that S:F456L contributes to the increased 134 viral fitness of EG.5.1 by enhancing immune evasion from the humoral immunity 135 elicited by XBB BTI.

SARS-CoV-2 ORF9b is a viral antagonist that hampers the innate immunity to induce type I interferon (IFN-I) production⁷⁻¹⁰. Of note, the ORF9b:I5T substitution is detected in multiple lineages including XBB.1.9 and EG.5.1 (<u>https://github.com/cov-lineages/pango-designation</u>), which raises a possibility that the ORF9b:I5T has a crucial role in these XBB sublineages. However, the impact of ORF9b:I5T on the characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 variants is not documented yet.

The R_e and immune evasive property of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron EG.5.1 variant have been addressed by us⁴ and other groups^{11,12}. However, mutations that contribute to the increased viral fitness in EG.5.1 have been unidentified. Moreover, the growth kinetics, sensitivity to clinically available antiviral compounds, fusogenicity and pathogenicity of EG.5.1 remains to be addressed. In this study, we elucidated the virological characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron EG.5.1 variant.

150 Results

151 Mutations contributing to the increased viral fitness of EG.5.1

Compared with XBB.1.5, EG.5.1 has two amino acid substitutions in S (S:Q52H and S:F456L) and five substitutions in other proteins (Figure 1A). Of these, S:F456L and ORF9b:I5T are documented as convergent substitutions (<u>https://jbloomlab.github.io/SARS2-mut-fitness/</u>). ORF9b:I5T is already present in XBB.1.9, the ancestral lineage of EG.5.1, whereas S:F456L is absent (Figure 1A). EG.5.1.1, a major descendant lineage of EG.5.1 (Figure 1A).
ORF1b:D54N substitution compared with EG.5.1 (Figure 1A).

159 To test whether the convergent substitutions S:F456L and ORF9b:I5T 160 have contributed to the increased viral fitness of EG.5.1, we performed phylogenetic and epidemic dynamics analyses using the genome surveillance 161 162 data obtained from GISAID (https://gisaid.org/). First, we traced the occurrence 163 events of ORF9b:I5T and S:F456L substitutions throughout the diversification of 164 XBB lineages and investigate how often each substitution has occurred, which is likely to indicate the effect of substitution on viral fitness (Figure 1B)^{5,6}. We 165 reconstructed a phylogenetic tree of XBB lineage including 248 PANGO 166 167 lineages. Subsequently, we inferred the state of presence or absence of 168 ORF9b:I5T and S:F456L substitutions in ancestral nodes and pinpointed where 169 each substitution occurred. We detected three and five occurrence events of ORF9b:15T and S:F456L substitutions, respectively, supporting that these 170 171 substitutions have occurred convergently during the XBB diversification (Figure 172 1B). Considering the evolutionary path of EG.5 lineage, the ORF9b:I5T 173 substitution occurred first in a common ancestor of XBB.1.9, XBB.1.16, and 174 XBB.1.22 lineages, which share this substitution. The S:F456L substitution 175 occurred later in the most recent common ancestor of EG.5 lineage.

176 Next, we estimated the effect of ORF9b:I5T and S:F456L substitutions 177 on viral fitness (i.e., R_e) using a Bayesian hierarchical multinomial logistic model, 178 established in our previous study⁵. This model can estimate the effect of an amino acid substitution on Re and predict the Re of a SARS-CoV-2 variant as a 179 linear combination of the effects of individual substitutions⁵. First, we retrieved 180 181 amino acid substitution profiles of SARS-CoV-2 in the XBB lineage circulated in 182 the USA from December 1, 2022, to September 15, 2023, and classified the 183 SARS-CoV-2 into haplotypes, groups of viruses sharing a unique substitution profile. These resulted in 470 haplotypes according to the profile of 283 184 185 substitutions in the 12 SARS-CoV-2 proteins. We then estimated the effect of each substitution on Re and predicted the Re of each haplotype using our model. 186 187 Our modeling analysis suggests that ORF9b:15T and S:F456L substitutions have 188 the strongest and second-strongest positive effects on Re among the 189 substitutions we investigated, respectively (Figure 1C, Supplementary Table 1), 190 whereas S:Q52H and ORF1b:D54N substitutions have a weaker positive effect

on R_e (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we showed that haplotypes with ORF9b:I5T or 191 192 S:F456L substitutions tend to show higher Re. In particular, haplotypes with both 193 ORF9b:I5T and S:F456L substitutions, including EG.5, EG.5.1, and FL.1.5.1 194 (XBB.1.9.1.1.5.1), exhibit the highest R_e among the haplotypes we investigated 195 (Figure 1D, Supplementary Table 2). FL.1.5.1 is a descendant lineage of 196 XBB.1.9 harboring S:F456L substitution which is independent of the EG.5 197 lineage. Altogether, our analyses suggest that the increased viral fitness of 198 EG.5.1 is primarily due to the ORF9b:I5T and S:F456L convergent substitutions. 199

200 Growth kinetics of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 in vitro

201 To investigate the growth kinetics of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 in *in vitro* cell culture 202 systems, we inoculated clinical isolates of Delta, XBB.1.5, EG.5.1, and EG.5.1.1 203 into multiple cell cultures. In Vero cells (Figure 2A), VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells 204 (Figure 2B) and 293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (Figure 2C), the replication kinetics 205 of Delta and XBB1.5 were comparable. On the other hand, the growth kinetics of 206 EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 in these three cell cultures were significantly lower than 207 that of XBB.1.5 (Figures 2A-2C). In Calu-3 cells (Figure 2D) and airway 208 organoid-derived air-liquid interface (ALI) model (Figure 2E), while the 209 replication kinetics of Delta was greater than that of XBB.1.5, those of XBB.1.5, 210 EG.5.1, and EG.5.1.1 were comparable. In human iPSC-derived alveolar 211 epithelial cells (Figure 2F), the replication kinetics of XBB.1.5 was slightly 212 decreased compared with Delta, and EG.5.1 replication was lower than that of 213 XBB.1.5. EG.5.1.1 showed the poorest replication capacity among the variants 214 tested.

215

216 Sensitivity of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 to antiviral drugs

217 We then evaluated the sensitivity of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 to three antiviral drugs. 218 Remdesivir, Ensitrelvir, and Nirmatrelvir (also known as PF-07321332). Clinical 219 isolates of Delta and XBB.1.5 were used as controls. These viruses were 220 inoculated into human iPSC-derived lung organoids, a physiologically relevant 221 model, and treated with three antiviral drugs. Nirmatrelvir showed the strongest 222 antiviral effects and no differences in antiviral efficacy were observed between 223 four variants (EC₅₀ = 0.41 nM, 0.62 nM, 0.88 nM, and 0.82 nM for Delta, XBB.1.5, 224 EG.5.1, and EG.5.1.1, respectively) (Figure 3). Similarly, Remdesivir and 225 Ensitrelvir showed significant antiviral effects to these four isolates tested 226 (Figure 3).

227

228 ACE2 binding affinity of EG.5.1 S

229 The binding affinity of EG.5.1 S receptor binding domain (RBD) was measured

- by yeast surface display^{7,8,10,16,19,34,36,40}. Consistent with our previous reports^{2,13},
- 231 the S RBD of XBB.1.5 exhibited the lowest K_{D} value when compared to those of

232 XBB.1 and XBB.1.16 (**Figure 4A**). Additionally, we showed that the K_D value of 233 EG.5.1 S RBD was significantly higher than that of XBB.1.5 (**Figure 4A**). Similar 234 to the observation of pseudovirus assay⁴, our data suggest that the infectious

potential of EG.5.1 is not greater than that of XBB.1.5.

236

237 Fusogenicity of EG.5.1 S

The fusogenicity of EG.5.1 S protein was measured by the SARS-CoV-2 S protein-mediated membrane fusion assay^{1,5,14-21} using Calu-3/DSP₁₋₇ cells. Compared to the XBB.1.5 S protein, the surface expression levels of the S proteins of Delta, BA.2, XBB.1, and EG.5.1 were reduced, while B.1.1 S protein was expressed higher on the surface of HEK293 cells (**Figure 4B**). The S:Q52H and S:F456L, hallmark amino acid substitutions of EG.5.1 S, did not affect the surface expression level of XBB.1.5 S (**Figure 4B**).

As previously reported^{1,16,17,21}, the Delta S protein exhibited the 245 246 greatest fusogenicity, while the BA.2 S protein exhibited the weakest fusogenicity (Figure 4C). Also, the XBB.1 S protein exhibited comparable 247 fusogenicity to the XBB.1.5 S protein²². Here we found that the fusogenicity of 248 EG.5.1 S was comparable to that of XBB.1.5 S, and the Q52H and F456L 249 250 substitutions did not affect fusogenicity of XBB.1.5 S (Figure 4C). These results 251 suggest that the EG.5.1 S protein exhibits comparable fusogenicity to XBB.1 and 252 XBB.1.5 S proteins.

253

254 Impact of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 infection on the epithelial-endothelial barrier

255 To assess the effects of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 infection on the airway epithelial 256 and endothelial barriers, we employed an airway-on-a-chip system. The quantity 257 of viruses that infiltrates from the top channel to the bottom channel reflects the 258 capacity of viruses to breach the airway epithelial and endothelial barriers^{1,5,19,22,23}. Notably, the percentage of virus that infiltrated the bottom 259 260 channel of the EG.5.1- and EG.5.1.1-infected airway-on-a-chip was comparable 261 to that of the XBB.1.5-infected airway-on-a-chip (Figures 4D and 4E). Together 262 with the findings of the S-based fusion assay (Figure 4C), these results suggest 263 that the fusogenicity of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 is comparable to that of XBB.1.5.

264

265 Structural characteristics of EG.5.1 S protein

To gain structural insights into EG.5.1 S protein, the structures of the EG.5.1 S ectodomain alone were determined by cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) analysis. The EG.5.1 S ectodomain was reconstructed as two closed states and a 1-up state at resolutions of 2.50 Å, 2.89 Å and 3.34 Å, respectively (**Figure 5A**, **Supplementary Figures 1A-B, and Supplementary Table 3**). The two closed states in EG.5.1 show structural differences in the orientation of the RBD and the loop structure at the protomer interface (**Figure 5A** and **Supplementary Figure**

1C), as observed in XBB.1 and XBB.1.5¹, therefore, these two closed states 273 274 were defined as closed-1 and closed-2, respectively. In addition, a 1-up state 275 was also observed in EG.5.1, which could not be observed in XBB.1 and 276 XBB.1.5. XBB variant was derived from recombination of BJ.1.1 with BM.1.1.1, a 277 descendant of BA.2.75¹, two closed and a 1-up states are observed in BA.2.75 S 278 like EG.5.1 S^{19,24}. Thus, from BA.2.75 through XBB to EG.5.1, there exist 279 conformational differences among the representative structures of the spike 280 protein of these variants. To examine the reason for transition of spike protein conformation, we compared the structures of XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1²². While 281 282 closed-1 state of XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1 share a nearly identical overall structure, 283 the relative orientation of RBD in the closed-2 state show a minor displacement 284 (Figure 5B). EG.5.1 has the Q52H substitution in the NTD and the F456L 285 substitution in the RBD compared to XBB.1.5 (Supplementary Figures 1D-E), 286 especially the F456L substitution is located at the interface between protomers 287 in the closed-2 state (Figure 5C). When focusing on the interactions of F456L, in XBB.1.5 S, F456 was located at a distance of 3.8 Å from P373, whereas in 288 289 EG.5.1, F456L and P373 exhibited a distance of 10.1 Å, thus resolving 290 hydrophobic interactions (Figure 5C). This structural difference suggests that 291 the closed-2 state of EG.5.1 exhibits a weaker RBD packing compared to 292 XBB.1/XBB.1.5, allowing for EG.5.1 S to more likely transit to the 1-up state. It 293 has been previously reported that the F486 residue stabilizes the 1-up conformation by interacting to the up RBD^{25,26}. To verify the position of 294 295 amino-acid residue 486 in RBD and up RBDs of EG.5.1 S, we focused on the 296 interface between down and up RBDs in the 1-up state (Supplementary Figure 297 1A). Although the details of the interaction are unclear due to resolution 298 limitations, the P486 residue was found to be in contact with the up RBD residue, 299 suggesting that it may also contribute to the stabilization of the 1-up state 300 (Figure 5D).

301

302 Virological characteristics of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 in vivo

To investigate the virological features of EG.5.1 and its variant EG.5.1.1 *in vivo*, clinical isolates of Delta, XBB.1.5, EG.5.1, and EG.5.1.1 (10,000 TCID₅₀) were intranasally inoculated into hamsters under anesthesia. Consistent with our previous studies^{1,5,15,16,19}, Delta infection resulted in weight loss (**Figure 6A, left**). The body weights of the hamsters infected with XBB.1.5, EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 were comparable and significantly lower than that of uninfected hamsters (**Figure 6A, left**).

We then analyzed the pulmonary function of infected hamsters as reflected by two parameters, enhanced pause (Penh) and the ratio of time to peak expiratory flow relative to the total expiratory time (Rpef). Delta infection resulted in significant differences in these two respiratory parameters compared to XBB.1.5 infection (Figure 6A, middle and right), suggesting that Delta is
more pathogenic than XBB.1.5. On the other hand, the Penh and Rpef values of
EG.5.1-, EG.5.1.1-, and XBB.1.5-infected hamsters were comparable (Figure
6A, middle and right), suggesting that the pathogenicity of EG.5.1 variants is
similar to that of XBB.1.5 in hamsters.

To evaluate the viral spread in infected hamsters, we routinely measured the viral RNA load in the oral swab. Although the viral RNA load of EG.5.1-infected hamsters were significantly higher than that of XBB.1.5-infected hamster at 2 d.p.i., the EG.5.1 RNA load was acutely decreased and was significantly lower than the XBB.1.5 RNA load at 5 d.p.i (**Figure 6B, left**).

324 We then compared the viral spread in the respiratory tissues. We 325 collected the lungs of infected hamsters at 2 and 5 d.p.i., and the collected 326 tissues were separated into the hilum and periphery regions. The viral RNA 327 loads in both the lung hilum and periphery regions of Delta-infected hamsters 328 were significantly higher than those of the other three Omicron subvariants. The 329 viral RNA loads in both lung regions of EG.5.1-infected hamsters were slightly 330 lower than those of XBB.1.5-infected hamsters (Figure 6B, middle and right). 331 In the lung hilum region, the viral RNA load of EG.5.1.1-infected hamsters were 332 comparable to that of XBB.1.5-infected hamsters at 2 and 5 d.p.i. (Figure 6B, 333 middle). However, in the lung periphery region, the EG.5.1.1 RNA load was 334 significantly lower than the XBB.1.5 RNA load (Figure 6B, right). These results 335 suggest that the viral spreading efficacy of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 in the lung is 336 lower than that of XBB.1.5.

337 To further investigate of the viral spread in the respiratory tissues of 338 infected hamsters, we analyzed the formalin-fixed right lungs of infected 339 hamsters at 2 and 5 d.p.i. by carefully identifying the four lobules and lobar 340 bronchi sectioning each lobe along with the bronchial branches and performed 341 immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis targeting viral nucleocapsid (N) protein. Consistent with our previous studies^{1,5,15-19,22}, at 2 d.p.i., the N-positive cells 342 343 were strongly detected in Delta-infected hamsters in the alveolar space around the bronchi/bronchioles (Figure 6C). In the three Omicron subvariants, the 344 345 percentage of N-positive cells in the lungs of EG.5.1- and EG.5.1.1-infected 346 hamsters were comparable to that of XBB.1.5-infected hamsters (Figure 6C and 347 Supplementary Figure 2A). At 5 d.p.i., N-positive cells were detected in the 348 peripheral alveolar space in Delta-infected hamsters, while the N-positive areas 349 of EG.5.1-, EG.5.1.1- and XBB.1.5-infected hamsters were slightly detectable in 350 the peripheral alveolar space (Figure 6C and Supplementary Figure 2B). 351 There was no significant difference in the N-positive area of three Omicron 352 subvariants (Supplementary Figures 2A and 2B).

353

354 Intrinsic pathogenicity of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1

355 To investigate the intrinsic pathogenicity of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1, 356 histopathological analyses were performed according to the criteria described in our previous study¹⁶. At 2 d.p.i., inflammation was limited in bronchi/bronchioles 357 in the hamsters infected with EG.5.1, EG.5.1.1 and XBB.1.5 (Figure 6D and 358 359 Supplementary Figure 3A). On the other hand, alveolar damage around the 360 bronchi was prominent in Delta-infected hamsters (Figure 6D). At 5 d.p.i., 361 although the alveolar architecture was totally destroyed by the alveolar damage 362 or the expansion of type II pneumocytes in Delta-infected hamsters, alveolar 363 architecture was preserved in the three Omicron subvariant-infected hamsters 364 (Figures 6D, 6E and Supplementary Figure 3B). Consistent with our previous studies^{1,5,15-19,22}, all five histological parameters and the total histology score of 365 366 the Delta-infected hamsters were greatest (Figure 6E). On the other hand, these 367 scores were comparable in the three Omicron subvariant-infected hamsters 368 (Figures 6D and 6E).

369

370 Impact of ORF9b:I5T on IFN-I inhibition and viral growth kinetics

371 As shown in Figure 1, our epidemic dynamics analyses suggested that 372 ORF9b:I5T substitution contributes to the increased viral fitness in XBB lineages. 373 In addition to ORF9b:I5T, EG.5.1 has a substitution and a deletion in this protein compared with Wuhan-Hu-1 (WH1)²⁷, both of which are conserved across 374 Omicron lineages (Figure 7A). Since previous studies demonstrated that 375 ORF9b inhibits IFN-I signaling⁷⁻¹⁰, we hypothesized that the I5T substitution 376 affects the anti-IFN-I function of ORF9b. To address this possibility, we used the 377 expression plasmid for the ORF9b protein of WH1²⁷ and compared its anti-IFN-I 378 379 activity with that of ORF6, another viral anti-IFN-I antagonist, which we showed previously²⁸. As shown in **Figure 7B**, the anti-IFN-I activity of WH1 ORF9b was 380 381 less than that of WH1 ORF6. We then assessed the anti-IFN-I activity of ORF9b 382 of some SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants such as XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, and 383 EG.5.1. Although some values of Omicron subvariants were different from that 384 of WH1 with statistically significance, the anti-IFN-I activity was not clearly 385 different (Figure 7C). These findings suggest that the I5T substitution does not 386 critically affect the anti-IFN-I activity of ORF9b.

387 To further evaluate the impact of ORF9b:15T on viral growth kinetics in 388 in vitro cell culture systems, we prepared three recombinant SARS-CoV-2, 389 rEG.5.1 [wildtype (WT)], rEG.5.1 ORF9b:T5I (ORF9b:T5I), and rEG.5.1 ORF9b 390 KO (ORF9b KO) by reverse genetics and inoculated it into multiple cell cultures. 391 As shown in Figure 7D-H, viral growth kinetics of ORF9b:T5I was comparable to 392 that of WT in all tested cell cultures, suggesting that the ORF9b:I5T does not 393 affect the viral replication efficacy. Similarly, the growth kinetics of WT and 394 ORF9b KO were comparable (Figure 7D-H). These findings suggest that

- 395 ORF9b does not have a crucial role on viral replication at least in in vitro cell
- 396 culture systems.

397 Discussion

398 In this study, we performed phylogenetic and epidemic dynamics modeling 399 analyses using viral sequence data and showed the data suggesting that two 400 hallmark mutations in the EG.5.1 lineage, S:F456L and ORF9b:I5T, are critical 401 to the increased viral fitness (i.e., R_e). We then experimentally addressed the 402 growth kinetics, sensitivity to clinically available antiviral compounds, 403 fusogenicity and pathogenicity of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 variants. Our 404 experimental results suggest that the virological features of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 405 are almost comparable to that of XBB.1.5. We further reveal the structure of 406 EG.5.1 S by cryo-EM and describe the structural difference between the EG.5.1 407 S and XBB.1.5 S. Moreover, we assessed the impact of ORF9b:I5T on the 408 function of IFN-I antagonism by ORF9b, while its impact was negligible at least 409 in our experimental setup. Furthermore, the experiments using the recombinant 410 EG.5.1 viruses by reverse genetics showed that the impact of ORF9b:I5T on 411 viral growth is not observed.

412

413 We have shown the evidence suggesting that the fusogenicity of S 414 protein in *in vitro* cell cultures (particularly in Calu-3 cells) is closely associated 415 with viral intrinsic pathogenicity in hamsters^{15,16,18}. Consistent with our 416 assumption, we demonstrated the fusogenicity of EG.5.1 S is comparable to that of XBB.1.5 S (Figure 4C), and the infection experiment using airway-on-a-chip 417 418 showed that the potential of EG.5.1 to invade epithelial-endothelial barrier, which 419 reflects viral fusogenicity, is similar to that of XBB.1.5 (Figures 4D and 4E). 420 Moreover, in the experiments using hamsters, the intrinsic pathogenicity of 421 EG.5.1 is also indistinguishable to that of XBB.1.5 (Figure 6). Our results 422 suggest that the viral virulence is not modulated by the mutations accumulated in 423 the EG.5.1 genome when compared to XBB.1.5.

424

425 The ACE2 binding assay in vitro showed that the K_D value of EG.5.1 S 426 RBD is significantly higher than that of XBB.1.5 S RBD (Figure 4A). Consistent 427 with this observation, we have previously found that the pseudovirus infectivity of EG.5.1 is also lower than that of XBB.1.5⁴. Additionally, the growth kinetics of 428 EG.5.1 does not outweigh that of XBB.1.5, while its extent is dependent on the 429 430 cell types used (Figure 2). Moreover, in hamsters, the spreading efficiency of EG.5.1 is comparable to XBB.1.5 (Figures 6B and 6C). These observations 431 432 suggest that the growth capacity of EG.5.1 is similar to that of XBB.1.5. On the other hand, as explained in the Introduction, recent studies including ours^{4,11} 433 434 showed that EG.5.1 exhibits significantly greater immune resistance to the 435 humoral immunity induced by XBB breakthrough infection than XBB.1.5, and the 436 S:F456L substitution is responsible for this immunological phenotype. Altogether, 437 these observations suggest that the epidemic spread of the EG.5.1 lineage by

outcompeting an S:F486P-bearing XBB subvariants including XBB.1.5, is not
due to increased viral growth capacity, but rather to increased immune evasion
capacity from the humoral immunity induced by XBB breakthrough infection.

441

442 The structure of EG.5.1 S alone revealed in this study provides an 443 opportunity to discuss the impact of the substitutions in S proteins occurring in 444 variants since BA.2.75 on a series of conformational changes from BA.2.75 through XBB.1 and XBB.1.5 to EG.5.1^{1,19,22}. It has been reported that the F486 445 residue stabilizes the 1-up state by hydrophobic interactions with the up RBD in 446 the 1-up conformation^{25,26}. In XBB.1 S, the 1-up conformation is not optimal due 447 448 to F486S substitution, a less bulky and hydrophilic residue. On the other hand, 449 XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1 S acquired commonly the F486P substitution, these 450 variants are thought to have the potential to stabilize an up RBD conformation. 451 but only EG.5.1 S was reconstructed in the 1-up conformation. This difference 452 between XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1 is probably related to the F456L substitution, 453 which resolved the interaction between protomers in closed 2 in EG.5.1 and 454 facilitated the transition from the closed state to the 1-up one. The amino-acid 455 substitution-dependent conformational transitions illuminated by this study 456 provide an understanding of metastable pre-fusions state of the spike protein in 457 omicron subvariant, BA.2.75, XBB.1, XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1.

458

459 Limitation of the study

460 Our epidemic dynamics modeling analysis suggests that S:F456L and 461 ORF9b:I5T enhance viral fitness in XBB lineages (Figure. 1). S:F456L likely boosts viral fitness by improving the ability to escape humoral immunity induced 462 463 by vaccination and natural infection⁴. On the contrary, we failed to uncover any notable effects of ORF9b:15T on the viral properties we examined, including viral 464 replication in cell lines or airway organoids, or the inhibition of the IFN pathway 465 466 (Figure. 7). This discrepancy regarding the ORF9b:15T might be attributed to 467 two potential explanations. First, the observed effect of ORF9b:15T on viral 468 fitness could be a false positive. However, this seems less likely since the 469 positive effect of ORF9b:I5T on viral fitness was supported by two independent 470 methods: our approach and a method developed by Bloom et al., which infers 471 the fitness effect of a mutation based on its convergent acquisition level⁶. The 472 second explanation is that ORF9b:15T might affect viral properties related to 473 fitness, which we did not investigate experimentally. Indeed, our understanding 474 of which properties of the virus are closely related to viral fitness is currently 475 limited. For a deeper understanding of the mechanism by which the virus boosts 476 its transmission potential, characterizing mutations in non-S proteins including 477 ORF9b:15T would be crucial.

478

479 In sum, our multiscale investigation revealed the virological 480 characteristics of a most recently spreading SARS-CoV-2 variant, EG.5.1, particularly focusing on the effects of hallmark substitutions in the S (F456L) and 481 non-S (ORF9b:I5T) proteins. As we demonstrated on a variety of SARS-CoV-2 482 Omicron subvariants in the past^{1,2,4,5,13,15,17-19,21,22,29-31}, elucidating the virological 483 features of newly emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants is important to consider the 484 485 potential risk to human society and to understand the evolutionary scenario of 486 the emerging virus in the human population. In particular, accumulating the 487 knowledge of the evolution trait of newly emerging pathogenic viruses in the 488 human population will be beneficial for future outbreak/pandemic preparedness.

489 Author Contributions

- 490 Sayaka Deguchi, MST Monira Begum, Hesham Nasser, Michael Jonathan,
- 491 Terumasa Ikeda, and Kazuo Takayama performed cell culture experiments.
- 492 Shuhei Tsujino and Tomokazu Tamura generated recombinant viruses.
- 493 Shuhei Tsujino, Keita Mizuma, Naganori Nao, Isshu Kojima, Tomoya Tsubo,
- 494 Jingshu Li, Kumiko Yoshimatsu, Rigel Suzuki, Tomokazu Tamura, Keita 495 Matsuno performed animal experiments.
- 496 Lei Wang, Yoshitaka Oda, Masumi Tsuda, Shinya Tanaka performed 497 histopathological analysis.
- 498 performed yeast surface display assay.
- 499 Sayaka Deguchi, Kazuo Takayama prepared human iPSC-derived lung 500 organoids, AO-ALI, and airway-on-a-chip systems.
- 501 Sayaka Deguchi, Kazuo Takayama performed antiviral drug tests
- 502 Yuki Yamamoto and Tetsuharu Nagamoto performed generation and provision 503 of human iPSC-derived airway and alveolar epithelial cells.
- Hisano Yajima, Kaori Sasaki-Tabata and Takao Hashiguchi prepared the EG.5.1S protein.
- 506 Tomo Nomai, Yuki Anraku, Shunsuke Kita, Katsumi Maenaka and Takao 507 Hashiguchi determined the structure of EG.5.1 S protein.
- 508 Hiroyuki Asakura, Mami Nagashima, Kenji Sadamasu and Kazuhisa Yoshimura 509 performed viral genome sequencing analysis.
- 510 Yasufumi Matsumura, Miki Nagao collected swab samples from COVID-19 and
- 511 performed viral genome sequencing analysis.
- 512 Arnon Plianchaisuk performed bioinformatics analyses.
- 513 Jumpei Ito designed bioinformatics analyses and interpreted the results.
- 514 Terumasa Ikeda, Takasuke Fukuhara, and Kei Sato designed the experiments 515 and interpreted the results.
- 516 Jumpei Ito, Terumasa Ikeda, Kazuo Takayama, Jiri Zahradnik, Takasuke
- 517 Fukuhara and Kei Sato wrote the original manuscript.
- 518 All authors reviewed and proofread the manuscript.
- 519 The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium contributed to the 520 project administration.
- 521

522 Conflict of interest

523 Yuki Yamamoto and Tetsuharu Nagamoto are founders and shareholders of 524 HiLung, Inc. Yuki Yamamoto is a co-inventor of patents (PCT/JP2016/057254; "Method 525 for inducing differentiation of alveolar epithelial cells". 526 PCT/JP2016/059786, "Method of producing airway epithelial cells"). Jumpei Ito 527 has consulting fees and honoraria for lectures from Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. 528 Ltd. Kei Sato has consulting fees from Moderna Japan Co., Ltd. and Takeda 529 Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and honoraria for lectures from Gilead Sciences, Inc.,

530 Moderna Japan Co., Ltd., and Shionogi & Co., Ltd. The other authors declare 531 that no competing interests exist.

532

533 Acknowledgments

534 We would like to thank all members belonging to The Genotype to Phenotype 535 Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium. We thank Dr. Kenzo Tokunaga (National 536 Institute for Infectious Diseases, Japan) and Dr. Jin Gohda (The University of 537 Tokyo, Japan) for providing reagents. We thank to all members belonging to 538 Japanese Consortium on Structural Virology (JX-Vir). We appreciate the 539 technical assistance from The Research Support Center, Research Center for 540 Human Disease Modeling, Kyushu University Graduate School of Medical 541 Sciences. We gratefully acknowledge all data contributors, i.e. the Authors and 542 their Originating laboratories responsible for obtaining the specimens, and their 543 Submitting laboratories for generating the genetic sequence and metadata and 544 sharing via the GISAID Initiative, on which this research is based. The 545 super-computing resource was provided by Human Genome Center at The 546 University of Tokyo.

547 This study was supported in part by AMED SCARDA Japan Initiative 548 for World-leading Vaccine Research and Development Centers "UTOPIA" (JP223fa627001, to Kei Sato), AMED SCARDA Program on R&D of new 549 550 generation vaccine including new modality application (JP223fa727002, to Kei 551 Sato); AMED SCARDA Kyoto University Immunomonitoring Center (KIC) 552 (JP223fa627009, to Takao Hashiguchi); AMED SCARDA Hokkaido University 553 Institute for Vaccine Research and Development (HU-IVReD) (JP223fa627005, 554 to Katsumi Maenaka); AMED Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging 555 Infectious Diseases (JP21fk0108574, to Hesham Nasser; JP21fk0108493, to 556 Takasuke Fukuhara; JP22fk0108617 to Takasuke Fukuhara; JP22fk0108146, to 557 Kei Sato; JP21fk0108494 to G2P-Japan Consortium, Keita Matsuno, Shinya 558 Tanaka, Terumasa Ikeda, Takasuke Fukuhara, and Kei Sato; JP21fk0108425, 559 to Kazuo Takayama and Kei Sato; JP21fk0108432, to Kazuo Takayama, 560 Takasuke Fukuhara and Kei Sato; JP22fk0108534, to Takashi Irie, Terumasa 561 Ikeda, and Kei Sato; JP22fk0108511, to Yuki Yamamoto, Terumasa Ikeda, Keita 562 Matsuno, Shinya Tanaka, Kazuo Takayama, Takao Hashiguchi, Takasuke 563 Fukuhara, and Kei Sato); AMED Research Program on HIV/AIDS 564 (JP22fk0410055, to Terumasa Ikeda; and JP22fk0410039, to Kei Sato); AMED 565 Japan Program for Infectious Diseases Research and Infrastructure 566 (JP22wm0125008 to Keita Matsuno); AMED CREST (JP21gm1610005, to Kazuo Takayama; JP22gm1610008, to Takasuke Fukuhara; JP22gm1810004, 567 to Katsumi Maenaka); JST PRESTO (JPMJPR22R1, to Jumpei Ito); JST CREST 568 569 (JPMJCR20H4, to Kei Sato; JPMJCR20H8, to Takao Hashiguchi); JSPS 570 KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (22K07103, to Terumasa 571 Ikeda); JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research B (21H02736, to 572 Takasuke Fukuhara); JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists 573 (22K16375, to Hesham Nasser; 20K15767, Jumpei Ito); JSPS KAKENHI grant JP20H05873 (to Katsumi Maenaka); JSPS Core-to-Core Program (A. Advanced 574 Research Networks) (JPJSCCA20190008, to Kei Sato): JSPS Research Fellow 575 576 DC2 (22J11578, to Keiya Uriu); JSPS Leading Initiative for Excellent Young 577 Researchers (LEADER) (to Terumasa Ikeda); World-leading Innovative and 578 Smart Education (WISE) Program 1801 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, 579 Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) (to Naganori Nao); Research Support 580 Project for Life Science and Drug Discovery [Basis for Supporting Innovative 581 Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (BINDS)] from AMED under the 582 Grant JP22ama121001 (to Takao Hashiguchi) and JP22ama121037 (to Katsumi Maenaka); The Cooperative Research Program (Joint Usage/Research Center 583 584 program) of Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University (to Kei Sato and Katsumi Maenaka); International Joint Research Project of the Institute of 585 586 Medical Science, the University of Tokyo (to Terumasa Ikeda, Jiri Zahradnik, 587 and Takasuke Fukuhara); The Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation (to Kei 588 Sato): Takeda Science Foundation (to Terumasa Ikeda and Katsumi Maenaka): 589 Mochida Memorial Foundation for Medical and Pharmaceutical Research (to 590 Terumasa Ikeda); The Naito Foundation (to Terumasa Ikeda); Mitsubishi 591 Foundation (to Kei Sato); and the project of National Institute of Virology and 592 Bacteriology, Programme EXCELES, funded by the European Union, Next Generation EU (LX22NPO5103, to Jiri Zahradnik). 593

594

595 Consortia

Hirofumi Sawa¹¹, Tomoya Tsubo¹¹, Zannatul Ferdous⁷, Kenji Shishido⁷, Saori 596 Suzuki¹, Hayato Ito¹, Yu Kaku⁶, Naoko Misawa⁶, Kaoru Usui⁶, Wilaiporn 597 Saikruang⁶, Yusuke Kosugi⁶, Shigeru Fujita⁶, Jarel Elgin M. Tolentino⁶, Luo 598 Chen⁶, Lin Pan⁶, Mai Suganami⁶, Mika Chiba⁶, Ryo Yoshimura⁶, Kyoko Yasuda⁶, 599 Keiko lida⁶, Adam P. Strange⁶, Naomi Ohsumi⁶, Shiho Tanaka⁶, Kaho Okumura⁶, 600 Daniel Sauter^{6,36}, Isao Yoshida²⁰, So Nakagawa³⁶, Kotaro Shirakawa³⁷, Akifumi 601 Takaori-Kondo³⁷, Kayoko Nagata³⁷, Ryosuke Nomura³⁷, Yoshihito Horisawa³⁷, 602 Yusuke Tashiro³⁷, Yugo Kawai³⁷, Rina Hashimoto², Yukio Watanabe², Yoshitaka 603 Nakata², Hiroki Futatsusako², Ayaka Sakamoto², Naoko Yasuhara², Tateki 604 Suzuki¹⁶, Kanako Terakado Kimura¹⁶, Jiei Sasaki¹⁶, Yukari Nakajima¹⁶, Ryoko 605 Kawabata²⁹, Ryo Shimizu⁹, Yuka Mugita⁹, Sharee Leong⁹, Otowa Takahashi⁹, 606 Kimiko Ichihara⁹, Chihiro Motozono³⁸, Mako Toyoda³⁸, Takamasa Ueno³⁸, 607 Akatsuki Saito³⁹, Maya Shofa³⁹, Yuki Shibatani³⁹, Tomoko Nishiuchi³⁹, 608 609 Prokopios Andrikopoulos⁴, Aditi Konar⁴

610

611 ³⁶Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Japan

- 612 ³⁷Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- 613 ³⁸Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan
- 614 ³⁹Miyazaki University, Miyazaki, Japan

615 **Figure legends**

616 Figure 1. Mutations contributing to increased viral fitness of EG.5.1

617 (A) Frequency of mutations in the EG.5, EG.5.1, EG.5.1.1, and other
618 representative XBB subvariants. Only mutations with a frequency >0.5 in at least
619 one but not all subvariants of interest are shown.

620 (B) A phylogenetic tree of SARS-CoV-2 in the XBB lineage. Only genomic 621 sequences of SARS-CoV-2 isolates in XBB, XBB.1, EG.5, and EG.5.1 622 subvariants are marked with tip labels. The ultrafast bootstrap value of the 623 common ancestor of XBB.1.9, XBB.1.16, and XBB.1.22 and that of the MRCA of 624 EG.5 are 28 and 100, respectively. The heatmap on the right represents the 625 presence or absence of ORF9b:15T and S:F456L substitutions in each 626 SARS-CoV-2 isolate. A diamond symbol represents an inferred common 627 ancestor with an occurrence of ORF9b:I5T or S:F456L substitution. Only the 628 occurrence events of ORF9b:I5T and S:F456L substitutions at an internal node 629 having at least 10 descendant tips are shown. The scale bar denotes genetic 630 distance.

631 (**C**) Effect of substitutions in the 12 SARS-CoV-2 proteins on relative R_e . The 632 genome surveillance data for SARS-CoV-2 in XBB lineages circulated in the 633 USA from December 1, 2022 to September 15, 2023 was analyzed. The 634 posterior mean (dot) and 95% Bayesian confidential interval (CI; error bar) are 635 shown. A group of highly co-occurred substitutions was treated as a substitution 636 cluster. Substitutions specifically present in EG.5.1 or EG.5.1.1 compared with 637 XBB.1.5 are labeled.

(D) Relative R_e of SARS-CoV-2 haplotypes in the XBB lineage. The value for the
major haplotype of XBB.1.5 is set at 1. The posterior mean (dot) and 95%
Bayesian CI (error bar) are shown. The left heatmap represents the presence or
absence of the ORF9b:I5T and S:F456L substitutions in each haplotype.

642

643 Figure 2. Growth kinetics of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1

644 Clinical isolates of Delta, XBB.1.5, EG.5.1, and EG.5.1.1 were inoculated into
645 Vero cells (A), VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (B), 293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (C),
646 Calu-3 cells (D), airway organoids-derived ALI model (E), and human
647 iPSC-derived lung alveolar cells (F). The copy numbers of viral RNA in the
648 culture supernatant (A–F) were routinely quantified by RT-qPCR.

649

Figure 3. Effects of four antiviral drugs against EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 in human iPSC-derived lung organoids

Antiviral effects of the three drugs [Remdesivir, Ensitrelvir, and Nirmatrelvir (also known as PF-07321332)] in human iPSC-derived lung organoids. The assay of each antiviral drugs was performed in triplicate, and the 50% effective concentration (EC₅₀) was calculated.

656

657 Figure 4. Fusogenicity of EG.5.1

658 (A) Binding affinity of the receptor binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 spike

659 (S) protein to angiotensin- converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) by yeast surface display.

660 The dissociation constant (K_D) value indicating the binding affinity of the RBD of

the SARS-CoV-2 S protein to soluble ACE2 when expressed on yeast is shown.

662 The horizontal dashed line indicates value of XBB.1.5.

663 (B) Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the surface S expression level in 664 HEK293 cells. (C) SARS-CoV-2 S protein-mediated membrane fusion assay in 665 Calu-3/DSP₁₋₇ cells. (D, E) Clinical isolates of Delta, XBB.1.5, EG.5.1, and 666 EG.5.1.1 were inoculated into an airway-on-a-chip system. The copy numbers of 667 viral RNA in the top and bottom channels of an airway-on-a-chip were routinely 668 quantified by RT-qPCR (D). The percentage of viral RNA load in the bottom 669 channel per top channel at 6 d.p.i. (i.e., % invaded virus from the top channel to 670 the bottom channel) is shown (E). Assays were performed in triplicate (B, D, E) 671 or quadruplicate (C). The presented data are expressed as the average \pm 672 standard deviation (SD) (B-E). For panel C, statistical differences between 673 XBB.1.5 S and each S variant across timepoints were determined by multiple 674 regression and P values are indicated in each graph. The 0 h data were 675 excluded from the analyses. The FWERs (Family-wise error rates) calculated using the Holm method are indicated in the figures. 676

677

Figure 5. Overall cryo-EM maps and structures of SARS-CoV-2 EG.5.1 S protein

(A) Cryo-EM maps of EG.5.1 S protein trimer closed-1 state (Left), closed-2
state (Middle) and 1-up state (Right). Each protomer is colored red, blue, gray.

682 (**B**) Superimposed structures of EG.5.1 (red) and XBB.1.5 (cyan) S protomers in 683 closed-2 state. The models were superposed on the C α atoms of the 684 corresponding residues in the S2 region (RMSD = 0.244).

(C) Close-up views of the amino-acid residues 456 and 373 in closed-2
structures. (Left) F456 at the protomer interface in the XBB.1.5 S RBD region
makes hydrophobic contact with P373 in adjacent protomer at a distance of 3.8
Å. (Right) F456L substitution causes loss of hydrophobic contact with P373, up
to 10.1 Å away.

(D). Close-up view of the interface between up RBD and adjacent down
protomer. The model of EG.5.1 closed-2 RBD was rigid-fitted to the
corresponding region of the cryo-EM map of EG.5.1 S protein 1-up state.

693

694 Figure 6. Virological characteristics of EG.5.1 and EG.5.1.1 in vivo

695 Syrian hamsters were intranasally inoculated with EG.5.1, EG.5.1.1, XBB.1.5,

and Delta. Six hamsters of the same age were intranasally inoculated with saline

697 (uninfected). Six hamsters per group were used to routinely measure the
698 respective parameters (A). Four hamsters per group were euthanized at 2 and 5

699 d.p.i. and used for virological and pathological analysis (**C–E**).

700 (A) Body weight, Penh, and Rpef values of infected hamsters (n = 6 per infection 701 group).

702 (B) (Left) Viral RNA loads in the oral swab (n = 6 per infection group). (Middle 703 and right) Viral RNA loads in the lung hilum (middle) and lung periphery (right) 704 of infected hamsters (n = 4 per infection group).

- (C) IHC of the viral N protein in the lungs at 2 d.p.i. (left) and 5 d.p.i. (right) of
 infected hamsters. Representative figures (N-positive cells are shown in brown).
- (D) H&E staining of the lungs of infected hamsters. Representative figures are
 shown in (D). Uninfected lung alveolar space is also shown. The raw data are
- shown in **Supplementary Figure 1**.

710 (E) Histopathological scoring of lung lesions (n = 4 per infection group). 711 Representative pathological features are reported in our previous 712 studies^{15-17,32-35}. In **A–C**, **E**, data are presented as the average ± SEM. In **C**, 713 each dot indicates the result of an individual hamster.

- In A,B,E, statistically significant differences between EG.5.1, EG5.1.1 and other
 variants across timepoints were determined by multiple regression. In B,E, the 0
 d.p.i. data were excluded from the analyses. The FWERs calculated using the
- 717 Holm method are indicated in the figures.
- In C, the statistically significant differences between EG.5.1, EG.5.1.1 and other
 variants were determined by a two-sided Mann–Whitney *U* test.
- In C and D, each panel shows a representative result from an individual infected
 hamster. Scale bars, 200 µm (C, D).

722

Figure 7. Impact of the I5T substitution of ORF9b on innate immune response and viral growth

725 (A–C) Anti-IFN-I effect of ORF9b:I5T.

(A) Frequency of mutations in ORF9b of BA.1, BA.2, XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, and
EG.5.1. Only mutations with a frequency >0.5 in at least a subvariant are shown.
(B) Comparison of the anti-IFN-I effect and expression levels between ORF9b
and ORF6 in HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were cotransfected with plasmids
expressing 2×Strep-tagged ORF9b or ORF6 and p125Luc. 24 h after
transfection, cells were infected with SeV (MOI 100). 24 h after infection, cells
were harvested for western blotting (top) and a luciferase assay (bottom).

(C) Comparison of the anti-IFN-I effect and expression levels of ORF9b among
 SARS-CoV-2 variants in HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were cotransfected with

- plasmids expressing 2×Strep-tagged ORF9b variants and p125Luc. 24 h after
 transfection, cells were infected with SeV (MOI 100). 24 h after infection, cells
- 737 were harvested for western blotting (top) and a luciferase assay (bottom). For

738 Western blotting (**B**, top and **C**, top), the input of cell lysate was normalized to 739 TUBA, and one representative result out of three independent experiments is 740 shown. kDa, kilodalton. For the luciferase assay (**B**, bottom and **C**, bottom), the 741 value was normalized to the unstimulated, empty vector-transfected cells (no 742 SeV infection). 743 (D-H) Three recombinant SARS-CoV-2, rEG.5.1 WT, rEG.5.1 ORF9b:T5I 744 (ORF9b:T5I), and rEG.5.1 ORF9b KO (ORF9b KO) were inoculated into Vero 745 cells (D), VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (E), 293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (F), Calu-3 746 cells (G), and airway organoids-derived ALI model (H). The copy numbers of 747 viral RNA in the culture supernatant (D-H) were routinely quantified by

748 RT-qPCR. The dashed red line indicates the results of WT.

749 In **B**, the statistically significant differences between the stimulated, empty 750 vector-transfected cells (SeV infection) and the stimulated, ORF9b or ORF6 751 expression vector-transfected cells were determined by a two-sided Student's t752 test.

In C, the statistically significant differences between the stimulated, ORF9b
expression vector-transfected cells and the stimulated, ORF6 expression
vector-transfected cells at the same dose respectively were determined by a
two-sided Student's *t* test.

757

758 Supplementary files

Supplementary Table 1. Effect of amino acid substitution in the 12
SARS-CoV-2 proteins on R_e and relating modeling parameters of SARS-CoV-2
in the XBB lineage circulated in the USA from December 1, 2022 to September
15, 2023.

763

Supplementary Table 2. Estimated relative R_e and modeling parameters of
 haplotypes of SARS-CoV-2 in the XBB lineage circulated in the USA from
 December 1, 2022 to September 15, 2023.

767

768 Supplementary Table 3. Cryo-EM data collection, refinement and validation769 statistics

770

771 Supplementary Table 4. Primers used in this study for preparation of772 SARS-CoV-2 ORF9b expression plasmid

773

Supplementary Table 5. Summary of unexpected amino acid mutations
 detected in the working virus stocks

776

777 Supplementary Figure 1. Workflow of cryo-EM data processing for EG.5.1

778 S and structural comparison for EG.5.1 and XBB.1.5 S, related to Figure 5

779 (A) (Left) Representative micrograph (scale bars, 50 nm) and 2D class images. 780 (Right) Cryo-EM data processing flowchart for EG.5.1 S. (B) Global resolution 781 assessment of cryo-EM maps by gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC) 782 curves at the 0.143 criteria. The calculated values of local resolution was colored 783 at grid point of cryo-EM maps. (C) Superimposed RBD structures of EG.5.1 S 784 closed-1 and closed-2. An arrow indicates 370-375 residues of RBD that show 785 different loop structure in these two closed states. (D) Superimposed amino-acid 786 residues that are substituted in closed-1 state of spike protein in EG.5.1 (red) as 787 compared to XBB.1.5 (cyan). (E)I The models fit to corresponding cryo-EM 788 maps at Q52H and F456L substitution. Arrows indicate the substituted 789 amino-acid residues, Q52H and F456L, between EG.5.1 and XBB.1.5.

790

Supplementary Figure 2. Distribution of SARS-CoV-2 N-positive cells in the lungs of infected hamsters, related to Figure 6

N-positive area in the lungs of infected hamsters at 2 d.p.i (A) and 5 d.p.i (B) (4 hamsters per infection group). N-protein immunohistochemistry(top) and the digitalized N-positive area (bottom, indicated in red) are shown. The red numbers in the bottom panels indicate the percentage of N-positive area. Summarized data are shown in a bar graph (right). Representative images are shown in **Figure 6C**.

799

800 Supplementary Figure 3. Histological observations in infected hamsters, 801 related to Figure 6

- Type II pneumocytes in the lungs of infected hamsters at 2 d.p.i. (**A**) and 5 d.p.i (**B**) (4 hamsters per infection group). H&E staining (top) and the digitalized inflammatory area with type II pneumocytes (bottom, indicated in red) are shown. The red numbers in the bottom panels indicate the percentage of inflammatory area with type II pneumocytes. Summarized data are shown in a bar graph (**right**). Representative images are shown in **Figure 6D**.
- 808

809 Figure S4. Protein-engineered mACE2 protein, related to Figure 4

- 810 (A) mACE2 protein isolated after one purification step on-column cleavage by
 811 bdSUMO-protease. Molecular size marker is Flash Protein Ladder, FPL-008,
- 812 Gel Company, USA.
- 813 (B) Comparison between mACE2 and Expi293F cells produced ACE2 peptidase
- 814 domain shows tighter interactions with the mACE2 despite the intact binding site.
- 815 Notably, the effect of mutations in different RBDs is similar between ACE2-WT
- 816 and mACE2.

817 Methods

818

819 Ethics statement

820 All experiments with hamsters were performed in accordance with the Science 821 Council of Japan's Guidelines for the Proper Conduct of Animal Experiments. 822 The protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use 823 Committee of National University Corporation Hokkaido University (approval ID: 824 20-0123 and 20-0060). All protocols involving specimens from human subjects 825 recruited at Kyoto University. All human subjects provided written informed 826 consent. All protocols for the use of human specimens were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyoto University (approval ID: 827 828 R2379-3).

829

830 Cell culture

HEK293T cells (a human embryonic kidney cell line: ATCC, CRL-3216), 831 HEK293 cells (a human embryonic kidney cell line; ATCC, CRL-1573) and 832 HOS-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (HOS cells stably expressing human ACE2 and 833 TMPRSS2)^{36,37} were maintained in DMEM (high glucose) (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# 834 835 6429-500ML) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich Cat# 836 172012-500ML) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (PS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# P4333-100ML). HEK293-ACE2 cells (HEK293 cells stably expressing human 837 ACE2)¹⁴ were maintained in DMEM (high glucose) containing 10% FBS, 1 µg/ml 838 puromycin (InvivoGen, Cat# ant-pr-1) and 1% PS. HEK293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 839 cells (HEK293 cells stably expressing human ACE2 and TMPRSS2)¹⁴ were 840 maintained in DMEM (high glucose) containing 10% FBS, 1 µg/ml puromycin, 841 842 200 µg/ml hygromycin (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 09287-84) and 1% PS. Vero cells 843 [an African green monkey (Chlorocebus sabaeus) kidney cell line; JCRB Cell 844 Bank, JCRB0111] were maintained in Eagle's minimum essential medium (EMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# M4655-500ML) containing 10% FBS and 1% PS. 845 846 VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (VeroE6 cells stably expressing human TMPRSS2; JCRB Cell Bank, JCRB1819)³⁸ were maintained in DMEM (low glucose) (Wako, 847 Cat# 041-29775) containing 10% FBS, G418 (1 mg/ml; Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 848 849 G8168-10ML) and 1% PS. Calu-3 cells (ATCC, HTB-55) were maintained in 850 minimum essential medium (EMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Eagle's Cat# M4655-500ML) containing 10% FBS and 1% PS. Calu-3/DSP₁₋₇ cells (Calu-3 851 cells stably expressing DSP1-7)39 were maintained in EMEM (Wako, Cat# 852 853 056-08385) containing 20% FBS and 1% PS. Human alveolar epithelial cells 854 derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) were manufactured 855 according to established protocols as described below (see "Preparation of 856 human alveolar epithelial cells from human iPSCs" section) and provided by 857 HiLung Inc. AO-ALI model was generated according to established protocols as

858 described below (see "AO-ALI model" section). Human iPSC-derived lung 859 organoids were generated according to established protocols as described 860 below (see "iPSC-derived lung organoids" section). Expi293F cells (Thermo 861 Fisher Scientific, Cat# A14527) were maintained in Expi293 expression medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A1435101).

- 862
- 863

864 Viral genome sequencing

Viral genome sequencing was performed as previously described¹⁸. Briefly, the 865 866 virus sequences were verified by viral RNA-sequencing analysis. Viral RNA was 867 extracted using a QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Cat# 52906). The 868 sequencing library employed for total RNA sequencing was prepared using the 869 NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Cat# 870 E7530). Paired-end 76-bp sequencing was performed using a MiSeq system 871 (Illumina) with MiSeq reagent kit v3 (Illumina, Cat# MS-102-3001). Sequencing reads were trimmed using fastp v0.21.0⁴⁰ and subsequently mapped to the viral 872 873 genome sequences of a lineage B isolate (strain Wuhan-Hu-1; GenBank accession number: NC 045512.2)³⁸ using BWA-MEM v0.7.17⁴¹. Variant calling, 874 filtering, and annotation were performed using SAMtools v1.942 and snpEff 875 876 v5.0e⁴³.

877

878 Mutation frequency calculation and phylogenetic tree reconstruction

879 Genomic sequences and surveillance data of 15,886,795 SARS-CoV-2 isolates 880 were database on August 21, obtained from the GISAID 2023 (https://www.gisaid.org)⁴⁴. The PANGO lineage of each isolate was reassigned 881 using NextClade v2.14.0⁴⁵. We excluded the data of SARS-CoV-2 isolate that i) 882 883 was collected after August 15, 2023; ii) was isolated from non-human hosts; iii) 884 was sampled from the original passage; and iv) whose genomic sequence is not 885 longer than 28,000 base pairs and contains $\geq 2\%$ of unknown (N) nucleotides.

886 We randomly selected at most 500 genomic sequences of 887 SARS-CoV-2 in BA.1, BA.2, XBB, XBB.1, XBB.1.5, XBB.1.9, XBB.1.9.2, EG.5, 888 EG.5.1, and EG.5.1.1 for calculating a mutation frequency (EPI SET ID: 889 EPI SET 231018pe). A mutation frequency of each subvariant Is calculated by 890 dividing the number of sequences harboring the substitution of interest with the 891 total number of sequences in that subvariant.

892 Next, we randomly selected at most 20 genomic sequences of 893 SARS-CoV-2 in each XBB subvariant, resulting in 4,906 genomic sequences of 894 SARS-CoV-2 from 248 XBB subvariants (EPI SET ID: EPI SET 231003ue) to 895 reconstruct a phylogenetic tree of SARS-CoV-2 in the XBB lineage. The 896 sampled genomic sequences were aligned to the genomic sequence of 897 Wuhan-Hu-1 SARS-CoV-2 isolate (NC_045512.2) using reference-guide multiple pairwise alignment strategy implemented in ViralMSA v1.1.24⁴⁶. Gaps in 898

899 the alignment were removed automatically using TrimAl v1.4.rev22 with 900 -gappyout mode⁴⁷, and the flanking edges of the alignment at positions 1–341 901 and 29,557-29,624 were trimmed manually. A maximum likelihood-based 902 phylogenetic tree of representative XBB sublineages was then reconstructed from the alignment using IQ-TREE v2.2.0⁴⁸. The best-fit nucleotide substitution 903 model was selected automatically using ModelFinder⁴⁹. Branch support was 904 assessed using ultrafast bootstrap approximation⁵⁰ with 1,000 bootstrap 905 replicates. We omitted a genomic sequence of Wuhan-Hu-1 from the 906 907 reconstructed tree and manually rooted the tree using the MRCA node including 908 SARS-CoV-2 isolates in the original XBB subvariant.

909

910 Reconstruction of the ancestral state of mutation

911 The state of having or lacking ORF9b:15T and S:F456L substitutions was 912 assigned to terminal nodes of the reconstructed tree based on the mutation 913 calling data from the GISAID database. We then reconstructed the state of 914 having ORF9b:15T and S:F456L substitutions in the ancestral nodes from the mutation calling data obtained from the GISAID database. The reconstruction 915 was performed using ace function of the ape R package v.5.7-1⁵¹ with equal-rate 916 917 model. The ancestral node with a scaled likelihood of having the mutation at 918 least 0.5 is considered having the mutation, whereas the ancestral node with the 919 scaled likelihood less than 0.5 is considered lacking the mutation. The 920 occurrence event of ORF9b:15T and S:F456L substitutions was determined from 921 the state change from lacking mutation in the ancestral node to having mutation 922 in the adjacent descendant node. The reconstructed tree was visualized using the gqtree R package v3.8.2⁵². All the phylogenetic analyses were aided by R 923 924 v4.3.1⁵³.

925

926 Modeling the relationship between amino acid substitutions and epidemic927 dynamics

928 We modeled the relationship between amino acid substitutions (not including 929 deletions and insertions) and epidemic dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in the XBB 930 lineage collected in the USA from December 1, 2022 to August 15, 2023 (EPI SET ID: EPI_SET_231003vx). We used the Bayesian hierarchical multinomial 931 932 logistic model described in detail in our previous study⁵. Briefly, the 933 SARS-CoV-2 isolates were categorized into haplotypes based on their 934 substitution profile. Substitutions observed in >200 isolates but <90% of the total 935 isolates were selected to create the substitution profile matrix. The haplotype 936 with <30 isolates were excluded. We also identified a cluster of co-occurring 937 substitutions by connecting a substitution pair having Pearson's correlation >0.9, 938 resulting in profiles of 283 substitution clusters in 470 SARS-CoV-2 haplotypes. 939 The representative subvariant of each haplotype was identified using the

majority rule. We used an XBB.1.5 haplotype, the most abundant haplotype in
the dataset, as a reference for the modeling. Finally, we counted the number of
each haplotype collected in each day and created a count matrix.

943 Next, we applied our Bayesian hierarchical multinomial logistic model 944 to reconstruct the relationship between amino acid substitutions and epidemic 945 dynamics using the prepared substitution profile and count matrices. The model is $y_t \sim \text{Multinomial}(\sum_h y_{ht}, \text{softmax}(\alpha + \beta t))$ where y_{ht} is the count of 946 947 haplotype h at time t, α_h and β_h are intercept and slope (or growth rate) 948 parameters for haplotype h, respectively. The slope parameter β_h is derived from the Student's t distribution Student's $t(\sum_m f_m X_{hm}, \sigma)$ with five degrees of 949 950 freedom where f_m is the effect of substitution cluster m, X_{hm} is the 951 substitution cluster profile of haplotype h, and σ is a standard deviation. We 952 used the Laplace distribution and half Student's t distribution with five degrees of 953 freedom as priors for f_m and σ , respectively. The mean and standard deviation 954 for both distributions were set to 0 and 10, respectively. Non-informative prior 955 was set for other parameters.

956 The relative R_e of each haplotype compared to the reference haplotype 957 or r_h is estimated from the equation $r_h = \exp(\gamma \beta_h)$ where β_h is the slope parameter and γ is the average viral generation time (2.1 days) 958 959 (http://sonorouschocolate.com/covid19/index.php?title=Estimating_Generation_ 960 Time_Of_Omicron). Similarly, the effect of each substitution on the relative R_e or 961 F_h is calculated according to the coefficient f_h using the equation $F_h =$ 962 $\exp(\gamma f_h)$. Parameter estimation was performed by using the Markov chain 963 Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach implemented in CmdStan v2.31.0 964 (https://mc-stan.org) accessed through the CmdStanr v0.5.3 R interface 965 (https://mc-stan.org/cmdstanr/). Four independent 20,000-step MCMC chains 966 were run including 20% of warmup iterations. We confirmed that all runs have an estimated convergence diagnostic value \hat{R} is <1.01 and bulk and tail effective 967 968 sampling sizes are >200, indicating the successful convergence of each run.

969

970 Plasmid construction

Plasmids expressing the codon-optimized SARS-CoV-2 S proteins of B.1.1 (the
parental D614G-bearing variant), Delta, BA.2, XBB.1, XBB.1.5, EG.5 and the
two EG.5.1 derivatives were prepared in our previous studies^{1,2,4,14,16,17}.

Original human ACE2 protein (residues 19–615; GenBank Accession Number NP_001358344.1) was modified to allow its efficient expression in bacteria (Escherichia coli strain BL21), but residues participating in the interaction with the SARS-CoV-2 RBD (5 Å distance) remained unaltered to keep the interaction surface identical (details about the sequence of this modified ACE2 protein are subjected to a separate publication and are available upon request; hereinafter modified ACE2 protein will be referred as mACE2). 981 The mACE2 was inserted in pET28-14his-bdSUMO vector⁵⁴ by restriction-free 982 cloning and verified by sequencing.

983 Mammalian cell codon-optimized SARS-CoV-2 S RBDs of XBB.1 and 984 XBB.1.5 were amplified from the expression plasmids for the codon-optimized SARS-CoV-2 S proteins of XBB.1¹ and XBB.1.5². The S RBDs of XBB.1.16 and 985 986 EG.5.1 were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis with primers: 987 XBB-K478R R, 5'-CAG TTG GGG CCG GCC ACT CCA TTA CAT GGC CTG 988 TTG CCA GCC TGG TAA ATC TCT G-3' and XBB-F456L R, 5'-GTC CCT CTC 989 AAA TGG TTT CAG CTT GCT CTT CCT CAA CAG TCT GTA GAG GTA GTT 990 GTA GTT GC-3'. All PCR reactions were performed by KAPA HiFi HotStart 991 ReadyMix kit (Roche, Cat# KK2601) and subsequently assembled by yeast 992 [Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain EBY100 (ATCC, Cat# MYA-4941)] 993 homologous recombination with pJYDC1 plasmid (Addgene, Cat# 162458) as previously described^{1,5,18,19,55}. 994

995 Plasmids expressing the codon-optimized SARS-CoV-2 ORF9b and 996 ORF6 proteins were prepared in previous study (PMID: 32353859) (kindly 997 provided by Dr. Nevan J. Krogan). SARS-CoV-2 ORF9b-based derivatives were 998 generated by site-directed overlap extension PCR using the primers listed in 999 Supplementary Table S4. The resulting PCR fragment was digested with 1000 EcoRI (New England Biolabs, Cat# R3101S) and BamHI (New England Biolabs, 1001 Cat# R3136S) and inserted into the corresponding site of the 1002 pLVX-EF1alpha-IRES-Puro vector (PMID: 32353859). Nucleotide sequences 1003 were determined by DNA sequencing services (Eurofins), and the sequence 1004 data were analyzed by Sequencher v5.1 software (Gene Codes Corporation). To 1005 generate recombinant SARS-CoV-2, the nine pmW118 plasmid vectors were 1006 subjected to amplification of the cDNA fragments (F1-F9-10) of SARS-CoV-2 1007 EG.5.1. Nucleotide sequences were confirmed by a SeqStudio Genetic Analyzer 1008 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a DNA sequencing service (Fasmac).

1009

1010 SARS-CoV-2 reverse genetics

1011 Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 was generated by circular polymerase extension reaction (CPER) as previously described with modification^{14,17,31,56}. In brief, 9 1012 1013 DNA fragments encoding the partial genome of SARS-CoV-2 were prepared by 1014 PCR using Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Cat# 1015 M0491S). A linker fragment encoding hepatitis delta virus ribozyme, bovine 1016 growth hormone poly A signal and cytomegalovirus promoter was also prepared by PCR with the primer-set described previously⁵⁶. The 10 obtained DNA 1017 1018 fragments were mixed and used for CPER.

1019 To generate rEG.5.1-ORF9b KO and rEG.5.1-ORF9b:T5I (**Figure 7**), 1020 mutations were inserted in fragment 9 by inverse PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis with the primers listed in **Supplementary Table 4**. Nucleotide
sequences were confirmed by the Sanger method as described above.

To produce recombinant SARS-CoV-2, the CPER products (25 μl)
 were transfected into VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells using TransIT-X2 Dynamic
 Delivery System (Takara, Cat# MIR6003) according to our previous report²². The
 working virus stock was prepared from the seed virus as described below (see
 "SARS-CoV-2 preparation and titration" section below).

1028

1029 SARS-CoV-2 preparation and titration

1030 The working virus stocks of SARS-CoV-2 were prepared and titrated as previously described^{14,17,18,57}. In this study, clinical isolates of Delta (B.1.617.2, 1031 ID: EPI ISL 2378732)¹⁶, XBB.1.5 1032 strain TKYTK1734; GISAID (strain ID: EPI_ISL_16697941)²², EG.5.1 1033 TKYmbc30523/2022; GISAID (strain 1034 KU2023071028; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 18072016), and EG.5.1.1 (strain KU2023071635; GISAID ID: EPI ISL 18072017) were used. Also, the artificially 1035 denerated recombinant viruses by the CPER technique⁵⁶, rEG.5.1 WT, rEG.5.1 1036 ORF9b KO, rEG.5.1 ORF9b:T5l, were used. In brief, 20 µl of the seed virus was 1037 1038 inoculated into VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (5,000,000 cells in a T-75 flask). One h.p.i., the culture medium was replaced with DMEM (low glucose) (Wako, Cat# 1039 1040 041-29775) containing 2% FBS and 1% PS. At 3 d.p.i., the culture medium was 1041 harvested and centrifuged, and the supernatants were collected as the working 1042 virus stock.

1043 The titer of the prepared working virus was measured as the 50% 1044 tissue culture infectious dose (TCID₅₀). Briefly, one day before infection, 1045 VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (10,000 cells) were seeded into a 96-well plate. Serially 1046 diluted virus stocks were inoculated into the cells and incubated at 37°C for 4 d. 1047 The cells were observed under a microscope to judge the CPE appearance. The 1048 value of TCID₅₀/ml was calculated with the Reed–Muench method⁵⁸.

For verification of the sequences of SARS-CoV-2 working viruses, viral 1049 1050 RNA was extracted from the working viruses using a QIAamp viral RNA mini kit 1051 (Qiagen, Cat# 52906) and viral genome sequences were analyzed as described above (see "Viral genome sequencing" section). Information on the unexpected 1052 1053 substitutions detected is summarized in Supplementary Table S5 and the raw 1054 data are deposited the GitHub repository in 1055 (https://github.com/TheSatoLab/EG.5.1).

1056

1057 Yeast surface display

Yeast surface display analysis of the interaction between selected RBD variants
 and mACE2 (Figure 4A) was performed as previously described^{7,8,10,16,19,34,36,40}

1060 with some modification.

1061 The expression of mACE2 was initiated in 800 mL of 2YT autoinducible 1062 media with trace elements (ForMedium, Cat# AIM2YT0210) until optical density achieved 0.6 cultivated at 37°C. Subsequently, expression was further induced 1063 1064 by the addition of IPTG to 0.25 mM and continued overnight, 20°C, 220 rpm. The 1065 bacteria culture was centrifuged (5 m, 4°C, 8,000 \times g) and the pellet was 1066 resuspended in 15 ml of a loading buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCi (pH 8.0) and 1067 200 mM NaCl. Cells were sonicated to extract the fused protein, centrifuged (10 1068 m, 4°C, 3,200 \times q) and attached on the Ni-NTA column (2 ml). The column was 1069 washed by 10 CVs of the loading buffer supplemented with 10 mM imidazole 1070 and 10 CV of PBS. 50 µg of bdSUMO protease and 1 CV of PBS were loaded 1071 into the column for the proteolysis reaction (overnight at 4°C). Finally, the 1072 column was washed with 4 CV of PBS to obtain the cleaved mACE2, given that 1073 bdSUMO protease remained attached to the Ni-column thanks to the 14 x 1074 His-tag it contained. This was proved after the elution with 4 CV of loading buffer 1075 supplemented with 300 mM imidazole and the subsequent analysis of all the 1076 loading, washing, and elution fractions by SDS-PAGE. Pure protein 1077 (Supplementary Figure 4A) was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at 1078 -80°C.

1079 Yeast expression of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD was carried out for 48 h at 1080 20°C, and then cells were washed with PBS supplemented with bovine serum 1081 albumin at 1 g/l and incubated with 12 concentrations of mACE2 (4 pM to 10 nM, 1082 dilution series with factor 2) and 20 nM bilirubin (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# 14370-1G). 1083 Performance comparison with Expi293F cells produced ACE2 peptidase domain 1084 (residues 18-617) was performed and is shown for XBB, XBB.1.5. and 1085 XBB.1.16. in Supplementary Figure 4B. RBD expression and ACE2 signal 1086 were recorded by using an automated acquisition from 96 well plates by CytoFLEX Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter), background binding signals were 1087 1088 subtracted, fluorescence spill of eUnaG2 signals to red channel was 1089 compensated and data were fitted to a standard noncooperative Hill equation by 1090 nonlinear least-squares regression using Python v3.7 (https://www.python.org) as previously described^{7,8,10,16,19,34,36,40}. 1091

1092

1093 SARS-CoV-2 S-based fusion assay

A SARS-CoV-2 S-based fusion assay (Figures 4B and 4C) was performed as 1094 previously described^{1,5,14-21}. Briefly, on day 1, effector cells (i.e., S-expressing 1095 cells) and target cells (Calu-3/DSP₁₋₇ cells) were prepared at a density of 0.6–0.8 1096 \times 10⁶ cells in a 6-well plate. On day 2, for the preparation of effector cells, 1097 HEK293 cells were cotransfected with the S expression plasmids (400 ng) and 1098 1099 pDSP₈₋₁₁ (ref.⁵⁹) (400 ng) using TransIT-LT1 (Takara, Cat# MIR2300). On day 3 (24 h posttransfection), 16,000 effector cells were detached and reseeded into a 1100 1101 96-well black plate (PerkinElmer, Cat# 6005225), and target cells were reseeded

1102 at a density of 1,000,000 cells/2 ml/well in 6-well plates. On day 4 (48 h 1103 posttransfection), target cells were incubated with EnduRen live cell substrate 1104 (Promega, Cat# E6481) for 3 h and then detached, and 32,000 target cells were 1105 added to a 96-well plate with effector cells. Renilla luciferase activity was 1106 measured at the indicated time points using Centro XS3 LB960 (Berthhold 1107 Technologies). For measurement of the surface expression level of the S protein, 1108 effector cells were stained with rabbit anti-SARS-CoV-2 S S1/S2 polyclonal 1109 antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# PA5-112048, 1:100). Normal rabbit IgG 1110 (Southern Biotech, Cat# 0111-01, 1:100) was used as a negative control, and 1111 APC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit lgG polyclonal antibody (Jackson 1112 ImmunoResearch, Cat# 111-136-144, 1:50) was used as a secondary antibody. 1113 The surface expression level of S proteins (Figure 4B) was measured using 1114 CytoFLEX Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and the data were analyzed using 1115 FlowJo software v10.7.1 (BD Biosciences). For calculation of fusion activity, 1116 Renilla luciferase activity was normalized to the mean fluorescence intensity 1117 (MFI) of surface S proteins. The normalized value (i.e., Renilla luciferase activity per the surface S MFI) is shown as fusion activity. 1118

1119

1120 AO-ALI model

An airway organoid (AO) model was generated according to our previous 1121 report^{19,60}. Briefly, normal human bronchial epithelial cells (NHBEs, Cat# 1122 CC-2540, Lonza) were used to generate AOs. NHBEs were suspended in 10 1123 1124 mg/ml cold Matrigel growth factor reduced basement membrane matrix (Corning, 1125 Cat# 354230). Fifty microliters of cell suspension were solidified on prewarmed 1126 cell culture-treated multiple dishes (24-well plates; Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1127 Cat# 142475) at 37°C for 10 m, and then, 500 µl of expansion medium was 1128 added to each well. AOs were cultured with AO expansion medium for 10 d. For 1129 maturation of the AOs, expanded AOs were cultured with AO differentiation 1130 medium for 5 d.

1131 The AO-derived ALI (AO-ALI) model (**Figure 2E**) was generated 1132 according to our previous report^{19,60}. For generation of AO-ALI, expanding AOs 1133 were dissociated into single cells, and then were seeded into Transwell inserts 1134 (Corning, Cat# 3413) in a 24-well plate. AO-ALI was cultured with AO 1135 differentiation medium for 5 d to promote their maturation. AO-ALI was infected 1136 with SARS-CoV-2 from the apical side.

1137

1138 **Preparation of human iPSC-derived alveolar epithelial cells**

The ALI culture of alveolar epithelial cells (Figure 2F) was differentiated from human iPSC-derived lung progenitor cells as previously described^{18,19,61-64}.
Briefly, alveolar progenitor cells were induced stepwise from human iPSCs according to a 21-day and 4-step protocol⁶¹. At day 21, alveolar progenitor cells

1143 were isolated with the specific surface antigen carboxypeptidase M and seeded 1144 onto the upper chamber of a 24-well Cell Culture Insert (Falcon, #353104), 1145 followed by 7-day differentiation of alveolar epithelial cells. Alveolar 1146 differentiation medium with dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# D4902), KGF 1147 (PeproTech, Cat# 100-19), 8-Br-cAMP (Biolog, Cat# B007), 3-isobutyl 1148 1-methylxanthine (IBMX) (Fujifilm Wako, Cat# 095-03413), CHIR99021 (Axon 1149 Medchem, Cat# 1386), and SB431542 (Fujifilm Wako, Cat# 198-16543) was 1150 used for the induction of alveolar epithelial cells.

1151

1152 Preparation of human iPSC-derived lung organoids

1153 Human iPSC-derived lung organoids were used for evaluation of antiviral drugs. The iPSC line (1383D6) (provided by Dr. Masato Nakagawa, Kyoto University) 1154 1155 was maintained on 0.5 µg/cm2 recombinant human laminin 511 E8 fragments 1156 (iMatrix-511 silk, Nippi, Cat# 892021) with StemFit AK02N medium (Ajinomoto, 1157 Cat# RCAK02N) containing 10 µM Y-27632 (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, 1158 Cat# 034-24024). For passaging, iPSC colonies were treated with TrypLE Select Enzyme (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 12563029) for 10 m at 37°C. After 1159 1160 centrifugation, the cells were seeded onto Matrigel Growth Factor Reduced Basement Membrane (Corning, Cat# 354230)-coated cell culture plates (2.0 × 1161 10^5 cells/4 cm²) and cultured for 2 d. Lung organoids differentiation was 1162 performed in serum-free differentiation (SFD) medium of DMEM/F12 (3:1) 1163 1164 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 11320033) supplemented with N2 (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Cat# 141-08941), B-27 Supplement Minus Vitamin A 1165 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 12587001), ascorbic acid (50 µg/ml, STEMCELL 1166 1167 Technologies, Cat# ST-72132), 1 x GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 1168 35050-079), 1% monothioglycerol (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Cat# 195-15791), 0.05% bovine serum albumin, and 1 x PS. For definitive endoderm 1169 1170 induction, the cells were cultured for 3 d (days 0-3) using SFD medium 1171 supplemented with 10 µM Y-27632 and 100 ng/mL recombinant Activin A (R&D 1172 Systems, Cat# 338-AC-010). For anterior foregut endoderm induction (days 1173 3-5), the cells were cultured in SFD medium supplemented with 1.5 µM 1174 dorsomorphin dihydrochloride (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Cat# 1175 047-33763) and 10 µM SB431542 (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Cat# 1176 037-24293) for 24 h and then in SFD medium supplemented with 10 µM 1177 SB431542 and 1 µM IWP2 (REPROCELL) for another 24 h. For the induction of 1178 lung progenitors (days 5-12), the resulting anterior foregut endoderm was 1179 cultured with SFD medium supplemented with 3 µM CHIR99021 (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Cat# 032-23104), 10 ng/ml human FGF10 (PeproTech, 1180 1181 Cat# 100-26), 10 ng/ml human FGF7 (PeproTech, Cat# 100-19), 10 ng/ml 1182 human BMP4 (PeproTech, Cat# 120-05ET), 20 ng/ml human EGF (PeproTech, 1183 Cat# AF-100-15), and all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# R2625)

1184 for 7 d. At 12 d of differentiation, the cells were dissociated and embedded in 1185 Matrigel Growth Factor Reduced Basement Membrane to generate organoids. 1186 For lung organoid maturation (days 12-30), the cells were cultured in SFD 1187 medium containing 3 µM CHIR99021, 10 ng/ml human FGF10, 10 ng/mL human 1188 FGF7, 10 ng/ml human BMP4, and 50 nM ATRA for 8 days. At day 20 of 1189 differentiation, the lung organoids were recovered from the Matrigel, and the 1190 resulting suspension of lung organoids (small free-floating clumps) was seeded 1191 onto Matrigel-coated 96-well cell culture plates. The organoids were cultured in 1192 SFD medium containing 50 nM dexamethasone (Selleck, Cat# S1322), 0.1 mM 1193 8-bromo-cAMP (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# B7880), and 0.1 mΜ **IBMX** 1194 (3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine) (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Cat# 099-03411) for an additional 10 days before the antiviral drug experiments. 1195

1196

Antiviral drug assay using SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolates and iPSC-derived lung organoids

Antiviral drug assay (Figure 3) was performed as previously described²⁹. The 1199 1200 human iPSC-derived lung organoids were infected with either Delta, XBB.1.5, 1201 EG.5.1, or EG.5.1.1 isolate (100 TCID₅₀) at 37 °C for 2 h. The cells were 1202 washed with DMEM and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10%□FCS, 1% 1203 PS and the serially diluted Remdesivir (Clinisciences, Cat# A17170), EIDD-1931 1204 (an active metabolite of Molnupiravir; Cell Signalling Technology, Cat# 81178S), 1205 Ensitrelvir (MedChemExpress, Cat# HY-143216), or Nirmatrelvir (PF-07321332; 1206 MedChemExpress, Cat# HY-138687). At 72 h after infection, the culture 1207 supernatants were collected, and viral RNA was quantified using RT-qPCR (see 1208 "RT-qPCR" section below). The assay of each compound was performed in 1209 guadruplicate, and the 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}) was calculated using 1210 Prism 9 software v9.1.1 (GraphPad Software).

1211

1212 Airway-on-a-chip

1213 Airway-on-a-chip (Figures 4D and 4E) was prepared as previously described^{19,23,64}. Human lung microvascular endothelial cells (HMVEC-L) were 1214 1215 obtained from Lonza (Cat# CC-2527) and cultured with EGM-2-MV medium 1216 (Lonza, Cat# CC-3202). For preparation of the airway-on-a-chip, first, the bottom 1217 channel of a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) device was precoated with 1218 fibronectin (3 µg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# F1141). The microfluidic device was generated according to our previous report⁶⁵. HMVEC-L cells were suspended 1219 at 5,000,000 cells/ml in EGM2-MV medium. Then, 10 µl of suspension medium 1220 1221 was injected into the fibronectin-coated bottom channel of the PDMS device. 1222 Then, the PDMS device was turned upside down and incubated. After 1 h, the 1223 device was turned over, and the EGM2-MV medium was added into the bottom 1224 channel. After 4 d, AOs were dissociated and seeded into the top channel. AOs

were generated according to our previous report⁶⁰. AOs were dissociated into 1225 1226 single cells and then suspended at 5,000,000 cells/ml in the AO differentiation 1227 medium. Ten microliter suspension medium was injected into the top channel. 1228 After 1 h, the AO differentiation medium was added to the top channel. In the 1229 infection experiments (Figure 4D), the AO differentiation medium containing 1230 either Delta, XBB.1.5, EG.5.1, or EG.5.1.1 isolate (500 TCID₅₀) was inoculated 1231 into the top channel. At 2 h.p.i., the top and bottom channels were washed and 1232 cultured with AO differentiation and EGM2-MV medium, respectively. The 1233 culture supernatants were collected, and viral RNA was quantified using 1234 RT-qPCR (see "RT-qPCR" section above).

1235

1236 Microfluidic device

A microfluidic device was generated according to our previous reports^{23,65}. 1237 1238 Briefly, the microfluidic device consisted of two layers of microchannels 1239 separated by a semipermeable membrane. The microchannel layers were 1240 fabricated from PDMS using a soft lithographic method. PDMS prepolymer (Dow Corning, Cat# SYLGARD 184) at a base to curing agent ratio of 10:1 was cast 1241 1242 against a mold composed of SU-8 2150 (MicroChem, Cat# SU-8 2150) patterns 1243 formed on a silicon wafer. The cross-sectional size of the microchannels was 1 1244 mm in width and 330 µm in height. Access holes were punched through the 1245 PDMS using a 6-mm biopsy punch (Kai Corporation, Cat# BP-L60K) to introduce solutions into the microchannels. Two PDMS layers were bonded to a 1246 PET membrane containing 3.0-µm pores (Falcon, Cat# 353091) using a thin 1247 layer of liquid PDMS prepolymer as the mortar. PDMS prepolymer was 1248 1249 spin-coated (4,000 rpm for 60 s) onto a glass slide. Subsequently, both the top 1250 and bottom channel layers were placed on the glass slide to transfer the thin 1251 layer of PDMS prepolymer onto the embossed PDMS surfaces. The membrane 1252 was then placed onto the bottom layer and sandwiched with the top layer. The 1253 combined layers were left at room temperature for 1 d to remove air bubbles and 1254 then placed in an oven at 60°C overnight to cure the PDMS glue. The PDMS 1255 devices were sterilized by placing them under UV light for 1 h before the cell 1256 culture.

1257

1258 SARS-CoV-2 infection

1259 One day before infection, Vero cells (10,000 cells), VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells 1260 (10,000 cells), 293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (10,000 cells), and Calu-3 cells 1261 (10,000 cells) were seeded into a 96-well plate. SARS-CoV-2 [1,000 TCID₅₀ for 1262 Vero cells (**Figures 2A and 7D**); 100 TCID₅₀ for VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells 1263 (**Figures 2B and 7E**); 100 TCID₅₀ for 293-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells (**Figures 2C** 1264 and **7F**); and 100 TCID₅₀ for Calu-3 cells (**Figures 2D and 7G**)] was inoculated 1265 and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The infected cells were washed, and 180 μ l of 1266 culture medium was added. The culture supernatant (10 µl) was harvested at the 1267 indicated timepoints and used for RT-qPCR to quantify the viral RNA copy 1268 number (see "RT-qPCR" section below). In the infection experiments using 1269 AO-ALI model (Figures 2E and 7H), the diluted viruses (1,000 TCID50 in 1270 100 µl) were inoculated onto the apical side of the culture and incubated at 1271 37 °C for 1 h. The infected cells were washed, and 100 µl of AO differentiation 1272 medium was added. The culture supernatant (10 µl) was harvested at the 1273 indicated timepoints and used for RT-qPCR to quantify the viral RNA copy 1274 number (see "RT-qPCR" section below).

1275 In the infection experiments using iPSC-derived alveolar epithelial cells (Figure 1276 2F), working viruses were diluted with Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 11058021). The diluted viruses (1,000 TCID₅₀ in $100 \Box \mu I$) were inoculated onto 1277 1278 the apical side of the culture and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The inoculated 1279 viruses were removed and washed twice with Opti-MEM. For collection of the 1280 viruses, 100 µI Opti-MEM was applied onto the apical side of the culture and 1281 incubated at 37 °C for 10 m. The Opti-MEM was collected and used for 1282 RT-qPCR to quantify the viral RNA copy number (see "RT-qPCR" section 1283 below). The infection experiments using an airway-on-a-chip system (Figures 1284 4D and 4E) were performed as described above (see "Airway-on-a-chip" 1285 section).

1286

1287 **RT–qPCR**

RT-qPCR was performed as previously described^{14-19,29,57,64}. Briefly, 5 µl culture 1288 1289 supernatant was mixed with 5 µl of 2 × RNA lysis buffer [2% Triton X-100 1290 (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 35501-15), 50 mM KCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 40% 1291 glycerol, 0.8 U/µI recombinant RNase inhibitor (Takara, Cat# 2313B)] and 1292 incubated at room temperature for 10 m. RNase-free water (90 µl) was added, 1293 and the diluted sample (2.5 µl) was used as the template for real-time RT-PCR 1294 performed according to the manufacturer's protocol using One Step TB Green 1295 PrimeScript PLUS RT-PCR kit (Takara, Cat# RR096A) and the following 1296 primers: Forward N, 5'-AGC CTC TTC TCG TTC CTC ATC AC-3'; and Reverse N, 5'-CCG CCA TTG CCA GCC ATT C-3'. The viral RNA copy number was 1297 1298 standardized with a SARS-CoV-2 direct detection RT-qPCR kit (Takara, Cat# 1299 RC300A). Fluorescent signals were acquired using a QuantStudio 1 Real-Time 1300 PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR system 1301 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo 1302 Fisher Scientific), StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher 1303 Scientific), CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection system (Bio-Rad), Eco 1304 Real-Time PCR System (Illumina), gTOWER3 G Real-Time System (Analytik 1305 Jena) Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System III (Takara) or 7500 Real-Time 1306 PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

1307

1308 **Protein expression and purification of EG.5.1 S protein for cryo-EM**

Protein expression and purification of EG.5.1 S protein were performed as 1309 previously described⁶⁶. Briefly, the expression plasmid, pHLsec, encoding the 1310 EG.5.1 S protein ectodomain bearing six proline substitutions (F817P, A892P, 1311 A899P, A942P, K986P and V987P)⁶⁷ and deletion of the furin cleavage site (i.e., 1312 RRAR to GSAG substitution) with a T4-foldon domain, were transfected into 1313 1314 HEK293S GnTI(-) cells. Expressed proteins in the cell-culture supernatant were 1315 purified using a cOmplete His-Tag Purification Resin (Roche, Cat# 5893682001) 1316 affinity column, followed by Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL size-exclusion 1317 chromatography (Cytiva, Cat# 29091596) with calcium- and magnesium-free 1318 PBS buffer.

1319

1320 Cryo-EM sample preparation and data collection

The solution of EG.5.1 S protein was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h before cryo-EM grid preparation. The samples were applied to a Quantifoil R2/2 Cu 300 mesh grid (Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH), which had been freshly glow-discharged for 60 s at 10 mA using PIB-10 (Vacuum Device). The samples were plunged into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the following settings: temperature 18°C, humidity 100%, blotting time 5 s, and blotting force 5.

Movies were collected on a Krios G4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operated at 300 kV with a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan) at a nominal magnification of 130,000 (0.67 Å per physical pixel), using a GIF-Biocontinuum energy filter (Gatan) with a 20 eV slit width. Each micrograph was collected with a total exposure of 1.5 s and a total dose of 50.1 e/Å² over 50 frames. A total of 3,285 movies were collected at a nominal defocus range of 0.8 – 1.8 µm using EPU software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

1335

1336 Cryo-EM data processing

All datasets were processed in cryoSPARC v4.3.1⁶⁸. Movie frames were aligned, 1337 1338 dose-weighted, and CTF-estimated using Patch Motion correction and Patch 1339 CTF. 899,573 particles were blob-picked and reference-free 2D classification (K 1340 = 150, batch = 200, Iteration = 30) was performed to remove junk particles. 1341 348,621 particles were used for initial model reconstruction and heterogeneous 1342 refinement. Two classes of closed states (closed-1 and closed-2) with different 1343 RBD orientations and one class of 1-up state were separated in heterogeneous 1344 refinement. The closed-1 state was processed by non-uniform refinement with 1345 C3 symmetry and CTF refinement to generate the final maps. Since the density 1346 of the RBD was unclear for the closed-2 and the 1-up states, additional 1347 processing steps were performed for these states. For the closed-2 state, once

1348 the particles were aligned with non-uniform refinement followed by aligned 1349 particles were symmetry-expanded under C3 symmetry operation. 3D 1350 classification (K = 4, force hard classification, input mode = simple) focused on 1351 the RBD without alignment was performed, and selected classes that the density 1352 of RBD was clearly resolved. A final map of closed-2 state was reconstructed 1353 with non-uniform refinement with C3 symmetry. For 1-up state, 3D classification 1354 (K = 4, force hard classification, input mode = simple) focused on the down RBD 1355 and up RBD without alignment was performed, and selected classes that the 1356 density of up RBD was clearly resolved. A final map of 1-up state was 1357 reconstructed with non-uniform refinement with C1 symmetry. C1 for 1-up state 1358 after removing duplicate particles. To support model building, a local refinement focusing on down RBD in closed-2 and down and up RBD in 1-up states was 1359 1360 carried out.

1361 The reported global resolutions are based on the gold-standard Fourier 1362 shell correlation curves (FSC = 0.143) criteria. Local resolutions were calculated 1363 with cryoSPARC⁶⁹. Workflows of data processing were shown in 1364 **Supplementary Figure 1A**. Figures related to data processing and 1365 reconstructed maps were prepared with UCSF Chimera v1.17.1⁷⁰ and UCSF 1366 Chimera X v1.6.1⁷¹.

1367

1368 Cryo-EM model building and analysis

1369 Structures of SARS-CoV-2 XBB.1 S protein closed-1 state (PDB: 8IOS¹) or closed-2 state (PDB: 8IOT) were fitted to the corresponding maps using UCSF 1370 Chimera. Iterative rounds of manual fitting in Coot v0.9.672 and real-space 1371 refinement in Phenix v1.20⁷³ were carried out to improve non-ideal rotamers, 1372 bond angles, and Ramachandran outliers. The final model was validated with 1373 1374 MolProbity⁷⁴. The structure models shown in surface, ribbon and stick 1375 presentation in figures **PyMOL** v2.5.0 were prepared with 1376 (http://pymol.sourceforge.net).

1377

1378 Animal experiments

Animal experiments (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 2) were performed 1379 as previously described^{1,5,15-19,22}. Syrian hamsters (male, 4 weeks old) were 1380 1381 purchased from Japan SLC Inc. (Shizuoka, Japan). For the virus infection experiments, hamsters were anesthetized by intramuscular injection of a mixture 1382 of 0.15 mg/kg medetomidine hydrochloride (Domitor[®], Nippon Zenyaku Kogyo), 1383 2.0 mg/kg midazolam (Dormicum[®], FUJIFILM WAKO, Cat# 135-13791) and 2.5 1384 mg/kg butorphanol (Vetorphale[®], Meiji Seika Pharma) or 0.15 mg/kg 1385 1386 medetomidine hydrochloride, 4.0 mg/kg alphaxaone (Alfaxan[®], Jurox) and 2.5 1387 mg/kg butorphanol. EG.5.1, EG.5.1.1, XBB1.5, Delta (10,000 TCID₅₀ in 100 μl), 1388 or saline (100 µl) were intranasally inoculated under anesthesia. Oral swabs were collected at the indicated timepoints. Body weight was recorded daily by 7
d.p.i. Enhanced pause (Penh), the ratio of time to peak expiratory follow relative
to the total expiratory time (Rpef) were measured every day until 7 d.p.i. of the
EG.5.1-, EG.5.1.1-, XBB.1.5-, and Delta-infected hamsters (see below). Lung
tissues were anatomically collected at 2 and 5 d.p.i. The viral RNA load in the
oral swabs and respiratory tissues was determined by RT–qPCR. These tissues
were also used for IHC and histopathological analyses (see below).

1396

1397 Lung function test

Lung function tests (**Figure 6A**) were routinely performed as previously described^{1,5,15,17-19,22}. The two respiratory parameters (Penh and Rpef) were measured by using a Buxco Small Animal Whole Body Plethysmography system (DSI) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, a hamster was placed in an unrestrained plethysmography chamber and allowed to acclimatize for 30 s. Then, data were acquired over a 2.5-minute period by using FinePointe Station and Review software v2.9.2.12849 (DSI).

1405

1406 Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Figure 6C and Supplementary Figure 2) was 1407 performed as previously described^{1,5,15-19,22} using an Autostainer Link 48 (Dako). 1408 1409 The deparaffinized sections were exposed to EnVision FLEX target retrieval 1410 solution high pH (Agilent, Cat# K8004) for 20 m at 97°C for activation, and a 1411 mouse anti-SARS-CoV-2 N monoclonal antibody (clone 1035111, R&D Systems, 1412 Cat# MAB10474-SP, 1:400) was used as a primary antibody. The sections were 1413 sensitized using EnVision FLEX for 15 m and visualized by peroxidase-based 1414 enzymatic reaction with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Dako, Cat# 1415 DM827) as substrate for 5 m. The N protein positivity was evaluated by 1416 certificated pathologists as previously described. Images were incorporated as 1417 virtual slides by NDP.scan software v3.2.4 (Hamamatsu Photonics). The 1418 N-protein positivity was measured as the area using Fiji software v2.2.0 1419 (ImageJ).

1420

1421 H&E staining

1422 H&E staining (**Figure 6D** and **Supplementary Figure 3**) was performed as 1423 previously described^{1,5,15-19,22}. Briefly, excised animal tissues were fixed with 1424 10% formalin neutral buffer solution and processed for paraffin embedding. The 1425 paraffin blocks were sectioned at a thickness of 3 μ m and then mounted on 1426 MAS-GP-coated glass slides (Matsunami Glass, Cat# S9901). H&E staining was 1427 performed according to a standard protocol.

1428

1429 Histopathological scoring

1430 Histopathological scoring (Figure 6E) was performed as previously described^{1,5,15-19,22}. Pathological features, including (i) bronchitis or bronchiolitis, 1431 (ii) hemorrhage with congestive edema, (iii) alveolar damage with epithelial 1432 1433 apoptosis and macrophage infiltration, (iv) hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes, 1434 and (v) the area of hyperplasia of large type II pneumocytes, were evaluated in 1435 each hamsters by certified pathologists, and the degree of these pathological 1436 findings was arbitrarily scored using a four-tiered system as 0 (negative), 1 1437 (weak), 2 (moderate), and 3 (severe). The "large type II pneumocytes" are type II 1438 pneumocytes with hyperplasia exhibiting more than 10-µm-diameter nuclei. We 1439 described "large type II pneumocytes" as one of the notable histopathological 1440 features of SARS-CoV-2 infection in our previous studies. The total histological 1441 score is the sum of these five indices.

1442

1443 Transfection, western blotting, SeV Infection and reporter assay

1444 HEK293 cells were transfected using PEI Max (Polysciences) according to the 1445 manufacturer's protocol. For Western blotting, cells (in 12 well) were 1446 cotransfected with the pLVX-EF1alpha-IRES-Puro-based 2×Strep-tagged 1447 expression plasmids (12.5, 50, 200 or 800 ng for Figure 7B; 300, 600 or 900 ng 1448 for **Figure 7D**) together with an empty vector (normalized to 1 µg per well). For 1449 luciferase reporter assay, cells (in 96 well) were cotransfected with 10 ng of 1450 either p125Luc (expressing firefly luciferase driven by human IFNB1 promoter; 1451 kindly provided Dr. Takashi Fujita)⁷⁵ by and the 1452 pLVX-EF1alpha-IRES-Puro-based 2×Strep-tagged expression plasmids (1.25, 5, 1453 20 or 80 ng for Figures 7B; 30, 60 or 90 ng for Figure 7D). The amounts of 1454 transfected plasmids were normalized to 100 ng per well. At 24 h post transfection, SeV (strain Cantell, clone cCdi; GenBank accession no. 1455 1456 AB855654)⁷⁶ was inoculated into the transfected cells at multiplicity of infection 1457 (MOI) 100.

The luciferase reporter assay was performed 24 h.p.i. as previously described^{28,77}. Briefly, 50 µl cell lysate was applied to a 96-well plate (Nunc), and the firefly luciferase activity was measured using a PicaGene BrillianStar-LT luciferase assay system (Toyo-b-net), and the input for the luciferase assay was normalized by using a CellTiter-Glo 2.0 assay kit (Promega) following the manufacturers' instructions. For this assay, a GloMax Explorer Multimode Microplate Reader 3500 (Promega) was used.

1465 Western Blotting was performed as previously described^{28,77}. Briefly, 1466 transfected cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (25 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 50 mM 1467 NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 50 mM ZnCl2, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100) containing a 1468 protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). For blotting, anti-Strep-tag II antibody 1469 (Abcam, Cat# ab76949) and anti- α -Tubulin antibody (Sigma, Cat# T9026) were 1470 used as primary antibody. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG

1471 antibody (Cell Signaling, Cat# 7076) and Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated
1472 anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Cell Signaling, Cat# 7074) were used as secondary
1473 antibody.

1474

1475 Statistics and reproducibility

Statistical significance was tested using a two-sided Mann–Whitney U test, a
two-sided Student's *t* test, a two-sided Welch's *t* test, or a two-sided paired *t*-test
unless otherwise noted. The tests above were performed using Prism 9 software
v9.1.1 (GraphPad Software).

1480 In the time-course experiments (Figure 2A-F, 4C-D, 6A-B, E, 6D-H, 1481 7D-H), a multiple regression analysis including experimental conditions (i.e., the 1482 types of infected viruses) as explanatory variables and timepoints as qualitative 1483 control variables was performed to evaluate the difference between 1484 experimental conditions thorough all timepoints. The initial time point was 1485 removed from the analysis. The P value was calculated by a two-sided Wald test. 1486 Subsequently, familywise error rates (FWERs) were calculated by the Holm 1487 method. These analyses were performed on R v4.2.1 1488 (https://www.r-project.org/).

1489 In Figure 4C–D, and Supplementary Figure 1, photographs shown
1490 are the representative areas of at least two independent experiments by using
1491 four hamsters at each timepoint.

1492

1493 Data availability

1494 Surveillance datasets of SARS-CoV-2 isolates are available from the GISAID database (https://www.gisaid.org; EPI SET 231018pe, EPI SET 231003ue, 1495 1496 and EPI SET 231003vx). The supplemental table for each GISAID dataset is 1497 available in the GitHub repository (https://github.com/TheSatoLab/EG.5.1). The 1498 atomic coordinates and cryo-EM maps for the structures of the EG.5.1 S protein alone closed state 1 (8WMF, EMD-37651), closed state 2 (8WMD, 1499 1500 EMD-37650), 1-up (EMD-37648) are available in the Protein Data Bank 1501 (www.rcsb.org) and Electron Microscopy Data Bank (www.ebi.ac.uk/emdb/).

1502

1503 Code availability

1504 The computational codes used in the present study are available in the GitHub 1505 repository (https://github.com/TheSatoLab/EG.5.1).

1506 References		
1507	1.	Tamura, T., Ito, J., Uriu, K., et al. (2023). Virological characteristics of the
1508		SARS-CoV-2 XBB variant derived from recombination of two Omicron
1509		subvariants. Nat Commun 14, 2800.
1510	2.	Uriu, K., Ito, J., Zahradnik, J., et al. (2023). Enhanced transmissibility,
1511		infectivity, and immune resistance of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB.1.5
1512		variant. Lancet Infect Dis 23, 280-281, 10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00051-8.
1513	3.	WHO (2023). "Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants (August 17, 2023)"
1514		https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants.
1515	4.	Kaku, Y., Kosugi, Y., Uriu, K., et al. (2023). Antiviral efficacy of the
1516		SARS-CoV-2 XBB breakthrough infection sera against omicron
1517		subvariants including EG.5. Lancet Infect Dis
1518		10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00553-4.
1519	5.	Ito, J., Suzuki, R., Uriu, K., et al. (2023). Convergent evolution of the
1520		SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants leading to the emergence of BQ.1.1
1521		variant. Nat Commun 14, 2671.
1522	6.	Bloom, J.D., and Neher, R.A. (2023). Fitness effects of mutations to
1523		SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Virus Evol 9, vead055, 10.1093/ve/vead055.
1524	7.	Thorne, L.G., Bouhaddou, M., Reuschl, A.K., et al. (2022). Evolution of
1525		enhanced innate immune evasion by SARS-CoV-2. Nature 602, 487-495,
1526		10.1038/s41586-021-04352-y.
1527	8.	Han, L., Zhuang, M.W., Deng, J., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 ORF9b
1528		antagonizes type I and III interferons by targeting multiple components of
1529		the RIG-I/MDA-5-MAVS, TLR3-TRIF, and cGAS-STING signaling
1530		pathways. J Med Virol 93 , 5376-5389, 10.1002/jmv.27050.
1531	9.	Wu, J., Shi, Y., Pan, X., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 ORF9b inhibits
1532		RIG-I-MAVS antiviral signaling by interrupting K63-linked ubiquitination of
1533		NEMO. Cell Rep 34 , 108761, 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108761.
1534	10.	Jiang, H.W., Zhang, H.N., Meng, Q.F., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 Orf9b
1535		suppresses type I interferon responses by targeting IOM/0. Cell Mol
1536		Immunol 17 , 998-1000, 10.1038/s41423-020-0514-8.
1537	11.	Wang, Q., Guo, Y., Zhang, R.M., et al. (2023). Antibody neutralisation of
1538		emerging SARS-Cov-2 subvariants: EG.5.1 and XBC.1.6. Lancet Infect
1539	10	Dis 23 , e397-e398, 10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00555-8.
1540	12.	Zhang, L., Kempr, A., Nenimeier, I., et al. (2023). Neutralisation sensitivity
1541		of SARS-COV-2 lineages EG.5.1 and XBB.2.3. Lancet infect Dis 23,
1542	40	e391-e392, 10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00547-9.
1543	13.	ramasopa, D., Uriu, K., Pilanchaisuk, A., et al. (2023). Virological
1044		unaracteristics of the SAKS-COV-2 omicron XBB.1.16 Variant. Lancet
1545	4.4	Intect DIS 23 , 655-656, 10.1016/514/3-3099(23)002/8-5.
1546	14.	wotozono, C., Toyoda, W., Zanradnik, J., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2

spike L452R variant evades cellular immunity and increases infectivity.
Cell Host Microbe 29, 1124-1136, 10.1016/j.chom.2021.06.006.

- 1549
 15. Suzuki, R., Yamasoba, D., Kimura, I., et al. (2022). Attenuated
 fusogenicity and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. Nature
 603, 700-705, 10.1038/s41586-022-04462-1.
- 1552 16. Saito, A., Irie, T., Suzuki, R., et al. (2022). Enhanced fusogenicity and
 1553 pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Delta P681R mutation. Nature *602*,
 1554 300-306, 10.1038/s41586-021-04266-9.
- 1555 17. Yamasoba, D., Kimura, I., Nasser, H., et al. (2022). Virological
 1556 characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 spike. Cell *185*,
 1557 2103-2115.e2119, 10.1016/j.cell.2022.04.035.
- 1558 18. Kimura, I., Yamasoba, D., Tamura, T., et al. (2022). Virological
 1559 characteristics of the novel SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variants including BA.4
 1560 and BA.5. Cell *185*, 3992-4007.e3916.
- 1561 19. Saito, A., Tamura, T., Zahradnik, J., et al. (2022). Virological
 1562 characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2.75 variant. Cell Host
 1563 Microbe *30*, 1540–1555.e1515, 10.1016/j.chom.2022.10.003.
- Nasser, H., Shimizu, R., Ito, J., et al. (2022). Monitoring fusion kinetics of
 viral and target cell membranes in living cells using a SARS-CoV-2
 spike-protein-mediated membrane fusion assay. STAR Protoc *3*, 101773,
 10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101773.
- Begum, M.M., Ichihara, K., Takahashi, O., et al. (2023). Virological
 characteristics correlating with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein fusogenicity.
 BioRxiv doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.1110.1103.560628</u>.
- 157122.Tamura, T., Irie, T., Deguchi, S., et al. (2023). Virological characteristics1572of the SARS-CoV-2 XBB.1.5 variant. BioRxiv doi:1573https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.1108.1116.553332.
- Hashimoto, R., Takahashi, J., Shirakura, K., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2
 disrupts the respiratory vascular barrier by suppressing Claudin-5
 expression. Sci Adv *8*, eabo6783, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abo6783.
- 1577 24. Cao, Y., Song, W., Wang, L., et al. (2022). Characterization of the
 1578 enhanced infectivity and antibody evasion of Omicron BA.2.75. Cell Host
 1579 Microbe *30*, 1527-1539 e1525, 10.1016/j.chom.2022.09.018.
- 158025.Zhao, Z., Zhou, J., Tian, M., et al. (2022). Omicron SARS-CoV-21581mutations stabilize spike up-RBD conformation and lead to a1582non-RBM-binding monoclonal antibody escape. Nat Commun 13, 4958,158310.1038/s41467-022-32665-7.
- 1584 26. Xu, C., Wang, Y., Liu, C., et al. (2021). Conformational dynamics of
 1585 SARS-CoV-2 trimeric spike glycoprotein in complex with receptor ACE2
 1586 revealed by cryo-EM. Sci Adv 7, 10.1126/sciadv.abe5575.
- 1587 27. Gordon, D.E., Jang, G.M., Bouhaddou, M., et al. (2020). A SARS-CoV-2

protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. Nature 583,
459-468, 10.1038/s41586-020-2286-9.

1590 28. Kimura, I., Konno, Y., Uriu, K., et al. (2021). Sarbecovirus ORF6 proteins
1591 hamper induction of interferon signaling. Cell Rep *34*, 108916,
10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108916.

- 159329.Meng, B., Abdullahi, A., Ferreira, I.A.T.M., et al. (2022). Altered1594TMPRSS2 usage by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron impacts tropism and1595fusogenicity. Nature 603, 706-714, 10.1038/s41586-022-04474-x.
- 159630.Yamasoba, D., Kosugi, Y., Kimura, I., et al. (2022). Neutralisation1597sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 omicron subvariants to therapeutic monoclonal1598antibodies.Lancet159910.1016/S1473-3099(22)00365-6.
- 1600 31. Kimura, I., Yamasoba, D., Nasser, H., et al. (2022). The SARS-CoV-2
 1601 spike S375F mutation characterizes the Omicron BA.1 variant. iScience
 1602 25, 105720, 10.1016/j.isci.2022.105720.
- Nao, Naganori 1603 32. Kimura, I.Y., Daichi Tamura, Tomokazu Oda. 1604 Yoshitaka Mitoma Shuva Ito, Jumpei Nasser, Hesham Zahradnik, 1605 Jiri Uriu, Keiya Fujita, Shigeru Kosugi, Yusuke Wang, Lei Tsuda, 1606 Kishimoto, Mai Ito, Hayato Suzuki, Rigel Shimizu, Ryo Masumi 1607 MST Monira Yoshimatsu, Kumiko Sasaki, Begum, Jiei 1608 Sasaki-Tabata, Kaori Yamamoto, Yuki Nagamoto, Tetsuharu 1609 Kanamune, Jun Kobiyama, Kouji Asakura, Hiroyuki Nagashima, Sadamasu, Kenji Yoshimura, Kazuhisa 1610 Mami Kuramochi, Jin 1611 Schreiber, Gideon Ishii, Ken J Hashiguchi, Takao The Genotype to 1612 Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium, Ikeda, Terumasa Saito, 1613 Akatsuki Fukuhara, Takasuke Tanaka, Shinya Matsuno, Keita 1614 Sato, Kei (2022). Virological characteristics of the novel SARS-CoV-2 Omicron 1615 variants including BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5. 1616 doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.26.493539.
- 1617 33. Ito, J., Suzuki, R., Uriu, K., et al. (2023). Convergent evolution of
 1618 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants leading to the emergence of BQ.1.1
 1619 variant. Nat Commun *14*, 2671, 10.1038/s41467-023-38188-z.
- 162034.Tamura, T., Ito, J., Uriu, K., et al. (2023). Virological characteristics of the1621SARS-CoV-2 XBB variant derived from recombination of two Omicron1622subvariants. Nat Commun 14, 2800, 10.1038/s41467-023-38435-3.
- 1623 35. Tamura, T., Yamasoba, D., Oda, Y., et al. (2023). Comparative
 1624 pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants including BA.1, BA.2,
 1625 and BA.5. Commun Biol *6*, 772, 10.1038/s42003-023-05081-w.
- 36. Ozono, S., Zhang, Y., Ode, H., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 D614G spike
 mutation increases entry efficiency with enhanced ACE2-binding affinity.
 Nat Commun *12*, 848, 10.1038/s41467-021-21118-2.

1629 37. Ferreira, I., Kemp, S.A., Datir, R., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617
1630 mutations L452R and E484Q are not synergistic for antibody evasion. J.
1631 Infect. Dis. *224*, 989-994, 10.1093/infdis/jiab368.

1632 38. Matsuyama, S., Nao, N., Shirato, K., et al. (2020). Enhanced isolation of
1633 SARS-CoV-2 by TMPRSS2-expressing cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
1634 117, 7001-7003, 10.1073/pnas.2002589117.

- 163539.Fujita, S., Kosugi, Y., Kimura, I., et al. (2022). Structural Insight into the1636Resistance of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 Variants to1637Cilgavimab. Viruses **14**, 2677.
- 1638 40. Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast
 1639 all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics *34*, i884-i890,
 1640 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560.
- 1641 41. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with
 1642 Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754-1760,
 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.
- 1644 42. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., et al. (2009). The sequence
 1645 alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078-2079,
 1646 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- 1647 43. Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang le, L., et al. (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin) *6*, 80-92, 10.4161/fly.19695.
- 165144.Khare, S., Gurry, C., Freitas, L., et al. (2021). GISAID's role in pandemic1652response. China CDC Wkly **3**, 1049-1051, 10.46234/ccdcw2021.255.
- Aksamentov, I., Roemer, C., Hodcroft, E., and Neher, R. (2021).
 Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. The Journal of Open Source Software *6*, 3773, <u>https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03773</u>.
- Moshiri, N. (2021). ViralMSA: massively scalable reference-guided
 multiple sequence alignment of viral genomes. Bioinformatics *37*,
 714-716, 10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa743.
- 1660 47. Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J.M., and Gabaldon, T. (2009).
 1661 trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale
 1662 phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics 25, 1972-1973,
 1663 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348.
- Minh, B.Q., Schmidt, H.A., Chernomor, O., et al. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: New
 Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic
 Era. Mol Biol Evol *37*, 1530-1534, 10.1093/molbev/msaa015.
- 1667 49. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., et al. (2017).
 1668 ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates.
 1669 Nat Methods *14*, 587-589, 10.1038/nmeth.4285.

1670 50. Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., et al. (2018). UFBoot2:
1671 Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol Biol Evol *35*,
1672 518-522, 10.1093/molbev/msx281.

- 1673 51. Paradis, E., and Schliep, K. (2019). ape 5.0: an environment for modern
 1674 phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics *35*, 526-528,
 1675 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633.
- 1676 52. Yu, G. (2020). Using ggtree to visualize data on tree-like structures. Curr
 1677 Protoc Bioinformatics 69, e96, 10.1002/cpbi.96.
- 1678 53. R Core Team (2023). "R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria."
 1680 <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.
- 1681 54. Zahradnik, J., Kolarova, L., Peleg, Y., et al. (2019). Flexible regions
 1682 govern promiscuous binding of IL-24 to receptors IL-20R1 and IL-22R1.
 1683 FEBS J. *286*, 3858-3873, 10.1111/febs.14945.
- 168455.Dejnirattisai, W., Huo, J., Zhou, D., et al. (2022).SARS-CoV-21685Omicron-B.1.1.529leads to widespread escape from neutralizing1686antibody responses. Cell **185**, 467-484 e415, 10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.046.
- 1687 56. Torii, S., Ono, C., Suzuki, R., et al. (2021). Establishment of a reverse
 genetics system for SARS-CoV-2 using circular polymerase extension
 reaction. Cell Rep 35, 109014.
- 1690 57. Kimura, I., Yamasoba, D., Nasser, H., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 spike
 1691 S375F mutation characterizes the Omicron BA.1 variant. BioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1104.1103.486864.
- 169358.Reed, L.J., and Muench, H. (1938). A simple method of estimating fifty1694percent endpoints. Am J Hygiene **27**, 493-497.
- 1695 Kondo, N., Miyauchi, K., and Matsuda, Z. (2011). 59. Monitorina 1696 viral-mediated membrane fusion using fluorescent reporter methods. Curr 1697 Protoc Chapter Unit 29, Cell Biol 26, 26 1698 10.1002/0471143030.cb2609s50.
- 1699 60. Sano, E., Suzuki, T., Hashimoto, R., et al. (2022). Cell response analysis
 1700 in SARS-CoV-2 infected bronchial organoids. Commun Biol 5, 516,
 1701 10.1038/s42003-022-03499-2.
- 1702 61. Yamamoto, Y., Gotoh, S., Korogi, Y., et al. (2017). Long-term expansion
 1703 of alveolar stem cells derived from human iPS cells in organoids. Nat
 1704 Methods *14*, 1097-1106, 10.1038/nmeth.4448.
- Konishi, S., Gotoh, S., Tateishi, K., et al. (2016). Directed induction of
 functional multi-ciliated cells in proximal airway epithelial spheroids from
 human pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Reports *6*, 18-25,
 10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.11.010.
- Gotoh, S., Ito, I., Nagasaki, T., et al. (2014). Generation of alveolarepithelial spheroids via isolated progenitor cells from human pluripotent

1711 stem cells. Stem Cell Reports **3**, 394-403, 10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.07.005.

- 171264.Tamura, T., Yamasoba, D., Oda, Y., et al. (2022).Comparative1713pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants including BA.1, BA.2,
- 1714 and BA.5. BioRxiv doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1108.1105.502758</u>.
- 1715 65. Deguchi, S., Tsuda, M., Kosugi, K., et al. (2021). Usability of
 1716 polydimethylsiloxane-based microfluidic devices in pharmaceutical
 1717 research using human hepatocytes. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 7, 3648-3657,
 1718 10.1021/acsbiomaterials.1c00642.
- Hashiguchi, T., Ose, T., Kubota, M., et al. (2011). Structure of the
 measles virus hemagglutinin bound to its cellular receptor SLAM. Nat.
 Struct. Mol. Biol. *18*, 135-141, 10.1038/nsmb.1969.
- Hsieh, C.L., Goldsmith, J.A., Schaub, J.M., et al. (2020). Structure-based
 design of prefusion-stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spikes. Science *369*,
 1501-1505, 10.1126/science.abd0826.
- Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J., and Brubaker, M.A. (2017).
 cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure
 determination. Nat Methods *14*, 290-296, 10.1038/nmeth.4169.
- 1728 69. Cardone, G., Heymann, J.B., and Steven, A.C. (2013). One number does
 1729 not fit all: mapping local variations in resolution in cryo-EM
 1730 reconstructions. J Struct Biol *184*, 226-236, 10.1016/j.jsb.2013.08.002.
- 1731 70. Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., et al. (2004). UCSF
 1732 Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J
 1733 Comput Chem 25, 1605-1612, 10.1002/jcc.20084.
- 1734 71. Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., et al. (2018). UCSF ChimeraX:
 1735 Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. Protein Sci 27,
 1736 14-25, 10.1002/pro.3235.
- 1737 72. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features
 1738 and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr *66*, 486-501,
 1739 10.1107/S0907444910007493.
- 1740 73. Liebschner, D., Afonine, P.V., Baker, M.L., et al. (2019). Macromolecular
 1741 structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent
 1742 developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol **75**, 861-877,
 1743 10.1107/S2059798319011471.
- 1744 74. Williams, C.J., Headd, J.J., Moriarty, N.W., et al. (2018). MolProbity: More
 1745 and better reference data for improved all-atom structure validation.
 1746 Protein Sci *27*, 293-315, 10.1002/pro.3330.
- 1747 75. Fujita, T., Nolan, G.P., Liou, H.C., et al. (1993). The candidate proto-oncogene bcl-3 encodes a transcriptional coactivator that activates through NF-kappa B p50 homodimers. Genes Dev. 7, 1354-1363, 10.1101/gad.7.7b.1354.
- 1751 76. Yoshida, A., Kawabata, R., Honda, T., et al. (2018). A single amino acid

substitution within the paramyxovirus Sendai virus nucleoprotein is a
critical determinant for production of interferon-beta-inducing
copyback-type defective interfering genomes. J Virol **92**, e02094,
10.1128/JVI.02094-17.

1756 77. Konno, Y., Kimura, I., Uriu, K., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 ORF3b Is a
1757 Potent Interferon Antagonist Whose Activity Is Increased by a Naturally
1758 Occurring Elongation Variant. Cell Rep 32, 108185,
1759 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108185.

1760













Hours post coculture

12 18 24





С



XBB.1.5 closed-2



EG.5.1 S closed-2

D



EG.5.1 S 1-up





P=0.0015 0 24 48 72 h.p.i.

72

C

24 4 h.p.i. 72

48

0 24 48 h.p.i.

0